

# FRATTINI EXTENSIONS AND CLASS FIELD THEORY

TH. WEIGEL

ABSTRACT. A. Brumer has shown that every profinite group of strict cohomological  $p$ -dimension 2 possesses a class field theory - the tautological class field theory. In particular, this result also applies to the universal  $p$ -Frattini extension  $\tilde{G}_p$  of a finite group  $G$ . We use this fact in order to establish a class field theory for every  $p$ -Frattini extension  $\pi: \tilde{G} \rightarrow G$  (Thm.A). The role of the class field module will be played by the  $p$ -Frattini module. The universal norms of this class field theory will carry important information about the  $p$ -Frattini extension  $\pi: \tilde{G} \rightarrow G$ . A detailed analysis will lead to a characterization of finite groups  $G$  which have a  $p$ -Frattini extension  $\pi: \tilde{G} \rightarrow G$  in which  $\tilde{G}$  is a weakly-orientable  $p$ -Poincaré duality group of dimension 2 (Thm.B).

In section §5 we characterize the  $p$ -Frattini extensions  $\pi_{A_1}: Sl_2(\mathbb{Z}_p) \rightarrow Sl_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ ,  $p \neq 2, 3, 5$ , by some kind of localization technique. This answers a question posed by M.D.Fried and M.Jarden (Thm.C). It is quite likely that such an approach might also be successful for the characterization of the  $p$ -Frattini extensions  $\pi_D: X_D(\mathbb{Z}_p) \rightarrow X(\mathbb{F}_p)$ , where  $X_D$  is the simple simply-connected split  $\mathbb{Z}$ -Chevalley group scheme with Dynkin diagram  $D$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $G$  be a finite group and let  $p$  be a prime number. An extension of  $G$  by a pro- $p$  group  $A$

$$(1.1) \quad 1 \longrightarrow A \xrightarrow{\iota} \tilde{G} \xrightarrow{\pi} G \longrightarrow 1$$

is called a  $p$ -Frattini extension, if  $im(\iota)$  is contained in the Frattini subgroup of  $\tilde{G}$ . The study of  $p$ -Frattini extensions of finite groups has a long history. W.Gaschütz (cf. [8]) showed that every finite group  $G$  has a universal elementary  $p$ -abelian Frattini extension  $\pi_{/p}: \tilde{G}_{/p} \rightarrow G$  which kernel is - considered as (left)  $\mathbb{F}_p[G]$ -module - isomorphic to  $\Omega_2(G, \mathbb{F}_p)$ , where  $\Omega_k(G, \_)= \Omega^{-k}(G, \_)$  denotes the  $k^{th}$ -Heller translate in the category  ${}_G \text{mod}_p$  of finitely generated (left)  $\mathbb{F}_p[G]$ -modules. Based on this result J.Cossey, L.G.Kovács and O.H.Kegel [3] showed the existence of a universal  $p$ -Frattini cover  $\pi_p: \tilde{G}_p \rightarrow G$ . As the universal  $p$ -Frattini cover coincides with the minimal projective cover (cf. [6, Prop.20.33]), K.Gruenberg's theorem [7] implies that  $\tilde{G}_p$  is of cohomological  $p$ -dimension less or equal to 1, i.e.  $cd_p(\tilde{G}_p) \leq 1$ . In particular,  $ker(\pi_p)$  is a finitely generated free pro- $p$  group (cf. [12, §I.4.2, Cor.2]).

If  $p$  divides the order of  $G$ , the profinite group  $\tilde{G}_p$  is of strict cohomological  $p$ -dimension 2. For these groups A.Brumer [2] showed the existence of a *tautological class field theory*. The goal of this paper is to use this tautological class field theory for the group  $\tilde{G}_p$  in order to obtain new result on  $p$ -Frattini extensions.

The most efficient way to establish a class field theory is to use the theory of *cohomological Mackey-Functors*. A. Dress introduced this notion in [4]. The

exposition given by P.Webb in [15] will be particularly useful for our purpose, and therefore we will follow it closely as far as possible.

The following theorem can be seen as a “structure theorem for  $p$ -Frattini extensions”, which combines W.Gaschütz theorem with the fact that the inflation mapping  $H^1(\pi, S)$  is bijective for a  $p$ -Frattini extension  $\pi$  as in (1.1) and an irreducible (left)  $\mathbb{F}_p[G]$ -module  $S$  [16, Prop.3.1]. Its proof can be found in section 3.3 (cf. Thm.3.1, Cor.3.2).

**Theorem A.** *Let  $G$  be a finite group, let  $p$  be a prime number and let  $\pi: \tilde{G} \rightarrow G$  be a  $p$ -Frattini extension. Let  $\mathcal{F}(\tilde{G})$  be the set of all open normal subgroups of  $\tilde{G}$  being contained in  $\ker(\pi)$ . Then there exists a  $p$ -class field theory  $(\mathbf{C}, \gamma)$  for  $(\tilde{G}, \mathcal{F})$ , i.e.,*

- (i)  $\mathbf{C}$  is a cohomological  $\mathcal{F}(\tilde{G})$ -Mackey functor of type  $H^0$  (this is a short form to say that it has Galois descent),
- (ii)  $\mathbf{C}_U = \Omega_2(\tilde{G}/U, \mathbb{Z}_p)$  for all  $U \in \mathcal{F}(\tilde{G})$ ,
- (iii)  $\gamma: \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}^p$  is a surjective morphism of cohomological  $\mathcal{F}(\tilde{G})$ -Mackey functors, where  $\mathbf{Ab}^p$  denotes the cohomological  $\mathcal{F}(\tilde{G})$ -Mackey functor of maximal  $p$ -abelian quotients (cf. §3.1),
- (iv) for all  $U, V \in \mathcal{F}(\tilde{G})$ ,  $V \leq U$ ,  $\gamma$  induces an isomorphism

$$(1.2) \quad \mathbf{C}_U / \text{im}(N_{V,U}^{\mathbf{C}}) \simeq (U/V)_p^{\text{ab}},$$

- (v) let  $U, V, W \in \mathcal{F}(\tilde{G})$ ,  $V, W \leq U$ , such that  $U/V$  and  $U/W$  are abelian  $p$ -groups. Then  $\text{im}(N_{V,U}^{\mathbf{C}}) = \text{im}(N_{W,U}^{\mathbf{C}})$  implies  $V = W$ .

The class field theory  $(\mathbf{C}, \gamma)$  has also two further properties one would usually require from a class field theory: (vi) There exists a canonical class  $c \in \mathbf{nat}^2(\mathfrak{X}(\mathbb{Z}_p), \mathbf{C})$ , (vii)  $H^1(\tilde{G}/V, \mathbf{C}_V) = H^1(U/V, \mathbf{C}_V) = 0$  for all  $U, V \in \mathcal{F}(\tilde{G})$ ,  $V \leq U$  (cf. Rem.3.3). However, this will not be of importance for our purpose.

The kernel of  $\gamma$  will be called *the universal norms* (of  $\mathbf{C}$ ). Its analysis will finally enable us to characterize finite groups  $G$  possessing a  $p$ -Frattini cover  $\pi: \tilde{G} \rightarrow G$  in which  $\tilde{G}$  is a weakly-orientable profinite  $p$ -Poincaré duality group of dimension 2 (cf. Cor.4.6). Here we call a profinite  $p$ -Poincaré duality group  $\tilde{G}$  of dimension  $d$  *weakly-orientable*, if  $H^d(\tilde{G}, \mathbb{F}_p[\tilde{G}]) \simeq \mathbb{F}_p$  is the trivial module.

**Theorem B.** *Let  $G$  be a finite group, and let  $p$  be a prime number. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) *There exist a  $p$ -Frattini extension  $\pi: \tilde{G} \rightarrow G$ , where  $\tilde{G}$  is a profinite weakly-orientable  $p$ -Poincaré duality group of dimension 2.*
- (ii) *There exists an injective map*

$$(1.3) \quad \alpha: \Omega^1(G, \mathbb{F}_p) \longrightarrow \Omega_2(G, \mathbb{F}_p)$$

*which is not an isomorphism.*

*Remark 1.1.* Theorem B raises the following two questions: (1) For which finite groups  $G$  and prime numbers  $p$  does there exist an injective but not surjective map  $\alpha: \Omega^1(G, \mathbb{F}_p) \longrightarrow \Omega_2(G, \mathbb{F}_p)$ ? (2) Provided such a mapping exists, how many isomorphism types of  $p$ -Frattini covers  $\pi: \tilde{G} \rightarrow G$  exist, where  $\tilde{G}$  is a weakly-orientable  $p$ -Poincaré duality group of dimension 2?

Unfortunately, we cannot say anything about the second question. Explicit computations using the work of K.Erdmann [5] show that for  $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ , such a mapping  $\alpha$  exists for  $G: = PSl_2(q)$  and  $p = 2$  (cf. [16], [17]). However, it seems

a very difficult problem to characterize or classify the tuples  $(G, p)$  for which such a mapping exists.

Let  $\mathfrak{S}_p(G)$  denote the set of isomorphism types of irreducible (left)  $\mathbb{F}_p[G]$ -modules, and let  $\Delta \subseteq \mathfrak{S}_p(G)$  be a subset of  $\mathfrak{S}_p(G)$ . For short we call a  $p$ -Frattini extension  $\pi: \tilde{G} \rightarrow G$  a  $\Delta$ -Frattini extension, if the isomorphism type of every  $G$ -composition factor of  $\ker(\pi)$  is contained in  $\Delta$ . From the existence of the universal  $p$ -Frattini extension one deduces easily the existence of a universal  $\Delta$ -Frattini extension  $\pi_\Delta: \tilde{G}_\Delta \rightarrow G$  (cf. §5.2). Obviously,  $\tilde{G}_{\mathfrak{S}_p(G)}$  coincides with  $\tilde{G}_p$ , and  $\tilde{G}_\emptyset$  coincides with  $G$  itself. For our purpose it will be useful that the universal  $\Delta$ -Frattini extension can be characterized by vanishing of second degree cohomology in a similar way as it is known for the universal  $p$ -Frattini extension (cf. Prop.5.1).

It is well-known that for  $p \neq 3$ , the extension

$$(1.4) \quad \pi_{A_1}: Sl_2(\mathbb{Z}_p) \longrightarrow Sl_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$$

is indeed a  $p$ -Frattini extension (cf. [18]). However, it remained an open problem to characterize the extension  $\pi_{A_1}$  among all  $p$ -Frattini extension (cf. [6, Problem 20.40]).

For  $p \neq 2, 3$ , M.Lazard's theorem implies that  $Sl_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$  is an orientable  $p$ -Poincaré duality group of dimension 3 (cf. [13]). From this fact we will deduce the following characterization:

**Theorem C.** *Let  $p$  be a prime different from 2, 3 and 5. Let  $M_k$ ,  $k = 0, \dots, p-1$ , denote the simple  $\mathbb{F}_p[Sl_2(\mathbb{F}_p)]$ -module of weight  $k$  and  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -dimension  $k+1$ . Then for every subset  $\Delta \subset \mathfrak{S}_p(Sl_2(\mathbb{F}_p))$  satisfying*

- (i)  $[M_2] \in \Delta$ ,
- (ii)  $[M_{p-3}] \notin \Delta$ ,

*the universal  $\Delta$ -Frattini extension  $\pi_\Delta$  of  $Sl_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$  coincides with  $\pi_{A_1}$ , i.e., one has an isomorphism*

$$(1.5) \quad \phi: \tilde{Sl}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)_\Delta \longrightarrow Sl_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$$

*satisfying  $\pi_{A_1} \circ \phi = \pi_\Delta$ .*

For a given Dynkin diagram  $D$  let  $X_D$  be the simple simply-connected  $\mathbb{Z}$ -Chevalley group scheme associated to  $D$ . It has been proved in [18] that apart from finitely many (more or less explicitly known) values of  $(D, p)$ ,

$$(1.6) \quad \pi_D: X_D(\mathbb{Z}_p) \longrightarrow X_D(\mathbb{F}_p)$$

is a  $p$ -Frattini extension. Therefore, one wonders whether one can characterize  $X_D(\mathbb{Z}_p)$  in a similar fashion as  $Sl_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$  answering the problem raised in [6, Prob.20.40] in a wider context:

**Question 1.2.** *Assume that  $p$  is large with respect to the Coxeter number of  $D$ . Let  $\mathfrak{L}_D(\mathbb{F}_p)$  denote the  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -Chevalley Lie algebra associated to  $D$  considered as (left)  $\mathbb{F}_p[X_D(\mathbb{F}_p)]$ -module and put  $\Delta_D := \{[\mathfrak{L}_D(\mathbb{F}_p)]\}$ . Are  $\pi_D$  and  $\pi_{\Delta_D}$  isomorphic  $p$ -Frattini covers?*

*Remark 1.3.* Proposition 5.1 shows that Question 1.2 is equivalent to the question whether

$$(1.7) \quad H^2(X_D(\mathbb{Z}_p), \mathfrak{L}_D(\mathbb{F}_p)) = 0.$$

## 2. COHOMOLOGICAL MACKEY FUNCTORS

**2.1. Profinite modules of profinite groups.** Let  $p$  be a prime number, and let  $\hat{G}$  be a profinite group. The *completed  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -group algebra* of  $\hat{G}$  is given by

$$(2.1) \quad \mathbb{Z}_p[[\hat{G}]] := \varprojlim_U \mathbb{Z}_p[\hat{G}/U],$$

where the inverse system is running over all open normal subgroups of  $\hat{G}$ . By  ${}_{\hat{G}}\mathbf{prf}_p$  we denote the abelian category the objects of which are abelian pro- $p$  groups with continuous left  $\hat{G}$ -action. The morphisms from  $M$  to  $N$ ,  $M, N \in \text{ob}({}_{\hat{G}}\mathbf{prf}_p)$ , are defined to be the continuous morphisms of profinite groups commuting with the action of  $\hat{G}$ . The abelian group of morphisms from  $M$  to  $N$  will be denoted by  $\mathbf{Hom}_{\hat{G}}(M, N)$ . This category can be identified with the full subcategory of the category of topological left  $\mathbb{Z}_p[[\hat{G}]]$ -modules, the objects of which are also abelian pro- $p$  groups. It is well-known that  ${}_{\hat{G}}\mathbf{prf}_p$  has enough projectives, and in particular minimal projective covers. If  $\hat{G}$  is the trivial group, then  ${}_{\hat{G}}\mathbf{prf}_p$  coincides with the category of abelian pro- $p$  groups, which we will denote by  $\mathbf{prf}_p$ .

