## MATH 281B WINTER 2017 HOMEWORK 3

## Due date: Monday, March 6

Rules: Write as efficiently as possible: Include all relevant points and think carefully what to write and what not. Use common sense to determine what is the appropriate amount of details for a course at this level. Quote any result from the lecture you are referring to; do not reprove the result. Each problem indicates maximum allowed length; this is usually much more than needed. If you type, do not use font smaller than 10pt.

I will not grade any text that exceeds the specified length.
All structures considered in this assignment are structures for LST or some extension of LST. When we say "structure $M$ " we mean structure $(M, \in)$, so $\in$ is a relation present in all structures we are considering. When we say "set $M$ ", in many contexts we mean the "structure $M$ ".

1. Work in ZF. Let ( $\left.M_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in \mathbf{O n}\right)$ be a cumulative hierarchy and $M=\bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathbf{O n}} M_{\alpha}$. By Tarski's theorem on undefinability of truth, there is no class sequence of closed proper classes $\left(C_{\varphi} \mid \varphi \in \mathrm{FML}\right)$ such that for every $\varphi\left(v_{0}, \ldots, v_{k-1}\right) \in \mathrm{FML}$ and every $\alpha \in C_{\varphi}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{\alpha} \models \varphi(\vec{a}) \Longleftrightarrow M \models \varphi(\vec{a}) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

whenever $\varphi \in \mathrm{FML}, \alpha \in \mathbf{O n}$ and $\vec{a}=\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{k-1}\right)$ is such that $a_{0}, \ldots, a_{k-1} \in M_{\alpha}$. Formulate this rigorously!

However:
(a) Prove that there is a class sequence $\left(C_{\varphi} \mid \varphi \in \mathrm{FML} \wedge \varphi\right.$ is atomic) such that (1) holds for every atomic $\varphi\left(v_{0}, \ldots, v_{k-1}\right) \in$ FML and every $\alpha$ and $\vec{a}$ as above.
(b) Prove that for every $n \in \omega$, the result from (a) can be extended to all $\varphi \in \mathrm{FML}$ which are $\Sigma_{n}$.
(c) Prove that there is an ordinal $\alpha \in \mathbf{O n}$ such that $V_{\alpha} \prec_{1000} \mathbf{V}$.
2. Work in ZF. Recall that if $\alpha$ is an ordinal of uncountable cofinality and $\left(C_{n} \mid\right.$ $n \in \omega)$ is a sequence of closed unbounded subsets of $\alpha$ then $\bigcap_{n \in \omega} C_{n}$ is a closed unbounded subset of $\alpha$. The point here is that one does not need any instance of the Axiom of Choice to prove this.

Prove that if there exists an ordinal $\alpha$ such that $V_{\alpha} \models$ ZF then the smallest such $\alpha$ has countable cofinality.

If $\sigma: M \rightarrow M^{\prime}$ where $M, M^{\prime}$ are transitive and $n \in \omega+1$, we say that $\sigma$ is $\Sigma_{n^{-}}$ preserving iff for any $\Sigma_{n}$-formula $\varphi(\vec{v})$ and any tuple $\vec{a}=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{\ell}\right)$ of elements in $M$ of the same length as $\vec{v}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
M \models \varphi(\vec{a}) \Longleftrightarrow M^{\prime} \models \varphi(\sigma(\vec{a})) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma(\vec{a})=\left(\sigma\left(a_{1}\right), \ldots, \sigma\left(a_{\ell}\right)\right)$. By this terminology, $\sigma$ is $\Sigma_{\omega}$-preserving iff $\sigma$ is fully elementary.
3. Work in ZF. If $M, M^{\prime}$ are transitive sets and $\sigma: M \rightarrow M^{\prime}$ is a $\Sigma_{0}$-preserving map then $\sigma$ is called cofinal iff $M^{\prime}=\bigcup \operatorname{rng}(\sigma)$. (Think what this says!)

Let $A \subseteq M$ be such that $(M, A)$ is an amenable structure; this means that $x \cap A \in M$ whenever $x \in M$. Assume further that $M$ has the following closure property: If $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{\ell} \in M$ are finitely many elements then there is a transitive $y \in M$ sucht that $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{\ell} \in y$.

Prove that there is a unique set $A^{\prime} \subseteq M^{\prime}$ such that $\sigma:(M, A) \rightarrow\left(M^{\prime}, A^{\prime}\right)$ is $\Sigma_{0}$-preserving, namely $A^{\prime}=\bigcup\{\sigma(A \cap x) \mid x \in M\}$, and the structure $\left(M^{\prime}, A^{\prime}\right)$ is amenable. (Here the preservation is understood for the extended language which has a predicate symbol which is interpreted as $A$ in $(M, A)$ and $A^{\prime}$ in $\left(M^{\prime}, A^{\prime}\right)$.)

A $Q$-formula is a formula of the form $(\forall u)(\exists v \supseteq u) \varphi$ where $\varphi$ is a $\Sigma_{1}$-formula and $u$ has no free occurrence in $\varphi$. A map $\sigma: M \rightarrow M^{\prime}$, where $M, M^{\prime}$ are transitive, is $Q$-preserving iff for every $Q$-formula $\varphi(\vec{w})$ and every tuple $\vec{a}$ in $M$ of the same length as $\vec{w}$,

$$
M \models \varphi(\vec{a}) \Longleftrightarrow M^{\prime} \models \varphi(\sigma(\vec{a}))
$$

We will often use the following fragment of ZF which we denote by BS and call the basic set theory:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{BS}= & \text { Existence }+ \text { Extensionality }+ \text { Foundation }+ \text { Pairing }+ \text { Union }+ \\
& \text { Infinity }+\Sigma_{0} \text {-separation }+ \text { Existence of Cartesian products }
\end{aligned}
$$

Notice that if $M$ is nonempty transitive then $M$ automatically satisfies the Existence, Extensionality and the Foundation axiom. Notice also that if we replace the axiom postulating the existence of Cartesian products by $\Sigma_{0}$-collection then we obtain a theory stronger than BS, as the existence of Cartesian products will then follow
4. Work in ZF. Assume $\sigma: M \rightarrow M^{\prime}$ is $\Sigma_{0}$-preserving and cofinal. Prove:
(a) $\sigma$ is $\Sigma_{1}$-preserving.
(b) $\sigma$ is $Q$-preserving.
(c) If $M \models \mathrm{BS}$ and satisfies $\Delta_{0}$-collection then $\sigma$ is $\Sigma_{2}$-preserving.
(d) More generally, if $M \models \mathrm{BS}$, satisfies $\Pi_{n}$-collection and $\Pi_{n}$-separation then $\sigma$ is $\Sigma_{n+2}$-preserving. Thus, if $M \models$ ZF then $\sigma$ is fully elementary and $M^{\prime} \models \mathrm{ZF}$.

