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We discuss some joint work with J. Holshauser on generalizing questions and results about Jonsson and similar notions to non-wellordered sets in the AD context.

We work throughout in ZF + AD.
We write $[\kappa]_{<\delta}^{<\omega} \rightarrow[\kappa]_{\gamma}^{<\omega}$ if for all $\lambda<\delta$ and $f: \kappa^{<\omega} \rightarrow \lambda$ there is an $H \subseteq \kappa$ of size $\kappa$ such that $\left|f\left[H^{<\omega}\right]\right| \leq \gamma$.

Recall the following definitions.

- $\kappa$ is Jonsson if whenever $f: \kappa^{<\omega} \rightarrow \kappa$, there is an $H \subseteq \kappa$ of size $\kappa$ with $f\left[H^{<\omega}\right] \neq \kappa$.
- $\kappa$ is Rowbottom if $[\kappa]_{<\kappa}^{<\omega} \rightarrow[\kappa]_{\omega}^{<\omega}$.
- $\kappa$ is Ramsey if for every $f: \kappa^{<\omega} \rightarrow 2$, there is an $H \subseteq \kappa$ of size $\kappa$ with $f \upharpoonright H^{n}$ constant for each $n$.

In the wellordered case we have the following.
Theorem
(J,Ketchersid, Schlutzenberg, Woodin) Assume AD $+V=L(\mathbb{R})$.
Let $\kappa<\Theta$ be an uncountable cardinal. then:

- $\kappa$ is Jonsson.
- If $\operatorname{cof}(\kappa)=\omega$ then $\kappa$ is Rowbottom.
- $[\kappa]_{<\kappa}^{<\omega} \rightarrow[\kappa]_{\operatorname{cof}(k)}^{<\omega}$ and $[\kappa]_{<\operatorname{cof}(\kappa)}^{<\omega} \rightarrow[\kappa]_{\omega}^{<\omega}$.
- Let $\lambda \leq \kappa$ be an uncountable cardinal. Suppose $f: \kappa^{<\omega} \rightarrow \lambda$. Then there is an $H \subseteq \kappa$ of size $\kappa$ such that $\left|\lambda-f\left[H^{<\omega}\right]\right|=\lambda$. In fact, $\lambda-f\left[H^{<\omega}\right]$ contains a club.

We extend these questions/results to general sets.
For any set $A$, let $A^{n}=\{a \subseteq A:|a|=n\}$. Let $A^{<\omega}=\cup_{n} A^{n}$.

## Definition

Let $A, B$ be infinite sets.

- $A$ is Jonsson if for any $f: A^{<\omega} \rightarrow A$ there is an $X \subseteq A$ with $|X|=|A|$ and $f\left[X^{<\omega}\right] \neq A$.
- $A$ is strongly Jonsson if for any $f: A^{<\omega} \rightarrow A$ there is an $X \subseteq A$ with $|X|=|A|$ and $\left|A-f\left[X^{<\omega}\right]\right|=|A|$.
- $(A, B)$ is a Jonsson pair if for any $f: A^{<\omega} \rightarrow B$ there is an $X \subseteq A$ with $|X|=|A|$ and such that $f\left[X^{<\omega}\right] \neq B$.
- $(A, B)$ is a strong Jonsson pair if for any $f: A^{<\omega} \rightarrow B$ there is an $X \subseteq A$ with $|X|=|A|$ and such that $\left|B-f\left[X^{<\omega}\right]\right|=|B|$.
- $(A, B)$ is Rowbottom if for any $f: A^{<\omega} \rightarrow B$ there is an $X \subseteq A$ with $|X|=|A|$ and $f\left[X^{<\omega}\right]$ is countable.
- $(A, B)$ is Ramsey if for any $f: A^{<\omega} \rightarrow B$ there is an $X \subseteq A$ with $|X|=|A|$ and $f\left[X^{\eta}\right]$ is constant for each $n$.

Theorem
$(\mathrm{AD}+V=L(\mathbb{R}))$ Let $C$ be the closure of $\left\{\kappa, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R} / E_{0}: \omega<\kappa<\Theta\right\}$ under $\cup$ and $\times$. Then for any $A, B \in C,(A, B)$ is a strong Jonsson pair.

Conjecture
Every set $A \in L_{\Theta}(\mathbb{R})$ is (strongly) Jonsson.

Question
Which sets are Rowbottom?