By  ${}_{\hat{G}}\mathbf{prf}_{/p}$  we denote the abelian category the objects of which are profinite  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -vector spaces with continuous left  $\hat{G}$ -action. It is a full subcategory of  ${}_{\hat{G}}\mathbf{prf}_p$ , and objects can be considered as topological modules for the *completed  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -group algebra*

$$(2.2) \quad \mathbb{F}_p[[\hat{G}]] := \varprojlim_U \mathbb{F}_p[\hat{G}/U].$$

For further details the reader may wish to consult [2], [11] or [13].

**2.2. Cohomological Mackey functors.** There are several equivalent ways to define a cohomological Mackey functor. Here we will follow more or less the approach chosen by P.Webb (cf. [15, §2]).

Let  $\hat{G}$  be a profinite group and let  $\mathcal{N}$  be a set of open normal subgroups of  $\hat{G}$ . For short we call  $\mathcal{N}$  a *normal Mackey system*, if  $\mathcal{N}$  is closed with respect to products and intersections, and if  $\bigcap_{U \in \mathcal{N}} U = 1$ .

Let  $\mathcal{N}$  be a normal Mackey system of the profinite group  $\hat{G}$ . A *cohomological  $\mathcal{N}$ -Mackey functor  $\mathbf{X}$*  with coefficients in  $\mathbf{prf}_p$  is a collection  $(\mathbf{X}_U)_{U \in \mathcal{N}}$  of  $\hat{G}$ -modules  $\mathbf{X}_U \in \text{ob}({}_{\hat{G}/U}\mathbf{prf}_p)$ , together with two series of mappings  $i_{U,V}^{\mathbf{X}}$  and  $N_{V,U}^{\mathbf{X}}$  for  $U, V \in \mathcal{N}$ ,  $V \leq U$ , where

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} i_{U,V}^{\mathbf{X}} &\in \mathbf{Hom}_{\hat{G}/V}(\mathbf{X}_U, \mathbf{X}_V), \\ N_{V,U}^{\mathbf{X}} &\in \mathbf{Hom}_{\hat{G}/V}(\mathbf{X}_V, \mathbf{X}_U), \end{aligned}$$

and which satisfy the following relations:

$$(2.4) \quad i_{U,U}^{\mathbf{X}} = N_{U,U}^{\mathbf{X}} = \text{id}_{\mathbf{X}_U} \quad \text{for all } U \in \mathcal{N},$$

$$(2.5) \quad i_{U,W}^{\mathbf{X}} = i_{V,W}^{\mathbf{X}} \circ i_{U,V}^{\mathbf{X}} \quad \text{for all } U, V, W \in \mathcal{N}, U \leq V \leq W,$$

$$(2.6) \quad N_{W,U}^{\mathbf{X}} = N_{V,U}^{\mathbf{X}} \circ N_{W,V}^{\mathbf{X}} \quad \text{for all } U, V, W \in \mathcal{N}, U \leq V \leq W,$$

$$(2.7) \quad i_{UV,V}^{\mathbf{X}} \circ N_{U,UV}^{\mathbf{X}} = N_{U \cap V, V}^{\mathbf{X}} \circ i_{U, U \cap V}^{\mathbf{X}} \quad \text{for all } U, V \in \mathcal{N},$$

$$(2.8) \quad i_{U,V}^{\mathbf{X}} \circ N_{V,U}^{\mathbf{X}} = \sum_{x \in U/V} x \quad \text{for all } U, V \in \mathcal{N}, U \leq V,$$

$$(2.9) \quad N_{V,U}^{\mathbf{X}} \circ i_{U,V}^{\mathbf{X}} = |U : V| \cdot \text{id}_{\mathbf{X}_U} \quad \text{for all } U, V \in \mathcal{N}, U \leq V,$$

The notation we have chosen is closer related to number theory than the one introduced in [15]. One can easily verify that the role of  $I_V^U$  in [15] is played by  $N_{V,U}^{\mathbf{X}}$ , and  $i_{U,V}^{\mathbf{X}}$  plays the role of  $R_V^U$ . Our axioms (2.3) and (2.4)-(2.6) are obviously equivalent to the axioms (0)-(5) in [15, §2]. The axioms (2.7) and (2.8) are reformulating axiom (6) in [15], as we assumed that all open subgroups of  $\hat{G}$  under consideration are normal in  $\hat{G}$ . Axiom (2.9) characterizes cohomological Mackey functors among all Mackey functors (cf. [15, §7]).

By  $\mathfrak{CM}_{\mathcal{N}}(\hat{G}, \mathbf{prf}_p)$  we denote the category of cohomological  $\mathcal{N}$ -Mackey functors of  $\hat{G}$  with coefficients in  $\mathbf{prf}_p$ . A morphism between cohomological  $\mathcal{N}$ -Mackey functors  $\eta: \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$  is a sequence of mappings  $(\eta_U)_{U \in \mathcal{N}}$ ,  $\eta_U \in \mathbf{Hom}_{\hat{G}/U}(\mathbf{X}_U, \mathbf{Y}_U)$ , for which the diagrams

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{X}_U & \xrightarrow{\eta_U} & \mathbf{Y}_U \\ i_{U,V}^{\mathbf{X}} \downarrow & & \downarrow i_{U,V}^{\mathbf{Y}} \\ \mathbf{X}_V & \xrightarrow{\eta_V} & \mathbf{Y}_V \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{X}_U & \xrightarrow{\eta_U} & \mathbf{Y}_U \\ N_{V,U}^{\mathbf{X}} \uparrow & & \uparrow N_{V,U}^{\mathbf{Y}} \\ \mathbf{X}_V & \xrightarrow{\eta_V} & \mathbf{Y}_V \end{array}$$

commute for all  $U, V \in \mathcal{N}$ ,  $V \leq U$ . By  $\mathbf{nat}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})$  we denote the abelian group of morphisms of cohomological  $\mathcal{N}$ -Mackey functors from  $\mathbf{X}$  to  $\mathbf{Y}$ .

Using the interpretation of  $\mathfrak{CM}_{\mathcal{N}}(\hat{G}, \mathbf{prf}_p)$  as the category of additive  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -linear functors from the category of  $\hat{G}$ -permutation modules of discrete  $\hat{G}$ -sets with isotropy group being contained in  $\mathcal{N}$  to the category  $\mathbf{prf}_p$  of abelian pro- $p$  groups (cf. [15, Prop.7.2]), one sees easily that  $\mathfrak{CM}_{\mathcal{N}}(\hat{G}, \mathbf{prf}_p)$  is an abelian category. Kernels and cokernels are defined in the obvious way.

### 2.3. From cohomological Mackey functors to $\hat{G}$ -modules and vice versa.

Taking the inverse limit over the norm maps  $N_{V,U}$  defines a covariant left exact functor

$$(2.11) \quad \begin{aligned} m: \mathfrak{CM}_{\mathcal{N}}(\hat{G}, \mathbf{prf}_p) &\longrightarrow \hat{G}\mathbf{prf}_p, \\ m(\mathbf{X}): &= \varprojlim_{U \in \mathcal{N}} \mathbf{X}_U, \quad \text{for } \mathbf{X} \in \text{ob}(\mathfrak{CM}_{\mathcal{N}}(\hat{G}, \mathbf{prf}_p)). \end{aligned}$$

In case  $\mathcal{N}$  contains a countable basis of neighbourhoods of  $1 \in \hat{G}$ ,  $\varprojlim^1$  vanishes, since all modules  $\mathbf{X}_U$  are compact. Hence in this case  $m$  is exact.

Let  $M \in \text{ob}(\hat{G}\mathbf{prf}_p)$  be an abelian pro- $p$  group with continuous left  $\hat{G}$ -action. For an open normal subgroup  $U \in \mathcal{N}$  we denote by

$$(2.12) \quad M_U := \mathbb{Z}_p[\hat{G}/U] \hat{\otimes}_{\hat{G}} M = M / \text{cl}(\langle (1-u).M | u \in U \rangle)$$

the  $U$ -coinvariants of  $M$ . Here  $\hat{\otimes}$  denotes the pro- $p$  tensor product as defined by A.Brumer (cf. [2, §2]), and  $\text{cl}$  denotes the closure operation. The assignment  $\mathfrak{X}(M)$  which assigns  $U \in \mathcal{N}$  the  $U$ -coinvariants  $\mathfrak{X}(M)_U := M_U$  together with the natural map  $N_{V,U}^{\mathfrak{X}(M)}: M_V \rightarrow M_U$ ,  $V \leq U$ , and the mapping  $i_{U,V}^{\mathfrak{X}(M)}: M_U \rightarrow M_V$ ,  $V \leq U$ ,

$$(2.13) \quad i_{U,V}^{\mathfrak{X}(M)}(m + \text{cl}(\langle (1-u).M | u \in U \rangle)) := \sum_{x \in V/U} x.m + \text{cl}(\langle (1-v).M | v \in V \rangle),$$

defines a cohomological  $\mathcal{N}$ -Mackey functor  $\mathfrak{X}(M) \in \text{ob}(\mathfrak{CM}_{\mathcal{N}}(\hat{G}, \mathbf{prf}_p))$ . It induces a covariant additive right exact functor

$$(2.14) \quad \mathfrak{X}(-): \hat{G}\mathbf{prf}_p \longrightarrow \mathfrak{CM}_{\mathcal{N}}(\hat{G}, \mathbf{prf}_p),$$

which will be in general not exact. As we will see in the next subsection, the cohomological  $\mathcal{N}$ -Mackey functors obtained this way have a particular property which characterizes them.

**2.4. Cohomology and homology of cohomological  $\mathcal{N}$ -Mackey functors.** Let  $\mathbf{X}$  be a cohomological  $\mathcal{N}$ -Mackey functor for  $\hat{G}$  with coefficients in  $\mathbf{prf}_p$ . For short we call  $\mathbf{X}$  *i-injective*, if all maps  $i_{U,V}^{\mathbf{X}}$ ,  $U, V \in \mathcal{N}$ ,  $V \leq U$ , are injective. Similarly,  $\mathbf{X}$  is called *N-surjective*, if  $N_{V,U}^{\mathbf{X}}$  is surjective for all  $U, V \in \mathcal{N}$ ,  $V \leq U$ .

Assume that  $\mathbf{X} \in \text{ob}(\mathfrak{CM}_{\mathcal{N}}(\hat{G}, \mathbf{prf}_p))$  is *i-injective*. Then we call  $\mathbf{X}$  of *type  $H^0$* , if

$$(2.15) \quad \text{im}(i_{U,V}^{\mathbf{X}}) = \mathbf{X}_V^{U/V}$$

for all  $U, V \in \mathcal{N}$ ,  $V \leq U$ . Here  $\mathbf{X}_V^{U/V}$  denotes the abelian group of  $U/V$ -fixed points on  $\mathbf{X}_V$ . Cohomological  $\mathcal{N}$ -Mackey functors of type  $H^0$  are sometimes also called to have *Galois descent*. The *N-surjective* cohomological  $\mathcal{N}$ -Mackey functor is called of *type  $H_0$* , if

$$(2.16) \quad \ker(N_{V,U}^{\mathbf{X}}) = \sum_{x \in U/V} (x-1) \cdot \mathbf{X}_V$$

for all  $U, V \in \mathcal{N}$ ,  $V \leq U$ . From this definition it is straight forward, that a cohomological  $\mathcal{N}$ -Mackey functor is of type  $H_0$ , if and only if it is isomorphic to a functor  $\mathfrak{X}(M)$  for some  $M \in \text{ob}(\hat{G}\mathbf{prf}_p)$ . The cohomological  $\mathcal{N}$ -Mackey functors being of type  $H_0$  are sometimes also called to have *Galois codescent*.

