

**MATH 280C SPRING 2016 HOMEWORK 3**

**Due date: Monday June 13**

**Rules:** Write as efficiently as possible – and think carefully what to write and what not. Each problem indicates maximum allowed length; this is usually much more than needed. If you type, do not use font smaller than 10pt. I will not grade any text that exceeds the specified length.

**1. (1 page)** Given a well-founded relation  $R \subseteq X \times X$  we let

$$\text{rank}(R) = \sup(\{\text{rank}_R(x) + 1 \mid x \in X\})$$

Given a well-founded tree  $T$  on a set  $A$  then the well-founded relation in question is the reverse inclusion; in this we write  $\text{rank}(T)$  in place of  $\text{rank}(\supseteq \upharpoonright (T \times T))$ . Notice that  $\text{rank}(T) = \text{rank}_T(\emptyset) + 1$ .

- (a) Give an example of a tree on  $\omega$  whose rank is  $\omega + 1$ .
- (b) Prove by induction on  $\alpha < \omega_1$  that for every  $\alpha < \omega_1$  there is a tree  $T$  on  $\omega$  such that  $\text{rank}(T) = \alpha + 1$ .

**2. (3/2 page)** Given are trees  $S, T$  on sets  $A, B$  respectively. Consider a map  $\sigma : S \rightarrow T$  with the following properties:

- (i)  $s \subseteq s' \implies \sigma(s) \subseteq \sigma(s')$
- (ii) For every  $x \in [S]$  the union  $\bigcup_{n \in \omega} \sigma(x \upharpoonright n)$  is infinite, in other words, this union is an element of  $[T]$ .

Here recall that the body of a tree  $U$  consists of all branches through  $U$ , that is, infinite sequences  $x$  such that  $x \upharpoonright n \in U$  for all  $n \in \omega$ .

- (a) Let  $\sigma : S \rightarrow T$  satisfy (i) and (ii). Prove that the map  $\tilde{\sigma} : [S] \rightarrow [T]$  defined by

$$\tilde{\sigma}(x) = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} \sigma(x \upharpoonright n)$$

is continuous.

- (b) Prove that if  $\tau : [S] \rightarrow [T]$  is a continuous map then there is a map  $\sigma : S \rightarrow T$  satisfying (i) and (ii) such that  $\tau = \tilde{\sigma}$ .

**3. (3/2 page)** One can define the notion of  $\kappa$ -Suslin set for arbitrary Polish space  $X$ . Given a map  $s \mapsto C_s$  defined on  $\kappa^{<\omega}$  such that  $C_s \subseteq X$  for every  $s \in \kappa^{<\omega}$ , define  $\mathcal{A}(\vec{C}_s)$  by

$$\mathcal{A}(\vec{C}_s) = \bigcup_{f \in \kappa^\omega} \bigcap_{n \in \omega} C_{f \upharpoonright n}$$

- (a) Prove that if  $s \mapsto C_s$  is a map as above where each  $C_s$  is a closed subset of  $X$  then there is a closed set  $C \subseteq X \times \kappa^\omega$  such that  $p[C] = \mathcal{A}(\vec{C}_s)$ .
- (b) Prove that if  $C \subseteq X \times \kappa^\omega$  is a closed set then there is a map  $s \mapsto C_s$  as above such that each  $C_s$  is a closed subset of  $X$  and  $p[C] = \mathcal{A}(\vec{C}_s)$ .

**4. (2/3 page)** Recall that a function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is Borel measurable if and only if  $f^{-1}[A]$  is a Borel subset of  $X$  whenever  $A$  is an open subset of  $Y$ . Given a basis  $\mathcal{B}$  of topology of  $Y$ , a function  $f$  is Borel measurable iff  $f^{-1}[B]$  is a Borel subset of  $X$  whenever  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ .

- (a) Let  $f : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$  be a function such that  $f$ , viewed as a subset of  $\mathcal{N} \times \mathcal{N}$ , is  $\Sigma_1^1$ . Prove that  $f$  is Borel measurable.
- (b) Let  $f : \mathcal{N} \times \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$  be a Borel measurable function which implicitly defines a function  $g : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ . That is, for every  $x \in \mathcal{N}$  there is exactly one  $y \in \mathcal{N}$  such that  $f(x, y) = 0$  where we write  $0 = (0, 0, 0, \dots)$ . The function  $g$  is then defined by

$$g(x) = \text{the unique } y \in \mathcal{N} \text{ such that } f(x, y) = 0$$

Prove that  $g$  is Borel measurable. Conclude that if  $h : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$  is a Borel measurable bijection then so is  $h^{-1}$ ; such a map  $h$  is called a Borel isomorphism.

**Hint.** For (a) use Suslin's separation theorem. For (b) use (a).

**5. (1 page)** Recall that the Perfect Set Property asserts that every set  $A \subseteq \mathcal{N}$  is either countable or else contains an perfect subset. Work in **ZF**.

- (a) Assume there is a well-ordering of  $\mathcal{N}$ . Prove that there are disjoint sets  $A, B$  both of size  $2^{\aleph_0}$  such that every perfect subset of  $\mathcal{N}$  has nonempty intersection with both  $A, B$ . Conclude that  $A$  is a set of size continuum without the Perfect Set Property. That is, if there is a well-ordering of  $\mathcal{N}$  then the Perfect Set Property fails.
- (b) Assume Perfect Set Property holds. Prove that there does not exist any injection  $f : \omega_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ .

**Hint.** For (a) first prove that there is an enumeration  $(C_\alpha \mid \alpha < 2^{\aleph_0})$  of all perfect sets and then diagonalize. For (b) use (a).