If we assume $V=L(\mathbb{R})$, then every $A \in L_{\Theta}(\mathbb{R})$ is the surjective image of $\mathbb{R}$, and thus can be identified with an equivalence relation on $\mathbb{R}$.

Thus, we are asking which equivalence relations on $\mathbb{R}$ are Jonsson?

Tentative result: If $C^{\prime}$ is the smallest collection containing $\left\{\kappa, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R} / E_{0}: \kappa<\Theta\right\}$ and closed under $\times$ and increasing unions, then every $A \in C^{\prime}$ is strongly Jonsson.

Recall that any two non-smooth hyperfinite equivalence relations on $\mathbb{R}$ are isomorphic, thus we can replace $\mathbb{R} / E_{0}$ in the above with "hyperfinite."

## Some easy cases

Recall a basic result of Mycielski.
Theorem
(Mycielski) Suppose $C_{n} \subseteq\left(2^{\omega}\right)^{n}$ are comeager. Then there is a perfect $P \subseteq 2^{\omega}$ such that $P^{n} \subseteq C_{n}$ for all $n$.

## Theorem

- $\mathbb{R}$ is strongly Jonsson.
- For all uncountable $\kappa$, $(\mathbb{R}, \kappa)$ is Rowbottom.
- For all uncountable $\kappa,(\kappa, \mathbb{R})$ is Rowbottom.

To see $\mathbb{R}$ is strongly Jonsson, let $f: R^{<\omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. View $f$ as $\left\{f_{n}\right\}$, with $f_{n}: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

By taking comeager sets $C_{n} \subseteq\left(2^{\omega}\right)^{n}$ on which $f_{n}$ is continuous, and using Mycielski's theorem, we may assume each $f_{n}$ is continuous.

We build sequences $\sigma_{s}, \rho_{t}$ for $s, t \in 2^{<\omega}$, extending in the usual way and with $\left|\sigma_{s}\right|=\ell(|s|)$ and $\left|\rho_{t}\right|=r(|t|)$.

We will have for all $k \leq 2^{n}, \vec{s} \in\left(2^{n}\right)^{k}$ and $t \in 2^{n}$ :

$$
f_{k}\left[N\left(\sigma_{s_{1}}\right), \ldots, N\left(\sigma_{s_{k}}\right)\right] \cap N\left(\rho_{t}\right)=\emptyset
$$

We let $P, Q$ be the perfect sets defined by the $\sigma_{s}$ and $\rho_{t}$, then $f\left[P^{<\omega}\right] \cap Q=\emptyset$.

Assume $\sigma_{s}, \rho_{t}$ are defined for $s, t \in 2^{n}$.
For $s, t \in 2^{n+1}$, first let $\sigma_{s}^{0}=\sigma_{s \upharpoonright n}{ }^{\wedge} s(n)$, and $\rho_{s}=t^{0}=\rho_{t \upharpoonright n^{\wedge}} t(n)$.
Let $K$ be large enough so that

$$
2^{k-r(n)}>\sum_{k \leq 2^{n+1}}\binom{2^{n+1}}{k}
$$

For each $k \leq 2^{n+1}$ and each $s_{1}, \ldots, s_{k}$ in $\left(2^{n+1}\right)^{k}$, we successively extend the $\sigma_{s}$ so that $N\left(\sigma_{s_{1}}\right) \times \cdots \times N\left(\sigma_{s_{k}}\right)$ determines the first $K$ values of $f_{k}$.

From the choice of $K$, there are $\rho_{t}$ such that

$$
f\left[N\left(\sigma_{s_{1}}\right) \times \cdots \times N\left(\sigma_{s_{k}}\right)\right] \cap N\left(\rho_{t}\right)=\emptyset
$$

for all $t \in 2^{n+1}$.

The fact that $(\mathbb{R}, \kappa)$ is Rowbottom follows from additivity of category and the fact that there are only countably many neighborhoods in $\left(2^{\omega}\right)^{n}$.

The fact that $(\kappa, \mathbb{R})$ is Rowbottom follows from the fact that any wellordered subset of $\mathbb{R}$ is countable.

We next show that $\mathbb{R} / E_{0}$ is Jonsson.
This requires establishing a generalization of Mycielski's theorem to $E_{0}$.

## Mycielski for $E_{0}$

Recall the Glimm-Effros dichotomy for $E_{0}$.
Theorem
Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{R} / E_{0}$. Then either

1. $X$ is countable, or
2. $X$ is in bijection with $\mathbb{R}$, or
3. $X$ is in bijection with $\mathbb{R} / E_{0}$.