It is possible to interpret the definitions of being of type  $H_0$  or of type  $H^0$  in a more general homological context. For a cohomological  $\mathcal{N}$ -Mackey functor  $\mathbf{X}$  we define for  $U, V \in \mathcal{N}$ ,  $V \leq U$ ,

(2.17)

$$(2.18) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbf{k}^0(U/V, \mathbf{X}) &:= \ker(i_{U,V}^{\mathbf{X}}), & \mathbf{k}^1(U/V, \mathbf{X}) &:= \mathbf{X}_V^{U/V} / \text{im}(i_{U,V}^{\mathbf{X}}), \\ \mathbf{c}_0(U/V, \mathbf{X}) &:= \text{coker}(N_{V,U}^{\mathbf{X}}), & \mathbf{c}_1(U/V, \mathbf{X}) &:= \ker(N_{V,U}^{\mathbf{X}}) / \sum_{x \in U/V} (x-1) \mathbf{X}_V. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $0 \rightarrow \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow 0$  be a short exact sequence of cohomological  $\mathcal{N}$ -Mackey functors. Then the snake lemma implies that one has exact sequences

$$(2.19) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \mathbf{k}^0(U/V, \mathbf{X}) \rightarrow \mathbf{k}^0(U/V, \mathbf{Y}) \rightarrow \mathbf{k}^0(U/V, \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow \dots \\ \rightarrow \mathbf{k}^1(U/V, \mathbf{X}) \rightarrow \mathbf{k}^1(U/V, \mathbf{Y}) \rightarrow \mathbf{k}^1(U/V, \mathbf{Z}), \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.20) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbf{c}_1(U/V, \mathbf{X}) \rightarrow \mathbf{c}_1(U/V, \mathbf{Y}) \rightarrow \mathbf{c}_1(U/V, \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow \dots \\ \mathbf{c}_0(U/V, \mathbf{X}) \rightarrow \mathbf{c}_0(U/V, \mathbf{Y}) \rightarrow \mathbf{c}_0(U/V, \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

One can therefore think of  $\mathbf{k}^{0/1}(U/V, \_)$  as the 0- and 1-dimensional *section cohomology* of cohomological  $\mathcal{N}$ -Mackey functors, and of  $\mathbf{c}_{0/1}(U/V, \_)$  as the 0- and 1-dimensional *section homology* of cohomological  $\mathcal{N}$ -Mackey functors. It is possible to extend these functors to cohomological and homological functors, respectively. Since we will not make use of the higher derived functors we omit a detailed discussion here. However, we would like to remark, that these functors are not unrelated.

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $\mathbf{X} \in \mathfrak{CM}_{\mathcal{N}}(\hat{G}, \mathbf{prf}_p)$  be a cohomological  $\mathcal{N}$ -Mackey functor and let  $U, V \in \mathcal{N}$ ,  $V \leq U$ . Then one has an exact sequence of  $\hat{G}/U$ -modules*

$$(2.21) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 \longrightarrow \mathbf{c}_1(U/V, \mathbf{X}) &\xrightarrow{\alpha_1} \hat{H}^{-1}(U/V, \mathbf{X}_V) \xrightarrow{\alpha_2} \mathbf{k}^0(U/V, \mathbf{X}) \xrightarrow{\alpha_3} \dots \\ \mathbf{c}_0(U/V, \mathbf{X}) &\xrightarrow{\alpha_4} \hat{H}^0(U/V, \mathbf{X}_V) \xrightarrow{\alpha_5} \mathbf{k}^1(U/V, \mathbf{X}) \longrightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\hat{H}^\bullet(U/V, -)$  denotes Tate cohomology.

*Proof.* The mapping  $\alpha_1: \mathbf{c}_1(U/V, \mathbf{X}) \rightarrow \hat{H}^{-1}(U/V, \mathbf{X}_V)$  is clearly injective. Since  $\alpha_2$  is induced by the norm map  $N_{V,U}^{\mathbf{X}}$ , one has

$$(2.22) \quad \ker(\alpha_2) = \ker(N_{V,U}^{\mathbf{X}}) / \sum_{x \in U/V} (x-1)\mathbf{X}_V = \text{im}(\alpha_1).$$

Furthermore, by axiom (2.9)

$$(2.23) \quad \ker(\alpha_3) = \ker(i_{U,V}^{\mathbf{X}}) \cap \text{im}(N_{V,U}) = N_{V,U}(\ker(\sum_{x \in U/V} x)) = \text{im}(\alpha_2).$$

The mapping  $\alpha_4$  is induced by  $i_{U,V}^{\mathbf{X}}$ . Hence

$$(2.24) \quad \ker(\alpha_4) = (\ker(i_{U,V}^{\mathbf{X}}) + \text{im}(N_{V,U}^{\mathbf{X}})) / \text{im}(N_{V,U}^{\mathbf{X}}) = \text{im}(\alpha_3).$$

The mapping  $\alpha_5$  is the canonical map and thus surjective. Furthermore,

$$(2.25) \quad \ker(\alpha_5) = \text{im}(i_{U,V}^{\mathbf{X}}) / (\sum_{x \in U/V} x) \cdot \mathbf{X}_V = \text{im}(\alpha_4).$$

This yields the claim.  $\square$

*Remark 2.2.* Let  $\hat{G}$  be a finite cyclic group and let  $\mathcal{N} = \{1, \hat{G}\}$ . Using an alternative approach for the definition of  $\mathbf{c}_\bullet(\hat{G}, -)$  and  $\mathbf{k}^\bullet(\hat{G}, -)$  one sees that there exist connecting homomorphisms making the sequence

$$(2.26) \quad (\mathbf{k}^0(\hat{G}, -), \mathbf{k}^1(\hat{G}, -), \mathbf{c}_1(\hat{G}, -), \mathbf{c}_0(\hat{G}, -))$$

a (co)homological functor. Let  $M \in \text{ob}(\hat{G}\text{-}\mathbf{prf}_p)$  be a finitely generated  $\mathbb{Z}_p[\hat{G}]$ -module. Then (2.21) says that the Herbrand quotient (cf. [10, Kap.IV, §7])

$$(2.27) \quad h(\hat{G}, M) := \frac{|\hat{H}^0(\hat{G}, M)|}{|\hat{H}^{-1}(\hat{G}, M)|}$$

can be interpreted as a kind of multiplicative Euler characteristic, i.e., one has

$$(2.28) \quad h(\hat{G}, M) = \frac{|\mathbf{c}_0(\hat{G}, \mathfrak{X}(M))| \cdot |\mathbf{k}^1(\hat{G}, \mathfrak{X}(M))|}{|\mathbf{c}_1(\hat{G}, \mathfrak{X}(M))| \cdot |\mathbf{k}^0(\hat{G}, \mathfrak{X}(M))|} =: \chi(\mathfrak{X}(M)).$$

For short we say that a cohomological  $\mathcal{N}$ -Mackey functor  $\mathbf{X}$  is *cohomologically trivial*, if  $\mathbf{X}$  is of type  $H^0$  and  $H_0$ . From Proposition 2.1 follows that such a functor satisfies

$$(2.29) \quad \hat{H}^{-1}(U/V, \mathbf{X}_V) = \hat{H}^0(U/V, \mathbf{X}_V) = 0$$

for all  $U, V \in \mathcal{N}$ ,  $V \leq U$ .

**Proposition 2.3.** *Let  $P \in \text{ob}(\hat{G}\text{-}\mathbf{prf}_p)$  be projective. Then for  $V \in \mathcal{N}$ ,  $\mathfrak{X}(P)_V$  (cf. 2.3) is a projective  $\mathbb{Z}_p[\hat{G}/V]$ -module. In particular,  $\mathfrak{X}(P)$  is a cohomologically trivial cohomological  $\mathcal{N}$ -Mackey functor.*

*Proof.* The first statement follows from the fact that deflation from  ${}_{\hat{G}}\mathbf{prf}_p$  to  ${}_{\hat{G}/V}\mathbf{prf}_p$  is mapping projectives to projectives. Since restriction to closed subgroups is mapping projectives to projectives, it suffices to prove the second claim for  $U = \hat{G}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{X}(P)$  is of type  $H_0$ ,  $\mathbf{c}_{0/1}(\hat{G}/V, \mathfrak{X}(P)) = 0$ . As  $P_V \in \text{ob}({}_{\hat{G}/V}\mathbf{prf}_p)$  is projective,  $\hat{H}^{-1}(\hat{G}/V, P_V) = \hat{H}^0(\hat{G}/V, P_V) = 0$ . Hence Proposition 2.1 yields the claim.  $\square$

### 3. CLASS FIELD THEORIES

Throughout this section let  $\hat{G}$  be a profinite group, and let  $p$  be a prime number. We also assume that  $\mathcal{N}$  is a normal Mackey system for  $\hat{G}$ .

For a finite group  $G$  we denote by  $\mathfrak{S}_p(G)$  the set of isomorphism types of irreducible (left)  $\mathbb{F}_p[G]$ -modules. For an irreducible  $\mathbb{F}_p[G]$ -module  $S$  we use the symbol  $[S] \in \mathfrak{S}_p(G)$  to denote its isomorphism type.

**3.1. The cohomological Mackey functors  $\mathbf{Ab}^p$  and  $\mathbf{Ab}^{/p}$ .** For  $U \in \mathcal{N}$ , let

$$(3.1) \quad \mathbf{Ab}_U^p := U_p^{ab} = U/cl([U, U])/O_{p'}(U/cl([U, U]))$$

denote the largest continuous homomorphic image of  $U$  which is an abelian pro- $p$  group. Here  $[\_, \_]$  stands for the commutator subgroup, and  $cl$  denotes the closure operation. Then for  $U, V \in \mathcal{N}$ ,  $V \leq U$ , one has a canonical map  $N_{V,U}^{\mathbf{Ab}^p}: V_p^{ab} \rightarrow U_p^{ab}$ . This map together with the *transfer map* (cf. [10, p.312])

$$(3.2) \quad i_{U,V}^{\mathbf{Ab}^p} := \text{tr}_V^U: U_p^{ab} \rightarrow V_p^{ab}$$

makes  $\mathbf{Ab}^p \in \text{ob}(\mathfrak{CM}_{\mathcal{N}}(\hat{G}, \mathbf{prf}_p))$  a cohomological  $\mathcal{N}$ -Mackey functor. By  $\mathbf{Ab}^{/p}$  we denote its reduction modulo  $p$ , i.e., for  $U \in \mathcal{N}$  one has

$$(3.3) \quad \mathbf{Ab}_U^{/p} := U_p^{ab}/p = \mathbf{Ab}_U^p/p \cdot \mathbf{Ab}_U^p,$$

and the maps  $i_{U,V}^{\mathbf{Ab}^{/p}}$  and  $N_{V,U}^{\mathbf{Ab}^{/p}}$ ,  $U, V \in \mathcal{N}$ ,  $V \leq U$ , are the maps induced from  $i_{U,V}^{\mathbf{Ab}^p}$  and  $N_{V,U}^{\mathbf{Ab}^p}$ , respectively. It is obviously a cohomological  $\mathcal{N}$ -Mackey functor.

**3.2. Weak  $p$ -class field theories.** We define a *weak  $p$ -class field theory*  $(\mathbf{X}, \eta)$  (for  $(\hat{G}, \mathcal{N})$ ) to be a cohomological  $\mathcal{N}$ -Mackey functor  $\mathbf{X} \in \text{ob}(\mathfrak{CM}_{\mathcal{N}}(\hat{G}, \mathbf{prf}_p))$ , together with a surjective morphism  $\eta: \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}^p$  of cohomological  $\mathcal{N}$ -Mackey functors with the following properties:

- (i)  $\mathbf{X}$  is of type  $H^0$ ,
- (ii)  $\mathbf{c}_0(U/V, \eta): \mathbf{c}_0(U/V, \mathbf{X}) \rightarrow (U/V)_p^{ab}$  is an isomorphism for all  $U, V \in \mathcal{N}$ ,  $V \leq U$ .

The property (i) implies that  $\mathbf{k}^{0/1}(U/V, \mathbf{X}) = 0$  for all  $U, V \in \mathcal{N}$ ,  $V \leq U$ . In particular, one has an isomorphism  $\mathbf{c}_0(U/V, \mathbf{X}) = \hat{H}^0(U/V, \mathbf{X}_V)$ . The property (ii) is one of the properties one would expect from a  $p$ -class field theory. However, in order to state the other property, one has also to require some structure on the normal Mackey system  $\mathcal{N}$ .