We say $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ has size $E_{0}$ if $A$ is $E_{0}$-saturated and $A /\left(E_{0} \upharpoonright A\right)$ is in bijection with $\mathbb{R} / E_{0}$.

By Glimm-Effros, this is the same as saying that $E_{0} \upharpoonright A$ is not smooth.

For $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, we write $X_{E_{0}}^{n}$ for $\left\{\vec{x} \in X^{n}: x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right.$ are pairwise $E_{0}$ inequivalent $\}$.

## Theorem

Suppose $C_{m} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{m}$ are comeager for all $m$. Then there is an $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ of size $E_{0}$ so that for all $m, A_{E_{0}}^{m} \subseteq C_{m}$.

Proof. Let $C_{m} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{m}=\left(2^{\omega}\right)^{m}$ be comeager, and wlog the $C_{m}$ are $E_{0}$-saturated. We build $A \subseteq 2^{\omega}$ such that $E_{0} \upharpoonright A$ is not smooth and $A_{E_{0}}^{m} \subseteq C_{m}$ for all $m$.

We build a binary tree $\sigma_{s}$ for $s \in 2^{<\omega}$. will have $\left|\sigma_{s}\right|=\left|\sigma_{t}\right|$ if $|s|=|t|$.

For $s \neq t \in 2^{<\omega}$ with $|s|=|t|$ we set

$$
D(s, t)=\max \{n: s(n) \neq t(n) .
$$

For $s_{1}, \ldots, s_{m} \in 2^{<\omega}$, set

$$
\lambda\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{m}\right)=\min \left\{D(u, v): u \neq v \in\left\{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{m}\right\}\right\}
$$

The $\lambda$ function records how " $E_{0}$-inequivalent" the pairs from $s_{1}, \ldots, s_{m}$ appear to be.

## Definition

We say $s_{1}, \ldots, s_{m} \in\left(2^{<\omega}\right)^{m}$ is active if:

1. $\left|s_{1}\right|=\left|s_{2}\right|=\cdots=\left|s_{m}\right|(=n)$
2. $\lambda\left(s_{1} \upharpoonright n-1, \ldots, s_{m} \upharpoonright n-1\right)<\lambda\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{m}\right)$
3. $\lambda(\vec{s}) \geq m$

We let $S_{m} \subseteq\left(2^{<\omega}\right)^{m}$ denote the set of active $m$-tuples.
Let $S_{m}=\left\{\vec{s}_{m, n}\right\}_{n \in \omega}$ where $\vec{s}_{m, n}=\left(s_{m, n, 1}, \ldots, s_{m, n, m}\right)$ enumerate $S_{m}$.

Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
v \in S_{m}(n, i) & \Leftrightarrow s_{m, n, i} \sqsubseteq v \\
v \in S_{m}(n,-1) & \Leftrightarrow v \notin \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq m} S_{m}(n, i)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\sigma_{\emptyset}=\emptyset$. Assume $\sigma_{s}$ has been defined for $|s| \leq n$.
First just split: $\sigma_{s^{\wedge} i}^{0}=\sigma_{s)_{n}}{ }^{-}$.
For the $m \leq n$ such that $S_{m, n} \cap 2^{n+1} \neq \emptyset$ :

- We define $\tau_{m, i}$ for $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, m\} \cup\{-1\}$
- We define integers $i(m, s) \in\{1,2, \ldots, m\} \cup\{-1\}$ for $s \in 2^{n+1}$.

We then let $\sigma_{s}=\sigma_{s}^{0-} \tau_{1, i(1, s)}{ }^{\wedge} \ldots^{\wedge} \tau_{m, i(m, s)} \ldots$

Suppose after stage $m$ we have defined

$$
\sigma_{s}^{m}=\sigma_{s}^{0 \sim} \tau_{1, i(1, s)} \cdots^{\wedge} \tau_{m, i(m, s)}
$$

We need to define $\tau_{m+1, i}$ and $i(m+1, s)$ (where $i \in\{1, \ldots, m+1\} \cup\{-1\})$.