**3.3.  $p$ -Class field theories.** For short we call a normal Mackey system  $p$ -closed, if it satisfies the following property: Assume that  $W$  is an open normal subgroup of  $\hat{G}$  which is contained in an open normal subgroup in  $U \in \mathcal{N}$ , such that  $U/W$  is a finite abelian  $p$ -group. Then  $W$  is also contained in  $\mathcal{N}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{N}$  be a  $p$ -closed normal Mackey system of  $\hat{G}$ . Then we call the weak  $p$ -class field theory  $(\mathbf{X}, \eta)$  a  $p$ -class field theory, if it satisfies additionally the following property:

- (iii) Let  $U \in \mathcal{N}$  and let  $V, W \leq U$  be open and normal in  $\hat{G}$ , such that  $U/V$  and  $U/W$  are finite abelian  $p$ -groups. Assume that  $im(N_{V,U}^{\mathbf{X}}) = im(N_{W,U}^{\mathbf{X}})$ . Then  $V = W$ .

In a similar fashion one defines a  $/p$ -class field theory: Let  $\mathcal{N}$  be a  $p$ -closed normal Mackey system of  $\hat{G}$ . A cohomological  $\mathcal{N}$ -Mackey functor  $\mathbf{X}$  together with a surjective morphism of  $\mathcal{N}$ -Mackey functors  $\eta: \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}^{/p}$  is called a  $/p$ -class field theory, if the following properties hold:

- (i)  $\mathbf{X}$  is of type  $H^0$ ,
- (ii)  $\mathbf{c}_0(U/V, \eta): \mathbf{c}_0(U/V, \mathbf{X}) \rightarrow (U/V)_{/p}^{ab}$  is an isomorphism for all  $U, V \in \mathcal{N}$ ,  $V \leq U$ ,
- (iii) Let  $U \in \mathcal{N}$  and let  $V, W \leq U$  be open and normal in  $\hat{G}$ , such that  $U/V$  and  $U/W$  are finite elementary abelian  $p$ -groups. Assume that  $im(N_{V,U}^{\mathbf{X}}) = im(N_{W,U}^{\mathbf{X}})$ . Then  $V = W$ .

#### 3.4. The $p$ -Frobenius class field theory and the $/p$ -Frobenius class field theory.

Let  $G$  be a finite group, and let  $\pi_p: \tilde{G}_p \rightarrow G$  denote its universal  $p$ -Frobenius cover. We are considering the normal Mackey system

$$(3.4) \quad \mathcal{F} := \{ U \leq \ker(\pi_p) \mid U \text{ open and normal in } \tilde{G}_p \}.$$

As  $\ker(\pi_p)$  is a pro- $p$  group, it is obviously  $p$ -closed.

Let

$$(3.5) \quad 0 \longrightarrow P_1 \xrightarrow{\delta} P_0 \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} \mathbb{Z}_p \longrightarrow 0$$

be a minimal projective resolution of the trivial  $\mathbb{Z}_p[[\tilde{G}_p]]$ -module  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  in  $\tilde{G}_p \mathbf{prf}_p$ . In particular,  $\varepsilon: P_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$  and  $\delta': P_1 \rightarrow \ker(\varepsilon)$  are minimal projective covers in  $\tilde{G}_p \mathbf{prf}_p$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{S}_p(G)$  denote the set of isomorphism types of irreducible  $\mathbb{F}_p[G]$ -modules, and let  $\tau_S: P_S \rightarrow S$  denote a minimal projective cover in  $\tilde{G}_p \mathbf{prf}_p$ ,  $[S] \in \mathfrak{S}_p(G)$ . As (3.5) is minimal, one has isomorphisms

$$(3.6) \quad \mathbf{Hom}_{\tilde{G}_p}(P_1, S) \simeq H^1(\tilde{G}_p, S)$$

for all  $[S] \in \mathfrak{S}_p(G)$ . In particular,  $P_1 \simeq \coprod_{[S] \in \mathfrak{S}_p(G)} P_S^{\mu_S}$ , where

$$(3.7) \quad \mu_S := \frac{\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(H^1(\tilde{G}_p, S))}{\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(\text{End}_G(S))}.$$

Let  $U \in \mathcal{F}$ . As  $-_U$  is right exact, one has an exact sequence

$$(3.8) \quad (P_1)_U \xrightarrow{\delta_U} (P_0)_U \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_U} \mathbb{Z}_p \longrightarrow 0.$$

As  $\tilde{G}_p \rightarrow \tilde{G}_p/U$  is a  $p$ -Frobenius extension, inflation induces isomorphisms

$$(3.9) \quad H^1(\tilde{G}_p, S) \simeq H^1(\tilde{G}_p/U, S)$$

for all  $[S] \in \mathfrak{S}_p(G)$  (cf. [16, Prop.3.1]). This yields that

$$(3.10) \quad H^1(\tilde{G}_p/U, S) \simeq \mathbf{Hom}_{\tilde{G}_p/U}((P_1)_U, S)$$

for all  $[S] \in \mathfrak{S}_p(G)$ , and from this one concludes easily that (3.8) is a partial minimal projective resolution. In particular,  $\ker(\delta_U) = \Omega_2(\tilde{G}_p/U, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ .

Let  $\Omega_2 := \ker(\mathfrak{X}(\delta))$ . Then one has an exact sequence of cohomological  $\mathcal{F}$ -Mackey functors

$$(3.11) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \Omega_2 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{X}(P_1) \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{X}(\delta)} \mathfrak{X}(P_0) \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{X}(\varepsilon)} \mathfrak{X}(\mathbb{Z}_p) \longrightarrow 0,$$

and  $\Omega_{2,U} = \Omega_2(\tilde{G}_p/U, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ .

From the Eckmann-Shapiro lemma for  $\mathbf{Tor}_\bullet$  (cf. [13, Lemma 3.3.4]), and the canonical isomorphism  $\mathbf{H}_1(U, \mathbb{Z}_p) \simeq U_p^{ab} = \mathbf{Ab}_U^p$ , where  $\mathbf{H}_\bullet$  denotes homology as defined by A.Brumer (cf. [2, §2]), one obtains an isomorphism

$$(3.12) \quad \eta: \Omega_2 \longrightarrow \mathbf{Ab}^p$$

of cohomological  $\mathcal{F}$ -Mackey functors.

By  $\Omega_2^{/p}$  we denote the reduction mod  $p$  of  $\Omega_2$ , i.e., one has a short exact sequence in  $\mathfrak{CM}_{\mathcal{F}}(\tilde{G}_p, \mathbf{prf}_p)$

$$(3.13) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \Omega_2 \xrightarrow{p \cdot \text{id}} \Omega_2 \longrightarrow \Omega_2^{/p} \longrightarrow 0.$$

By  $\eta^{/p}: \Omega_2^{/p} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}^{/p}$  we denote the induced isomorphism.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $G$  be a finite group,  $\pi_p: \tilde{G}_p \rightarrow G$  its universal  $p$ -Frattini cover, and let  $\mathcal{F}$  be given as in (3.4).*

- (a) *The tuple  $(\Omega_2, \eta)$  is a  $p$ -class field theory for  $(\tilde{G}_p, \mathcal{F})$ .*
- (b) *The tuple  $(\Omega_2^{/p}, \eta^{/p})$  is a  $/p$ -class field theory for  $(\tilde{G}_p, \mathcal{F})$ .*

We call  $(\Omega_2, \eta)$  the  *$p$ -Frattini class field theory* for  $(\tilde{G}_p, \mathcal{F})$ , and  $(\Omega_2^{/p}, \eta^{/p})$  the  *$/p$ -Frattini class field theory* for  $(\tilde{G}_p, \mathcal{F})$ .

*Proof.* (a) One has to verify the axioms (i)-(iii). Axiom (ii) is obviously satisfied. Consider the short exact sequence

$$(3.14) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \Omega_2 \xrightarrow{\iota} \mathfrak{X}(P_1) \longrightarrow \text{coker}(\iota) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since  $\text{coker}(\iota)$  is a cohomological  $\mathcal{F}$ -subMackey functor of  $\mathfrak{X}(P_0)$ ,  $\mathbf{k}^0(\text{coker}(\iota)) = 0$  (cf. (2.19), Prop.2.3). The long exact sequence (2.19) applied to (3.14) and the cohomological triviality of  $\mathfrak{X}(P_0)$  and  $\mathfrak{X}(P_1)$  yields that  $\Omega_2$  is of type  $H^0$ . Hence axiom (i) is satisfied. It remains to verify (iii). We may assume that  $p$  divides the order of the finite group  $G$ , since otherwise  $\Omega_2 = 0$ , and there is nothing to prove. In this case  $\tilde{G}_p$  is of cohomological  $p$ -dimension 1, and thus of strict cohomological  $p$ -dimension 2 (cf. [12, §I.3.2]). In particular, by Brumer's theorem (cf. [2], [10, Kap.IV, §6, Aufg.6])  $\tilde{G}$  possesses a *tautological class field theory*. Let  $(\mathfrak{H}, \rho)$  denote its restriction to the Mackey system  $\mathcal{F}$ , i.e.,  $\mathfrak{H}_U = \mathbf{Ab}_U^p$  and  $\rho_U$  is the identity on  $\mathbf{Ab}_U^p$ . In particular  $(\mathfrak{H}, \rho)$  and  $(\Omega_2, \eta)$  essentially coincide, i.e., one has a commutative diagram in  $\mathfrak{CM}_{\mathcal{F}}(\tilde{G}_p, \mathbf{prf}_p)$

$$(3.15) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \Omega_2 & \xrightarrow{\eta} & \mathfrak{H} \\ \eta \downarrow & & \parallel \\ \mathbf{Ab}^p & \xlongequal{\quad} & \mathbf{Ab}^p \end{array}$$

The property (iii) is well-known for  $(\mathfrak{H}, \rho)$  (cf. [10, Kap.IV, Thm.6.7]). Thus it also holds for  $(\Omega_2, \eta)$ .

(b) It suffices to prove that  $\Omega_2^{/p}$  is of type  $H^0$ . The axiom (ii) is obvious, and axiom (iii) follows from axiom (iii) for  $(\Omega_2, \eta)$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{X}(P_0)^{/p}$  denote the reduction mod  $p$  of  $\mathfrak{X}(P_0)$  and  $\mathfrak{X}(P_1)$ , respectively. Then one has a short exact sequence

$$(3.16) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \Omega_2^{/p} \xrightarrow{\iota^{/p}} \mathfrak{X}(P_1)^{/p} \longrightarrow \text{coker}(\iota^{/p}) \longrightarrow 0,$$

and  $\text{coker}(\iota^{/p})$  is a cohomological  $\mathcal{F}$ -sub Mackey functor of  $\mathfrak{X}(P_0)^{/p}$ . From Proposition 2.1 one concludes that  $\mathfrak{X}(P_0)^{/p}$  and  $\mathfrak{X}(P_1)^{/p}$  are cohomologically trivial. Hence the long exact sequence (2.19) yields the claim.  $\square$

Let  $\pi: \tilde{G} \rightarrow G$  be any  $p$ -Frattini extension, finite or infinite. By universality, there exists a mapping  $\tau: \tilde{G}_p \rightarrow \tilde{G}$ , such that  $\pi_p = \pi \circ \tau$ . Since  $\pi$  is a  $p$ -Frattini extension,  $\tau$  is surjective. For short we put  $N := \ker(\tau)$ .

The morphism  $\tau$  induces a canonical bijection of sets  $\tau_*: \mathcal{F}_N \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\tilde{G})$ , where  $\mathcal{F}$  is given as in (3.4) and

$$(3.17) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_N &:= \{U \in \mathcal{F} \mid N \leq U\}, \\ \mathcal{F}(\tilde{G}) &:= \{U' \leq \ker(\pi) \mid U' \text{ open and normal in } \tilde{G}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\mathbf{C} \in \text{ob}(\mathfrak{CM}_{\mathcal{F}(\tilde{G})}(\tilde{G}, \mathbf{prf}_p))$  denote the cohomological  $\mathcal{F}(\tilde{G})$ -Mackey functor given by

$$(3.18) \quad \mathbf{C}_U := \Omega_{2, \tau_*^{-1}(U)}, \quad U \in \mathcal{F}(\tilde{G})$$

equipped with the obvious maps  $i_{U,V}^{\mathbf{C}}, N_{V,U}^{\mathbf{C}}, U, V \in \mathcal{F}(\tilde{G}), V \leq U$ . Let  $\gamma: \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}^p$  denote the morphism of  $\mathcal{F}(\tilde{G})$ -Mackey functors induced by  $\eta$ . In particular,  $\gamma$  is surjective, but if  $\tilde{G}$  does not coincide with the universal  $p$ -Frattini cover,  $\gamma$  will not be an isomorphism.

Similarly, we define the reduction mod  $p$   $\mathbf{C}^{/p}$  of  $\mathbf{C}$ , i.e., one has

$$(3.19) \quad \mathbf{C}_U^{/p} := \Omega_{2, \tau_*^{-1}(U)}^{/p}, \quad U \in \mathcal{F}(\tilde{G}),$$

and by  $\gamma^{/p}: \mathbf{C}^{/p} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}^{/p}$  we denote the surjective morphism induced by  $\eta^{/p}$ . Again, apart from the case  $\tilde{G} \simeq \tilde{G}_p$ ,  $\gamma^{/p}$  will not be surjective. From Theorem 3.1 one concludes:

**Corollary 3.2.** *Let  $G$  be a finite group, and let  $\pi: \tilde{G} \rightarrow G$  be any  $p$ -Frattini extension. Then*

- (a) *The tuple  $(\mathbf{C}, \gamma)$  is a  $p$ -class field theory for  $(\tilde{G}, \mathcal{F}(\tilde{G}))$ .*
- (b) *The tuple  $(\mathbf{C}^{/p}, \gamma^{/p})$  is a  $/p$ -class field theory for  $(\tilde{G}, \mathcal{F}(\tilde{G}))$ .*

*Remark 3.3.* The definition of a  $p$  or a  $/p$ -class field theory we have given here is very much adapted to our main purpose, which is to prove Theorem B. Nevertheless,  $(\Omega_2, \eta)$  satisfies all class field theory axioms, which are usually required in number theory, i.e., using Tate cohomology one sees easily that for all  $U, V \in \mathcal{F}, V \leq U$ ,

$$(3.20) \quad H^1(U/V, \Omega_{2,V}) = H^1(\tilde{G}_p/V, \Omega_{2,V}) = 0.$$

Moreover, (3.11) defines a *canonical class*  $c \in \mathbf{nat}^2(\mathfrak{X}(\mathbb{Z}_p), \Omega_2)$ , where  $\mathbf{nat}^\bullet(\_, \_)$  denote the derived functors of  $\mathbf{nat}(\_, \_)$  (cf. [9, Chap.XII]). This also applies to the  $p$ -class field theory  $(\mathbf{C}, \gamma)$  defined for any  $p$ -Frattini cover  $\pi: \tilde{G} \rightarrow G$ . However,

as the reader might verify by himself, (3.20) does not hold for the  $/p$ -class field theories  $(\mathbf{\Omega}_2^{1/p}, \eta^{1/p})$  or  $(\mathbf{C}^{1/p}, \gamma^{1/p})$ . Nevertheless, as we will see in the next section, these are the class field theories which are easiest to deal with.

#### 4. $p$ -POINCARÉ DUALITY GROUPS OF DIMENSION 2 AS $p$ -FRATTINI EXTENSIONS

Throughout this section we assume that  $G$  is a finite group, and that  $\pi: \tilde{G} \rightarrow G$  is a  $p$ -Frattini extension. By

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} P_1 & \xrightarrow{\delta} & P_0 \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} \mathbb{Z}_p, \\ Q_1 & \xrightarrow{\delta^{1/p}} & P_0 \xrightarrow{\varepsilon^{1/p}} \mathbb{F}_p \end{array}$$

we denote partial minimal projective resolutions in  $\tilde{G}\mathbf{prf}_p$  and  $\tilde{G}\mathbf{prf}_{/p}$ , respectively.

**4.1. Universal norms.** Let  $\pi: \tilde{G} \rightarrow G$  be a  $p$ -Frattini extension, and let  $(\mathbf{C}, \gamma)$  denote its  $p$ -Frattini class field theory. We call the cohomological  $\mathcal{F}(\tilde{G})$ -Mackey functor  $\mathfrak{N} := \ker(\gamma)$  the *universal norms* of  $(\mathbf{C}, \gamma)$ . Similarly,  $\mathfrak{N}^{1/p} := \ker(\gamma^{1/p})$  will be called the *universal norms* of  $(\mathbf{C}^{1/p}, \gamma^{1/p})$ . One has:

**Proposition 4.1.** *Let  $\pi: \tilde{G} \rightarrow G$  be a  $p$ -Frattini extension. Then:*

- (a)  $\mathfrak{N}$  is  $N$ -surjective. Let  $P_1 \xrightarrow{\delta} P_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$  be a partial minimal projective resolution of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  in  $\tilde{G}\mathbf{prf}_p$ . Then  $\ker(\delta) \simeq m(\mathfrak{N})$ .
- (b)  $\mathfrak{N}^{1/p}$  is  $N$ -surjective. Let  $Q_1 \xrightarrow{\delta} Q_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p$  be a partial minimal projective resolution of  $\mathbb{F}_p$  in  $\tilde{G}\mathbf{prf}_{/p}$ . Then  $\ker(\delta) \simeq m(\mathfrak{N}^{1/p})$ .

*Proof.* (a) For simplicity let us assume that  $\iota: \mathfrak{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  is given by inclusion. Let  $\{U_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathcal{F}(\tilde{G})$  be a linearly ordered basis of neighbourhoods of  $1 \in \tilde{G}$ . We have to show that for  $x \in \bigcap_{m \geq n} \text{im}(N_{U_m, U_n}^{\mathbf{C}})$ , there exists a sequence  $(y_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ ,  $y_k \in \mathbf{C}_{U_{n+k}}$ , such that  $y_0 = x$  and  $y_k = N_{U_{n+k+1}, U_{n+k}}(y_{k+1})$ .

Let  $Z := \prod_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \mathbf{C}_{U_{n+k}}$ . Then  $Z$  is compact by Tychonoff's theorem. Let

$$(4.2) \quad Z_{x,r} := \{ (z_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \in Z \mid z_0 = x, N_{U_{k+1}, U_k}(z_{k+1}) = z_k \text{ for all } k \leq r. \}.$$

Then  $Z_{x,r+1} \subseteq Z_{x,r}$  and all sets  $Z_{x,r}$  are closed. By definition, any finite intersection of sets  $Z_{x,r}$  is non-empty. Hence  $Z_{x,\infty} := \bigcap_{r \in \mathbb{N}} Z_{x,r}$  is non-empty. Any element  $(y_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \in Z_{x,\infty}$  will have the desired property.

By construction,  $\ker(\mathfrak{X}(\delta)) = \mathbf{C}$ . Moreover, one has a short exact sequence of  $\mathcal{F}(\tilde{G})$ -Mackey functors  $0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}^p \rightarrow 0$ . Obviously,  $m(\mathbf{Ab}^p) = 0$ . Thus the claim follows from the exactness of  $m$ . The assertion (b) follows by a similar argument.  $\square$

**4.2. Weakly oriented  $p$ -Poincaré duality groups.** Let  $\hat{G}$  be a profinite group of cohomological  $p$ -dimension  $d$ ,  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $\hat{G}$  is called a  *$p$ -Poincaré duality group of dimension  $d$* , if

- (i) for every finite discrete left  $\hat{G}$ -module of  $p$ -power order  $X$  and for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$  one has

$$(4.3) \quad |H^k(\hat{G}, X)| < \infty,$$

- (ii) the  $p$ -dualizing module  $\mathbb{I}_{\hat{G}, p}$  of  $\hat{G}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p$  as abelian group,

- (iii) for every finite discrete left  $\hat{G}$ -module of  $p$ -power order  $X$ , cup-product induces a non-degenerate pairing

$$(4.4) \quad H^k(\hat{G}, X') \times H^{d-k}(\hat{G}, X) \xrightarrow{H^d(\text{ev}_X) \circ (\cup \cdot)} H^d(\hat{G}, \mathbb{I}_{\hat{G}, p}) \xrightarrow{i} \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p,$$

where  $X' := \text{Hom}(X, \mathbb{I}_{\hat{G}, p})$ ,  $\text{ev}_X: X' \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{I}_{\hat{G}, p}$  is the evaluation map and  $i$  is given as in [12, §I.3.5].

The  $p$ -Poincaré duality group  $\hat{G}$  of dimension  $d$  is called *orientable*, if  $\mathbb{I}_{\hat{G}, p}$  is a trivial  $\hat{G}$ -module, and *weakly-orientable*, if the socle of  $\mathbb{I}_{\hat{G}, p}$  is a trivial  $\hat{G}$ -module, i.e.,  $\text{soc}(\mathbb{I}_{\hat{G}, p}) \simeq \mathbb{F}_p$ .

One can characterize these groups by continuous cochain cohomology as introduced by J.Tate (cf. [14]) with coefficients in  $\mathbb{F}_p[[\hat{G}]]$  as follows:

**Proposition 4.2.** *Let  $\hat{G}$  be a profinite group of cohomological  $p$ -dimension  $d$ ,  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ , and assume (4.3) holds for every finite discrete left  $\hat{G}$ -module of  $p$ -power order  $X$ . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\hat{G}$  is a weakly-orientable  $p$ -Poincaré duality group of dimension  $d$ ,
- (ii)

$$(4.5) \quad \mathbf{H}^k(\hat{G}, \mathbb{F}_p[[\hat{G}]]) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{F}_p & \text{for } k = d, \\ 0 & \text{for } k \neq d, \end{cases}$$

where  $\mathbb{F}_p$  denotes the trivial  $\hat{G}$ -module and  $\mathbf{H}^\bullet$  denotes continuous cochain cohomology.

*Proof.* The implication (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) is implicitly already contained in a letter from J.Tate to J-P.Serre (cf. [12, App.1]) Here one should only note that the second property of a Poincaré duality group ensures that  $\mathbf{H}^k(\tilde{G}, \mathbb{F}_p[[\tilde{G}]])^* = E_k(\mathbb{F}_p)$ .

Note that property (4.5) already implies that (4.4) holds for all finite  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -vector spaces which are discrete  $\hat{G}$ -modules. Then the same argument used in the proof of [12, Prop.I.32]) shows that (4.4) holds for all finite discrete  $\hat{G}$ -modules of  $p$  power order.  $\square$

**4.3. Cohomological Mackey functors for  $p$ -Frattini extensions.** Let  $\mathbf{X}$  be a cohomological  $\mathcal{F}(\tilde{G})$ -Mackey functor, such that  $\mathbf{X}_U$  are finitely generated  $\mathbb{F}_p[\tilde{G}/U]$ -modules for all  $U \in \mathcal{F}(\tilde{G})$ . Then applying  $\text{Hom}_{\tilde{G}}(-, \mathbb{F}_p)$  and changing the role of  $i$  and  $N$  defines a new cohomological  $\mathcal{F}(\tilde{G})$ -Mackey functor which we denote by  $\mathbf{X}^*$ . The functor  $*$  is obviously contravariant and exact.

For short put  $\mathbf{S}(\mathbb{F}_p) := \mathfrak{X}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ ,  $\mathbf{T}(\mathbb{F}_p) := \mathbf{S}(\mathbb{F}_p)^*$ . Then  $\mathbf{S}(\mathbb{F}_p)$  is a cohomological  $\mathcal{F}(\tilde{G})$ -Mackey functor with all mapping  $N_{V,U}^{\mathbf{S}(\mathbb{F}_p)}$  bijective, and  $\mathbf{T}(\mathbb{F}_p)$  is a  $\mathcal{F}(\tilde{G})$ -Mackey functor with all mapping  $i_{U,V}^{\mathbf{T}(\mathbb{F}_p)}$  bijective,  $U, V \in \mathcal{F}(\tilde{G})$ ,  $V \leq U$ .

Thus one has an exact sequence of cohomological  $\mathcal{F}(\tilde{G})$ -Mackey functors

$$(4.6) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathbf{T}(\mathbb{F}_p) \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{X}(\varepsilon/p)^*} \mathfrak{X}(Q_0)^* \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{X}(\delta/p)^*} \mathfrak{X}(Q_1)^*.$$

We put

$$(4.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \Omega^1(\tilde{G}/-, \mathbb{F}_p) &:= \ker(\mathfrak{X}(\delta/p)^*), \\ \Omega^2(\tilde{G}/-, \mathbb{F}_p) &:= \text{coker}(\mathfrak{X}(\delta/p)^*). \end{aligned}$$

It is an easy exercise to show that  $\Omega^1(\tilde{G}/\_, \mathbb{F}_p)$  is  $i$ -injective and  $N$ -surjective, and that  $\Omega^2(\tilde{G}/\_, \mathbb{F}_p)$  is of type  $H_0$ .

**4.4. Extending injective maps  $\Omega^1(G, \mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow \Omega_2(G, \mathbb{F}_p)$ .** The first step in proving Theorem B is establishing the following proposition:

**Proposition 4.3.** *Let  $G$  be a finite group, and let  $\alpha: \Omega^1(G, \mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow \Omega^2(G, \mathbb{F}_p)$  be a mapping of  $\mathbb{F}_p[G]$ -modules. Then there exists a closed normal subgroup  $N$ ,  $N \leq \ker(\pi_p)$  of the universal  $p$ -Frattini extension  $\tilde{G}_p$ ,  $\tilde{G}: = \tilde{G}_p/N$ , and a map of cohomological  $\mathcal{F}(\tilde{G})$ -Mackey functors*

$$(4.8) \quad \alpha: \Omega^1(\tilde{G}/\_, \mathbb{F}_p) \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}^{/p},$$

satisfying  $\text{im}(\alpha) = \mathfrak{N}^{/p}$  and  $\alpha_{\ker(\pi_p)} = \iota_{\ker(\pi_p)} \circ \alpha$ , where  $\iota: \mathfrak{N}^{/p} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{/p}$  denotes the canonical map.