We define $\tau_{m+1, i}$ for $i \in\{1, \ldots, m+1\}$ such that for all $\vec{s}=\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{m+1}\right) \in\left(2^{n+1}\right)^{m+1}$ extending $\left(s_{m+1, n+1,1}, \ldots, s_{m+1, n+1, m+1}\right)$ we have:

$$
N\left(\sigma_{s_{1}}^{m} \tau_{m+1,1}\right) \times \cdots \times N\left(\sigma_{s_{m+1}}^{m}\right) \subseteq W_{m+1, n+1}
$$

where $W_{m+1, n+1}$ is dense open in $\left(2^{\omega}\right)^{m+1}$, decreasing in $n$, and $\bigcap_{n} W_{m+1, n} \subseteq C_{m+1}$.

If $s \in 2^{n+1}$ extends $s_{m+1, n+1, i}$, we set $i(m+1, s)=i$.
If $s \in 2^{n+1}$ does not extend any of the $s_{m+1, n+1, i}$, let
$i=i(m+, s) \in\{1, \ldots, m+1\}$ be least such that $D\left(s, s_{m+1, n+1, i}\right)$ is minimal among $i \in\{1, \ldots, m+1\}$.

For such $s$ set $\sigma_{s}^{m+1}=\sigma_{s}^{m-} \tau_{m+1, i(m+1, s)}$.
This completes the definition of the $\sigma_{s}^{m+1}$, and so completes the definition of the $\sigma_{s}$ for $s \in 2^{n+1}$.

Let $A=\bigcup_{a} \in 2^{\omega} \bigcap_{n \in \omega} N\left(\sigma_{a \upharpoonright n}\right)$ be the perfect set defined by the $\sigma_{s}$.

We first show that $E_{0} \upharpoonright A$ has size $E_{0}$, that is, $E_{0} \upharpoonright A$ embeds $E_{0}$. Let $\phi: 2^{\omega} \rightarrow A$ be the continuous map $\phi(a)=\bigcap_{n} N\left(\sigma_{a \upharpoonright n}\right)$. We show that $\phi$ is a reduction of $E_{0}$ to $E_{0} \upharpoonright A$.

If $a \neq b \in 2^{\omega}$ and $a$ is not $E_{0}$ equivalent to $b$, it is clear that $\phi(a)$ is $E_{0}$-inequivalent to $\phi(b)$.

Suppose $a E_{0} b$ and let $n_{0}=\max \{n: a(n) \neq b(n)\}$.

Claim
There are only finitely many $n$ such that there is an $m \leq n$ with $\vec{s}_{m, n}$ defined and such that there are $1 \leq i<j<m$ with a $\upharpoonright n$ extending $s_{m, n, i}$ and $b \upharpoonright n$ extending $s_{m, n, j}$.

Proof. We need only consider $m$ with $m \geq n_{0}$ (from definition of active). Fix such am $m$. We may assume the values of $i$ and $j$ are fixed. But then for such $n$ we have $\lambda\left(\vec{s}_{m, n}\right) \leq n_{0}$. As the value of $\lambda$ increases for active tuples, there can be only finitely many such $n$.

We can repeat the argument to also get the conclusion for all $a^{\prime} \neq b^{\prime}$ in $Y$, the set of $s$ which agree with a (and hence $b$ ) after $n_{0}$. Say the conclusion holds for all $n \geq n_{1}$ (for all $a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}$ ).

It suffices to show that for all $n \geq n_{1}$ that $i(m, a \upharpoonright n)=i(m, b \upharpoonright n)$ for all $m \leq n$. Fix $n \geq n_{1}$ and $m \leq n$.
Case 1. There are $1 \leq i \neq j \leq m$ with a $\upharpoonright n$ extending $s_{m, n, i}$ and $b \upharpoonright n$ extending $s_{m, n, j}$.

This case cannot occur from the claim and definition of $n_{1}$.

Case 2. There is an $1 \leq i \leq m$ with $a \upharpoonright n \in S_{m}(n,-1)$ and $b \upharpoonright n$ extending $s_{m, n, i}$ (or with $a, b$ switched).
As $n>n_{0}, t_{a \upharpoonright n} \in Y$. We must have $i\left(m, t_{a \upharpoonright n}\right)=i$ as otherwise, since $n>n_{1}, D(a \upharpoonright n, b \upharpoonright n)>n_{0}$, a contradiction.

Case 3. $a \upharpoonright n, b \upharpoonright n \in S_{m}(n,-1)$.
First assume that $t_{a \upharpoonright n} \in Y$, and so $t_{b \upharpoonright n} \in Y$ as well. As $n>n_{1}$ we must have $i\left(m, t_{a \upharpoonright n}\right)=i\left(m, t_{b\lceil n}\right)$, as otherwise $D(a \upharpoonright n, b \upharpoonright n)>n_{0}$.