Moreover, if  $\alpha$  is injective,  $\alpha$  is injective.

*Proof.* Put  $V_0 := \ker(\pi_p)$  and  $\alpha_0 := \alpha: \Omega^1(G, \mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow \Omega_2(G, \mathbb{F}_p)$ . Assume we have constructed open normal subgroups  $V_0, \dots, V_{k-1}$  and injective morphisms

$$(4.9) \quad \alpha_{V_i}: \Omega^1(\tilde{G}_p/V_i, \mathbb{F}_p) \longrightarrow \Omega_2(\tilde{G}_p/V_i, \mathbb{F}_p),$$

$i = 0, \dots, k-1$ , such that the diagrams

$$(4.10) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \Omega^1(\tilde{G}_p/V_{i-1}, \mathbb{F}_p) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{V_{i-1}}} & \Omega_2(\tilde{G}_p/V_{i-1}, \mathbb{F}_p) \\ \downarrow \iota_{V_{i-1}, V_i}^{\Omega^1} & & \downarrow \iota_{V_{i-1}, V_i}^{\Omega_2} \\ \Omega^1(\tilde{G}_p/V_i, \mathbb{F}_p) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{V_i}} & \Omega_2(\tilde{G}_p/V_i, \mathbb{F}_p) \end{array}$$

$$(4.11) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \Omega^1(\tilde{G}_p/V_{i-1}, \mathbb{F}_p) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{V_{i-1}}} & \Omega_2(\tilde{G}_p/V_{i-1}, \mathbb{F}_p) \\ \uparrow N_{V_i, V_{i-1}}^{\Omega^1} & & \uparrow N_{V_i, V_{i-1}}^{\Omega_2} \\ \Omega^1(\tilde{G}_p/V_i, \mathbb{F}_p) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{V_i}} & \Omega_2(\tilde{G}_p/V_i, \mathbb{F}_p) \end{array}$$

commute,  $i = 1, \dots, k-1$ . In the first step we construct  $V_k$  and a mapping

$$(4.12) \quad \alpha_{V_k}: \Omega^1(\tilde{G}_p/V_k, \mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow \Omega_2(\tilde{G}_p/V_k, \mathbb{F}_p)$$

such the diagrams (4.10) and (4.11) commute for  $(k-1, k)$ .

Let  $V_k \leq \ker(\pi_p)$  be the unique open normal subgroup such that  $V_{k-1}/V_k$  is elementary  $p$ -abelian, and  $\text{im}(\alpha_{V_{k-1}}) = \text{im}(N_{V_k, V_{k-1}}^{\Omega_2})$ . The uniqueness is guaranteed by axiom (iii) of a  $/p$ -class field theory. Since  $(Q_0)_{V_k}^*$  is a projective  $\mathbb{F}_p[\tilde{G}_p/V_k]$ -module, there exists a mapping  $\alpha': (Q_0)_{V_k}^* \rightarrow \Omega_2(\tilde{G}_p/V_k, \mathbb{F}_p)$  making the diagram

$$(4.13) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \Omega^1(\tilde{G}_p/V_{k-1}, \mathbb{F}_p) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{V_{k-1}}} & \Omega_2(\tilde{G}_p/V_{k-1}, \mathbb{F}_p) \\ \uparrow N & & \uparrow N_{V_k, V_{k-1}}^{\Omega_2} \\ (Q_0)_{V_k}^* & \xrightarrow{\alpha'} & \Omega_2(\tilde{G}_p/V_k, \mathbb{F}_p) \end{array}$$

commute, where  $N: (Q_0)_{V_k}^* \rightarrow \Omega^1(\tilde{G}_p/V_{k-1}, \mathbb{F}_p)$  is the canonical map. Since the  $\mathbb{F}_p[\tilde{G}_p/V_k]$ -module  $\Omega_2(\tilde{G}_p/V_k, \mathbb{F}_p)$  is directly indecomposable, and as  $(Q_0)_{V_k}^*$  is also injective,  $\alpha'$  cannot be injective. Hence  $\alpha'$  factors through a mapping

$$(4.14) \quad \alpha_{V_k}: \Omega^1(\tilde{G}_p/V_k, \mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow \Omega_2(\tilde{G}_p/V_k, \mathbb{F}_p).$$

for which diagram (4.11) commutes for  $(k-1, k)$ .

Let  $x \in \Omega^1(\tilde{G}_p/V_{k-1}, \mathbb{F}_p)$ . As  $\Omega^1(\tilde{G}_p/-, \mathbb{F}_p)$  is  $N$ -surjective, there exists  $y \in \Omega^1(\tilde{G}_p/V_k, \mathbb{F}_p)$  such that  $N_{V_k, V_{k-1}}^{\Omega^1}(y) = x$ . Thus

$$(4.15) \quad \begin{aligned} i_{V_{k-1}, V_k}^{\Omega_2}(\alpha_{V_{k-1}}(x)) &= i_{V_{k-1}, V_k}^{\Omega_2}(\alpha_{V_{k-1}}(N_{V_k, V_{k-1}}^{\Omega^1}(y))), \\ &= i_{V_{k-1}, V_k}^{\Omega_2}(N_{V_k, V_{k-1}}^{\Omega_2}(\alpha_{V_k}(y))) = N_{V_{k-1}/V_k}(\alpha_{V_k}(y)), \end{aligned}$$

where  $N_{V_{k-1}/V_k} := \sum_{g \in V_{k-1}/V_k} g$ . On the other hand

$$(4.16) \quad \begin{aligned} \alpha_{V_k}(i_{V_{k-1}, V_k}^{\Omega^1}(x)) &= \alpha_{V_k}(i_{V_{k-1}, V_k}^{\Omega^1}(N_{V_k, V_{k-1}}^{\Omega^1}(y))) \\ &= \alpha_{V_k}(N_{V_{k-1}/V_k}(y)) = N_{V_{k-1}/V_k}(\alpha_{V_k}(y)), \end{aligned}$$

i.e., the diagram (4.10) commutes for  $(k-1, k)$  as well.

Since  $i_{V_{k-1}, V_k}^{\Omega^1} : \text{soc}(\Omega^1(\tilde{G}_p/V_{k-1}, \mathbb{F}_p)) \rightarrow \text{soc}(\Omega^1(\tilde{G}_p/V_k, \mathbb{F}_p))$  is bijective, and as  $\mathcal{C}^p$  is of type  $H^0$ ,  $\alpha_{V_k}$  is injective provided  $\alpha_{V_{k-1}}$  is injective.

Let  $N := \bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} V_k$ . Then  $\{V_k/N\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  is a basis of open neighbourhoods of  $1 \in \tilde{G}_p/N$ .

Let  $V \in \mathcal{F}_N := \{U \in \mathcal{F} \mid N \leq U\}$ . Then there exist  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$  such that  $V_k \leq V$ . Since  $\Omega^1(\tilde{G}_p/-, \mathbb{F}_p)$  and  $\Omega_2(\tilde{G}_p/-, \mathbb{F}_p)$  are  $i$ -injective cohomological  $\mathcal{F}$ -Mackey functors, there exists a unique mapping

$$(4.17) \quad \alpha_V : \Omega^1(\tilde{G}_p/V, \mathbb{F}_p) \longrightarrow \Omega_2(\tilde{G}_p/V, \mathbb{F}_p)$$

making the diagram

$$(4.18) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \Omega^1(\tilde{G}_p/V, \mathbb{F}_p) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_V} & \Omega_2(\tilde{G}_p/V, \mathbb{F}_p) \\ i_{V, V_k}^{\Omega^1} \downarrow & & \downarrow i_{V, V_k}^{\Omega_2} \\ \Omega^1(\tilde{G}_p/V_k, \mathbb{F}_p) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{V_k}} & \Omega_2(\tilde{G}_p/V_k, \mathbb{F}_p) \end{array}$$

commute. It is easy to check that for all  $U, V \in \mathcal{F}_N$ ,  $V \leq U$ , the diagram

$$(4.19) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \Omega^1(\tilde{G}_p/U, \mathbb{F}_p) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_U} & \Omega_2(\tilde{G}_p/U, \mathbb{F}_p) \\ i_{U, V}^{\Omega^1} \downarrow & & \downarrow i_{U, V}^{\Omega_2} \\ \Omega^1(\tilde{G}_p/V, \mathbb{F}_p) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_V} & \Omega_2(\tilde{G}_p/V, \mathbb{F}_p) \end{array}$$

commutes. Note that  $\Omega_2(\tilde{G}_p/-, \mathbb{F}_p)$  is  $i$ -injective, and that for  $x \in \Omega^1(\tilde{G}_p/V, \mathbb{F}_p)$

$$(4.20) \quad i_{U, V}^{\Omega_2}(\alpha_U(N_{V, U}^{\Omega^1}(x))) = \alpha_V(i_{U, V}^{\Omega^1}(N_{V, U}^{\Omega^1}(x))) = \alpha_V(N_{U/V}(x)),$$

$$(4.21) \quad i_{U, V}^{\Omega_2}(N_{V, U}^{\Omega_2}(\alpha_V(x))) = N_{V/U}(\alpha_V(x)) = \alpha_V(N_{U/V}(x)).$$

Hence the diagram

$$(4.22) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \Omega^1(\tilde{G}_p/U, \mathbb{F}_p) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_U} & \Omega_2(\tilde{G}_p/U, \mathbb{F}_p) \\ N_{V, U}^{\Omega^1} \uparrow & & \uparrow N_{V, U}^{\Omega_2} \\ \Omega^1(\tilde{G}_p/V, \mathbb{F}_p) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_V} & \Omega_2(\tilde{G}_p/V, \mathbb{F}_p) \end{array}$$

commutes as well showing that

$$(4.23) \quad \alpha : \Omega^1(\tilde{G}_p/-, \mathbb{F}_p) \longrightarrow \Omega_2(\tilde{G}_p/-, \mathbb{F}_p)$$

is a morphism of cohomological  $\mathcal{F}(\tilde{G}_p/N)$ -Mackey functors. By construction, one has  $im(\alpha) = \mathfrak{N}^{/p}$ . Moreover, if  $\alpha$  is injective, then the construction shows that  $\alpha$  is also injective. This yields the claim.  $\square$

**4.5.  $\Omega^1$ -relator  $p$ -Frattini extensions.** Let  $\pi: \tilde{G} \rightarrow G$  be a  $p$ -Frattini extension of  $G$ , and let  $(\mathbf{C}^{/p}, \gamma^{/p})$  denote its  $/p$ -Frattini class field theory. We call  $\pi$  an  $\Omega^1$ -relator  $p$ -Frattini extension, if there exists a map

$$(4.24) \quad \alpha: \Omega^1(\tilde{G}/\_, \mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{/p}$$

of cohomological  $\mathcal{F}(\tilde{G})$ -Mackey functors with  $im(\alpha) = \mathfrak{N}^{/p}$ . If necessary we include the mapping  $\alpha$  in the notation, i.e., we write  $(\pi, \alpha)$  for a  $\Omega^1$ -relator  $p$ -Frattini extension.

For the universal  $p$ -Frattini extension  $\pi_p: \tilde{G}_p \rightarrow G$  one has  $\mathfrak{N}^{/p} = 0$ , and thus  $\pi_p$  is a  $\Omega^1$ -relator  $p$ -Frattini extension.

From Proposition 4.3 one concludes that one can also construct such a  $p$ -Frattini extension starting from a map  $\alpha: \Omega^1(G, \mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow \Omega_2(G, \mathbb{F}_p)$ .

Another source of examples arises in the context of modular towers. The starting point in the study of modular towers is a fixed surjective morphism  $\phi: \hat{G} \rightarrow G$  where  $\hat{G}$  is a certain profinite orientable  $p$ -Poincaré duality group of dimension 2 onto a finite group  $G$ . A modular tower consists of all open normal subgroups  $U$  in  $\hat{G}$  contained in  $ker(\phi)$  such that the induced map  $\phi_U: \hat{G}/U \rightarrow G$  is a  $p$ -Frattini extension (cf. [1]). The ‘limit groups’ of a modular tower correspond to a closed normal subgroup  $A \leq ker(\phi)$  such that  $\phi_A: \hat{G}/A \rightarrow G$  is a maximal  $p$ -Frattini extension  $\phi$  can factor through. In particular,  $(\phi_A, \pi_A)$ ,  $\pi_A: \tilde{G} \rightarrow \hat{G}/A$  the canonical projection, is a *maximal  $p$ -Frattini quotient* of  $\phi$  (cf. [16]). These  $p$ -Frattini extension have the following property.

**Proposition 4.4.** *Let  $\phi: \hat{G} \rightarrow G$  be a surjective map of the profinite weakly-orientable  $p$ -Poincaré duality group  $\hat{G}$  of dimension 2 onto the finite group  $G$ . Then for every maximal  $p$ -Frattini quotient  $(\pi, \beta)$ ,  $\pi: im(\beta) \rightarrow G$  is a  $\Omega^1$ -relator  $p$ -Frattini extension of  $G$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $B := im(\beta)$ , and let

$$(4.25) \quad Q_1 \xrightarrow{\delta^{/p}} Q_0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_p$$

be a partial minimal projective resolution in  ${}_B\mathbf{prf}_{/p}$ . Put  $M := ker(\delta)$ . By [16, Prop.3.4], one has a surjective map  $\alpha: Q_0 \rightarrow M$ . Since  $\mathfrak{N}^{/p}$  is norm surjective (cf. Prop.4.1(b)), one has a surjective map of cohomological  $\mathcal{F}(B)$ -Mackey functors

$$(4.26) \quad \rho: \mathfrak{X}(Q_0) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{X}(M) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{N}^{/p}.$$

Since  $\mathfrak{N}^{/p}$  is a  $\mathcal{F}(B)$ -sub Mackey functor of  $\mathbf{C}$ , and as  $(Q_0)_U$  is an injective  $\mathbb{F}_p[B/U]$ -module,  $\rho_U: (Q_0)_U \rightarrow \mathfrak{N}_U^{/p} \leq \Omega_2(B/U, \mathbb{F}_p)$  cannot be injective, i.e.  $soc((Q_0)_U) \leq ker(\rho_U)$ . Hence  $\rho$  induces a surjective mapping

$$(4.27) \quad \rho_*: \Omega^1(B/\_, \mathbb{F}_p) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{N}^{/p}$$

of cohomological  $\mathcal{F}(B)$ -Mackey functors and this yields the claim.  $\square$

In order to finish the proof of Theorem B, we establish the following theorem:



**Theorem 4.5.** *Let  $(\pi, \alpha)$ ,  $\pi: \tilde{G} \rightarrow G$ , be a  $\Omega^1$ -relator  $p$ -Frattini extension. Assume further that  $\alpha$  is injective, and that  $\alpha_{\ker(\pi)}$  is not an isomorphism. Then  $\tilde{G}$  is a weakly-orientable  $p$ -Poincaré duality group of dimension 2.*

*Proof.* Note that  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(\Omega_2(G, \mathbb{F}_p)) > \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(\Omega^1(G, \mathbb{F}_p))$  implies that  $\tilde{G}$  is infinite (cf. [16, Prop.3.5]). It suffices to prove that  $\mathbf{H}^k(\tilde{G}, \mathbb{F}_p[[\tilde{G}]]) = 0$  for  $k \neq 2$ , and  $\mathbf{H}^2(\tilde{G}, \mathbb{F}_p[[\tilde{G}]]) \simeq \mathbb{F}_p$ . As before  $\mathbf{H}^\bullet$  denotes continuous cochain cohomology.

By definition, one has exact sequences of cohomological  $\mathcal{F}(\tilde{G})$ -Mackey functors

$$(4.28) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathbf{T}(\mathbb{F}_p) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{X}(Q_0) \longrightarrow \Omega^1(\tilde{G}/\_, \mathbb{F}_p) \longrightarrow 0,$$

$$(4.29) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \Omega^1(\tilde{G}/\_, \mathbb{F}_p) \longrightarrow \Omega_2(\tilde{G}/\_, \mathbb{F}_p) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Ab}^p \longrightarrow 0,$$

$$(4.30) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \Omega_2(\tilde{G}/\_, \mathbb{F}_p) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{X}(Q_1) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{X}(Q_0) \longrightarrow \mathbf{S}(\mathbb{F}_p) \longrightarrow 0.$$

As  $\tilde{G}$  is infinite  $m(\mathbf{T}(\mathbb{F}_p)) = m(\mathbf{Ab}^p) = 0$ . Thus applying the functor  $m$  yields that one has a minimal projective resolution

$$(4.31) \quad 0 \longrightarrow Q_0 \longrightarrow Q_1 \longrightarrow Q_0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_p \longrightarrow 0$$

of  $\mathbb{F}_p$  in  $\tilde{G}\mathbf{prf}/p$ . Hence  $\tilde{G}$  is of cohomological  $p$ -dimension 2.

In his letter to J-P.Serre (cf. [12, App.1]), J.Tate described how one can compute the Pontryagin dual of the cohomology groups  $\mathbf{H}^k(\tilde{G}, \mathbb{F}_p[[\tilde{G}]])$ . Translated to our situation we obtain

$$(4.32) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbf{H}^2(\tilde{G}, \mathbb{F}_p[[\tilde{G}]])^* &= \varinjlim_U \mathbf{H}_2(U, \mathbb{F}_p), \\ \mathbf{H}^1(\tilde{G}, \mathbb{F}_p[[\tilde{G}]])^* &= \varinjlim_U \mathbf{H}_1(U, \mathbb{F}_p). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\tilde{G}$  is infinite,  $\mathbf{H}^0(\tilde{G}, \mathbb{F}_p[[\tilde{G}]]) = 0$ . From the exact sequences (4.28) it follows that one has an isomorphism of  $\mathcal{F}(\tilde{G})$ -Mackey functors  $\mathbf{H}_2(\_, \mathbb{F}_p) \simeq \mathbf{T}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ . This yields  $\mathbf{H}^2(\tilde{G}, \mathbb{F}_p[[\tilde{G}]]) \simeq \mathbb{F}_p$ .

Let  $\alpha^*: \Omega^2(\tilde{G}/\_, \mathbb{F}_p) \longrightarrow \Omega_1(\tilde{G}/\_, \mathbb{F}_p)$  be the Pontryagin dual of  $\alpha$ . Then by (4.32),  $\mathbf{H}^1(\tilde{G}, \mathbb{F}_p[[\tilde{G}]]) \simeq m(\ker(\alpha^*))$ . Moreover,  $\alpha^*$  is surjective. Since for all  $U \in \mathcal{F}(\tilde{G})$ , one has an isomorphism

$$(4.33) \quad hd(\alpha_U^*): hd(\Omega_2(\tilde{G}/U, \mathbb{F}_p)) \longrightarrow hd(\Omega_1(\tilde{G}/U, \mathbb{F}_p)),$$

where  $hd(\_)$  denotes the head of a module, one obtains a commutative diagram

$$(4.34) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \Omega^1(\tilde{G}/\_, \mathbb{F}_p) & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{X}(Q_1)^* & \longrightarrow & \Omega^2(\tilde{G}/\_, \mathbb{F}_p) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \rho \downarrow & & \sigma \downarrow & & \alpha^* \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \Omega_2(\tilde{G}/\_, \mathbb{F}_p) & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{X}(Q_1)^* & \longrightarrow & \Omega_1(\tilde{G}/\_, \mathbb{F}_p) \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

By (4.33),  $\sigma$  is an isomorphism. So by the snake lemma,  $\rho$  is injective, and one has an isomorphism  $coker(\rho) = \ker(\alpha^*)$ . Since  $\Omega^1(\tilde{G}/\_, \mathbb{F}_p)$  is  $N$ -surjective, all elements in  $im(\sigma)$  are universal norms. Hence by dimension arguments,  $im(\rho) = im(\alpha)$  and this yields

$$(4.35) \quad m(\ker(\alpha^*)) \simeq m(coker(\rho)) \simeq m(\mathbf{Ab}^p) = 0.$$

This yields the claim.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.6.** *Let  $G$  be a finite group and let  $p$  be a prime number. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) *There exists a  $p$ -Frattini extension  $\pi: \tilde{G} \rightarrow G$  with  $\tilde{G}$  a profinite weakly-orientable  $p$ -Poincaré duality group of dimension 2.*
- (ii) *There exists an injection  $\alpha: \Omega^1(G, \mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow \Omega_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$  which is not an isomorphism.*

*Proof.* This is a direct consequence of [16, Thm.4.1] and Theorem 4.5.  $\square$

*Remark 4.7.* (a) Let  $p = 2$  and let  $G = PSL_2(q)$ ,  $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ . The explicit description of the projective indecomposable  $\mathbb{F}_2[G]$ -modules obtained by K.Erdmann [5] shows that in this case one has an injection  $\alpha: \Omega^1(G, \mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow \Omega_2(G, \mathbb{F}_p)$ .

(b) If  $G$  is  $p$ -perfect, i.e.,  $G_p^{ab} = 0$ ,  $\tilde{G}$  is  $p$ -perfect too. Thus every  $\tilde{G}$ -module  $M \in \text{ob}(\tilde{G}\text{-}\mathbf{prf}_p)$ , which underlying abelian pro- $p$  group is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  and which reduction mod  $p$   $M/p.M$  is a trivial  $\tilde{G}$ -module, must be trivial. Hence in this case one can conclude that  $\tilde{G}$  is indeed a orientable  $p$ -Poincaré duality group of dimension 2.

(c) In [16, Ex.1.4] an example was given were for any maximal  $p$ -Frattini quotient  $(\pi, \beta)$  of a morphism  $\phi: \hat{G} \rightarrow PSL_2(7)$ , the  $p$ -Frattini extension  $\pi$  is of the type described in Theorem 4.5.

(d) One question which has been untouched completely is to describe all isomorphism types of extensions  $\pi: \tilde{G} \rightarrow G$  satisfying (i) of Corollary 4.6. The construction we used does not give any evidence how one can achieve this goal.

## 5. $\Delta$ -FRATTINI EXTENSIONS

Throughout this section we fix a prime number  $p$ . For a given finite group  $G$  we denote by  $\mathfrak{S}_p(G)$  the set of isomorphism types of irreducible (left)  $\mathbb{F}_p[G]$ -modules. For an irreducible  $\mathbb{F}_p[G]$ -module  $S$  we use the symbol  $[S] \in \mathfrak{S}_p(G)$  to denote its isomorphism type.

**5.1. The  $\Delta$ -head of an  $\mathbb{F}_p[G]$ -module.** Let  $\Delta \subseteq \mathfrak{S}_p(G)$  be a set of isomorphism types of irreducible  $\mathbb{F}_p[G]$ -modules. For short we call an  $\mathbb{F}_p[G]$ -module  $M \in \text{ob}(\mathbb{F}_p[G]\text{-mod}_p)$  of finite  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -dimension a  $\Delta$ -module, if  $M$  has a composition series  $(M_k)_{0 \leq k \leq m}$ ,  $0 = M_0 < M_1 < \dots < M_m = M$ , with each composition factor being contained in  $\Delta$ , i.e.,  $[M_k/M_{k-1}] \in \Delta$  for all  $k = 1, \dots, m$ . We also assume that  $0 \in \text{ob}(\mathbb{F}_p[G]\text{-mod}_p)$  is a  $\Delta$ -module.

Let  $M$  be an  $\mathbb{F}_p[G]$ -module of finite  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -dimension. We call an  $\mathbb{F}_p[G]$ -submodule  $N \leq M$  a  $\Delta$ -kernel, if  $M/N$  is a  $\Delta$ -module. Obviously, the intersection of any set of  $\Delta$ -kernels  $N_i \leq M$ ,  $i \in I$ , is again a  $\Delta$ -kernel. Hence there exists a minimal  $\Delta$ -kernel  $M_\Delta \leq M$ . For short we call

$$(5.1) \quad \text{hd}_\Delta(M) := M/M_\Delta$$

The  $\Delta$ -head of  $M$ .

**5.2. The universal  $\Delta$ -Frattini extension.** Let

$$(5.2) \quad 1 \longrightarrow \Omega_2(G, \mathbb{F}_p) \xrightarrow{\iota} \tilde{G}/_p \xrightarrow{\pi/p} G \longrightarrow 1$$

be the universal elementary  $p$ -abelian Frattini extension of  $G$ , where  $\iota$  is considered to be given by inclusion. Factoring by the minimal  $\Delta$ -kernel  $\Omega_2(G, \mathbb{F}_p)_\Delta$  of  $\Omega_2(G, \mathbb{F}_p)$  yields a  $\Delta$ -Frattini extension

$$(5.3) \quad 1 \longrightarrow \text{hd}(\Omega_2(G, \mathbb{F}_p)) \xrightarrow{\iota} \tilde{G}/_\Delta \xrightarrow{\pi/\Delta} G \longrightarrow 1$$

which is easily seen to be universal with respect to all elementary  $p$ -abelian  $\Delta$ -Frattini extensions of  $G$ . Thus for  $G_0 := G$ , and  $\pi_{i+1,i}: G_{i+1} \rightarrow G_i$  the universal elementary  $p$ -abelian  $\Delta$ -Frattini extension of  $G_i$ , we obtain an inverse system whose inverse limit

$$(5.4) \quad \tilde{G}_\Delta := \varprojlim_{i \in \mathbb{N}_0} G_i$$

together with the canonical map  $\pi_\Delta: \tilde{G}_\Delta \rightarrow G$  is a  $\Delta$ -Frattini extension of  $G$ . The universality as well as the uniqueness up to isomorphism follows by the same arguments which were used to prove these statements for the universal  $p$ -Frattini extension (cf. [6]).