Next assume $t_{a \upharpoonright n} \notin Y$, so $t_{b \upharpoonright n} \notin Y$ as well. In this case $D\left(a \upharpoonright n, s_{m, n, i}\right)>n_{0}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq m$, and likewise for $b \upharpoonright n$.

It follows that $D\left(a \upharpoonright n, s_{m, n, i}\right)=D\left(b \upharpoonright n, s_{m, n, i}\right)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq m$. It then follows that $i(m, a \upharpoonright n)=i(m, s \upharpoonright n)$.

Thus, $\phi$ is an embedding from $E_{0}$ to $E_{0} \upharpoonright A$.
Finally, we show that $A_{E_{0}}^{m} \subseteq C_{m}$ for all $m$.
Fix $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m} \in A_{E_{0}}^{m}$. Say $\phi\left(a_{i}\right)=x_{i}$.
Thus $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}$ are pairwise $E_{0}$-inequivalent. Thus $\lambda\left(a_{1} \upharpoonright n, \ldots, a_{m} \upharpoonright n\right)$ is monotonically increasing and unbounded with $n$.

So, for infinitely many $k$ we have $\left(a_{1} \upharpoonright k, \ldots, a_{m} \upharpoonright k\right) \in S_{m}$ (is an active $m$-tuple). So, for infinitely many $n$ we have ( $a_{1} \upharpoonright n, \ldots, a_{m} \upharpoonright n$ ) extends ( $s_{m, n, 1}, \ldots, s_{m, n, m}$ ), and so $\phi(\vec{a}) \in W_{m}, n$. Thus, $\vec{x}=\phi(\vec{a}) \in C_{m}$.

Proof that $\mathbb{R} / E_{0}$ is strongly Jonsson.
Let $f:\left[\mathbb{R} / E_{0}\right]^{<\omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} / E_{0}$ be given.
By countable uniformization, there are functions $f_{n}: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which induce $f\left(\vec{x} E_{0} \vec{y} \rightarrow f_{n}(\vec{x}) E_{0} f_{n}(\vec{y})\right)$.

Get comeager $C_{m} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{m}$ such that $f_{m} \upharpoonright C_{m}$ is continuous.
Build sequences $\sigma_{s}, \rho_{t}$. The $\sigma_{s}$ are defined similarly to the $E_{0}$-Mycielski theorem.

Suppose $\sigma_{s}, \rho_{t}$ have been defined for $|s|,|t| \leq n$.

$$
\text { Let } \sigma_{s}^{0}=\sigma_{s \mid n} s(n), \rho_{t}^{0}=\rho_{t \upharpoonright n} \uparrow t(n), \text { for }|s|=|t|=n+1 .
$$

We define $\sigma_{s}^{m}, \rho_{t}^{m}$ for $m \leq n+1$. We will have $\rho_{t}^{m}=\rho_{t}^{0 \wedge} \pi_{1}-\cdots{ }^{\wedge} \pi_{m}$, where $\pi_{m}$ doesn't depend on $t$.
For $m+1$, consider $\vec{s}_{m+1, n+1}$ as before. Let $\ell=\left|s_{m+1, n+1, i}\right|$. There are $p=\left(2^{n+1-\ell}\right)^{m+1}$ many $m+1$-tuples of length $n+1$ extending $\vec{s}_{m+1, n+1}$. Let $k$ be large enough so that $2^{k}>p$.
Then we may define the $\sigma_{s}^{m+1}$ as before and such that for any $\vec{s}$ extending $\vec{s}_{m+1, n+1}$, the corresponding $\sigma_{s}^{m+1}$ determine $f(\vec{s})$ on the $k$ length block of digits after $\left|\rho_{t}\right|+\left|\pi_{1}\right|+\cdots+\left|\pi_{m}\right|$.
We can then choose $\pi_{m+1}$ such that
$f_{m}\left(N\left(\sigma_{s}^{m+1}\right) \times \cdots \times N\left(\sigma_{s}^{m+1}\right)\right) \cap N\left(\rho_{t} \cdots^{\wedge} \pi_{m+1}\right)=\emptyset$ for all $t \in 2^{n+1}$.

The perfect sets $A, B$ defined by the $\sigma_{s}$ and $\rho_{t}$ witness that $\mathbb{R} / E_{0}$ is strongly Jonsson.