At this point we have to deal with the question how one characterizes the universal  $\Delta$ -Frattini extension among all  $\Delta$ -Frattini extensions. This is the subject of the following proposition.

**Proposition 5.1.** *Let  $\pi: \tilde{G} \rightarrow G$  be a  $\Delta$ -Frattini extension of  $G$ ,  $\Delta \subseteq \mathfrak{S}_p(G)$ . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\pi$  coincides with the universal  $\Delta$ -Frattini extension of  $G$ .
- (ii)  $H^2(\tilde{G}, S) = 0$  for all irreducible  $\mathbb{F}_p[G]$ -modules  $S$ ,  $[S] \in \Delta$ .

*Proof.* Assume that  $\pi: \tilde{G} \rightarrow G$  is the universal  $\Delta$ -Frattini extension of  $G$ , and that there exists an irreducible  $\mathbb{F}_p[G]$ -module  $S$ ,  $[S] \in \Delta$ , with  $H^2(\tilde{G}, S) \neq 0$ . For  $\eta \in H^2(\tilde{G}, S)$ ,  $\eta \neq 0$ , the associated extension of profinite groups

$$(5.5) \quad \mathbf{s}(\eta): 1 \longrightarrow S \longrightarrow X \xrightarrow{\tau} \tilde{G} \longrightarrow 1$$

is non-split and thus  $\tau \circ \pi: X \rightarrow G$  is a  $\Delta$ -Frattini extension. The universality of  $\pi$  implies that  $\tau$  has a section  $\sigma: \tilde{G} \rightarrow X$  contradicting the fact that  $\mathbf{s}(\eta)$  is non-split. Thus (i) implies (ii).

Assume that  $H^2(\tilde{G}, S) = 0$  for all  $[S] \in \Delta$ , and let  $\pi_\Delta: \tilde{G}_\Delta \rightarrow G$  be the universal  $\Delta$ -Frattini extension of  $G$ . Then one has a surjective map  $\beta: \tilde{G}_\Delta \rightarrow \tilde{G}$ , and thus an isomorphism

$$(5.6) \quad \tilde{\beta}^{-1}: \tilde{G} \longrightarrow \tilde{G}_\Delta / \ker(\beta).$$

Assume that  $\ker(\beta) \neq 1$  is non-trivial, and let  $U \leq \ker(\beta)$  be a maximal open subgroup of  $\ker(\beta)$  which is normal in  $\tilde{G}_\Delta$ . Since  $[\ker(\beta)/U] \in \Delta$ , one has  $H^2(\tilde{G}, \ker(\beta)/U) = 0$ . Hence the embedding problem

$$(5.7) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & & \tilde{G} & & \\ & & & & \downarrow \tilde{\beta}^{-1} & & \\ \mathbf{s}: & 1 & \longrightarrow & \ker(\beta)/U & \longrightarrow & \tilde{G}_\Delta/U & \longrightarrow & \tilde{G}_\Delta/\ker(\beta) & \longrightarrow & 1 \end{array}$$

has a weak solution (cf. [16, Prop.3.2]). This implies that  $\mathbf{s}$  is split exact, which contradicts the fact that  $\mathbf{s}$  is also a  $p$ -Frattini extension. Thus  $\ker(\beta) = 1$ , and this yields the claim.  $\square$

**5.3. Chevalley groups over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ .** For a given Dynkin diagram  $D$  let  $X_D$  be the simple simply-connected  $\mathbb{Z}$ -Chevalley group scheme associated to  $D$ , i.e., if  $D$  is of type  $A_n$ , one has  $X_D = Sl_{n+1}$ . It has been proved in [18, Thm.B] that

$$(5.8) \quad \pi_D: X_D(\mathbb{Z}_p) \longrightarrow X_D(\mathbb{F}_p)$$

is a  $p$ -Frattini extension apart from possibly 11 explicitly known values of  $(D, p)$ . It was also shown that in 8 of these 11 cases (5.8) fails to be a  $p$ -Frattini extension.

In case  $\pi_D$  is a  $p$ -Frattini extension, then it is also a  $\Delta_D$ -Frattini extension, where  $\Delta_D$  consists of all the  $\mathbb{F}_p[X(F_p)]$ -composition factors of the  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -Chevalley Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{L}_D \otimes \mathbb{F}_p$  (cf. [18, (2.5)]). If one has additionally

$$(5.9) \quad (D, p) \notin \{ (A_n, p), p|(n+1), (B_n, 2), (C_n, 2), (D_n, 2), \dots, (E_6, 3), (E_7, 2), (F_4, 2), (G_2, 2), (G_2, 3) \},$$

then  $\mathfrak{L}_D \otimes \mathbb{F}_p$  is an irreducible  $\mathbb{F}_p[X_D(\mathbb{F}_p)]$ -module (cf. [18, Lemma 2.10]), and thus  $\Delta_D = \{[\mathfrak{L}_D \otimes \mathbb{F}_p]\}$ .

The question raised in [6, Prob.20.40] can now be restated in the following way.

**Question 5.2.** *Assume that  $p$  is large with respect to the Coxeter number of  $D$ . Is it true that the  $p$ -Frattini extension  $\pi_D: X(\mathbb{Z}_p) \rightarrow X(\mathbb{F}_p)$  coincide with the universal  $\Delta_D$ -Frattini extension?*

From Proposition 5.1 one concludes that the problem of Question 5.2 is equivalent to the following vanishing problem.

**Question 5.3.** *Assume that  $p$  is large with respect to the Coxeter number of  $D$ . Is it true that*

$$(5.10) \quad H^2(X_D(\mathbb{Z}_p), \mathfrak{L}_D \otimes \mathbb{F}_p) = 0?$$

As we see in the following theorem both questions have an affirmative answer for  $X_D = Sl_2$ .

**Theorem 5.4.** *Let  $p$  be a prime number different from 2, 3 or 5. Then*

$$(5.11) \quad \pi_{A_1}: Sl_2(\mathbb{Z}_p) \rightarrow Sl_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$$

*coincides with the universal  $\Delta$ -Frattini extension for all  $\Delta \subseteq \mathfrak{S}_p(Sl_2(\mathbb{F}_p))$  satisfying  $[M_2] \in \Delta$ ,  $[M_{p-3}] \notin \Delta$ , where  $M_k$ ,  $k = 0, \dots, p-1$  denotes the irreducible  $\mathbb{F}_p[Sl_2(\mathbb{F}_p)]$ -module of highest weight  $k$  and  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -dimension  $k+1$ .*

*Proof.* By the previously mentioned remark and Proposition 5.1 it suffices to show that  $H^2(Sl_2(\mathbb{Z}_p), M_k) = 0$  for all  $k \neq p-3$ .

As  $p \neq 2, 3$ ,  $\tilde{G} := Sl_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$  is  $p$ -torsionfree, and thus a  $p$ -Poincaré duality group of dimension  $d$  (cf. [13, Prop.4.4.1]). As we assumed  $p \neq 2, 3$ ,  $\tilde{G}$  is perfect (cf. [18, Prop.3.2]). Thus its  $p$ -dualizing module  $\mathbb{I}_{\tilde{G}, p}$  is a trivial  $\tilde{G}$ -module. Hence by Poincaré duality and the Universal Coefficient Theorem one has

$$(5.12) \quad H^2(Sl_2(\mathbb{Z}_p), M_k) \simeq H_1(Sl_2(\mathbb{Z}_p), M_k) \simeq H^1(Sl_2(\mathbb{Z}_p), M_k)^*,$$

where  $*$  denotes the Pontryagin dual. Moreover, from [16, Prop.3.1] and [17] one concludes that

$$(5.13) \quad H^1(Sl_2(\mathbb{Z}_p), M_k) \simeq H^1(Sl_2(\mathbb{F}_p), M_k) = 0$$

for  $k \neq p-3$ . This yields the claim.  $\square$

*Remark 5.5.* Theorem 5.4 does not hold for  $p = 2, 3$  or 5, but in each case for a different reason.

For  $p = 2$  or 3,  $\pi_{A_1}$  is not a 2-Frattini extension (cf. [18, Thm.B]). For  $p = 3$ ,  $\pi_{A_1}$  is even a split extension, since in this case  $\mathfrak{L}_{A_1} \otimes \mathbb{F}_3$  is isomorphic to the Steinberg module for  $Sl_2(\mathbb{F}_3)$ .

For  $p = 5$ ,  $\Omega_2(Sl_2(\mathbb{F}_5), \mathbb{F}_5)$  is a  $\Delta_{A_1}$ -module (cf. [17]). Hence the universal elementary  $p$ -abelian  $\Delta_{A_1}$ -extension coincides with the universal elementary  $p$ -abelian Frattini extension  $\pi/p$ . However,

$$(5.14) \quad \dim_{\mathbb{F}_5}(\Omega_2(Sl_2(\mathbb{F}_5), \mathbb{F}_5)) = 6, \quad \dim_{\mathbb{F}_5}(\ker(\pi_{A_1})^{ab}) = 3.$$

This phenomenon can also be explained by analyzing cohomology groups. Since  $p - 3 = 2$ , Poincaré duality and [16, Prop.3.1] implies that

$$(5.15) \quad H^2(Sl_2(\mathbb{Z}_5), \mathfrak{L}_{A_1} \otimes \mathbb{F}_5)^* \simeq H^1(Sl_2(\mathbb{Z}_5), \mathfrak{L}_{A_1} \otimes \mathbb{F}_5) \simeq H^1(Sl_2(\mathbb{F}_5), \mathfrak{L}_{A_1} \otimes \mathbb{F}_5) \simeq \mathbb{F}_5.$$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] P. Bailey and M. D. Fried. Hurwitz monodromy, spin separation and higher levels of modular towers. *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, 70:79–200, 2000.
- [2] A. Brumer. Pseudocompact algebras, profinite groups and class formations. *J. Algebra*, 4:442–470, 1966.
- [3] J. Cossey, O. H. Kegel, and L. G. Kovács. Maximal Frattini extensions. *Arch. Math. (Basel)*, 35(3):210–217, 1980.
- [4] A. Dress. *Contributions to the theory of induced representations*, volume 342 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1973.
- [5] K. Erdmann. Principal blocks of groups with dihedral sylow 2-subgroups. *Comm. Algebra*, 5:665–694, 1977.
- [6] M. D. Fried and M. Jarden. *Field Arithmetic*. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, 3.Folge, Band 11. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1986.
- [7] K. W. Gruenberg. Projective profinite groups. *J. London Math. Soc.*, 47:155–165, 1967.
- [8] K. W. Gruenberg. *Relation modules for finite groups*, volume 25 of *Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences Regional Conference Series in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1976.
- [9] S. Mac Lane. *Homology*. Classics in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995.
- [10] J. Neukirch. *Algebraische Zahlentheorie*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992.
- [11] L. Ribes and P. Zalesskii. *Profinite Groups*, volume 40 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, 3.Folge*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000.
- [12] J-P. Serre. *Galois Cohomology*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, cinquième édition, révisée et complétée edition, 1997.
- [13] P. Symonds and Th. Weigel. Cohomology of  $p$ -adic analytic groups. In M. duSautoy, D. Segal, and A. Shalev, editors, *New horizons in pro- $p$  groups*, volume 184 of *Progress in Mathematics*, pages 349–410. Birkhäuser, Boston, 2000.
- [14] J. Tate. Relations between  $K_2$  and Galois cohomology. *Invent. Math.*, 36:257–274, 1976.
- [15] P. Webb. User Guide to Mackey Functors. In M. Hazewinkel, editor, *Handbook of Algebra*, volume 2, pages 805–836. Elsevier, 2000.
- [16] Th. Weigel. Maximal  $\ell$ -frattini quotients of  $\ell$ -Poincaré duality groups of dimension 2. to appear in *Arch. Math. (Basel)*.
- [17] Th. Weigel. On the universal Frattini extension of a finite group. submitted.
- [18] Th. Weigel. On the Profinite Completion of Arithmetic Groups of Split Type. In M. Goze, editor, *Lois d'algèbres et variété algébrique*, volume 50 of *Travaux en cours*, pages 79–101. Hermann, Paris, 1996.

TH. WEIGEL, UNIVERSITÀ DI MILANO-BICOCCA, U5-3067, VIA R.COZZI, 53, 20125 MILANO, ITALY

*E-mail address:* thomas.weigel@unimib.it