

26.6.2012 (1)

26.6.2012.

### Exercise on Fine structure and $\Omega_2$

1. Prove that  $B_T$  are coherent and closed
2. Prove that acceptable structures satisfy GCH,  
and  $(H_T)^M = \mathcal{I}_T^B$  when  $M = (\mathcal{I}_T^B, D)$
3. If  $M$  is acceptable then  $R_{H_M}^i \in P_{H_M}^i$
4. Let  $h_m$  be the uniform  $\Sigma_1$ -Skolem function for  $H$   
and  $X \subseteq M$ . Show  $h_m(X) = \{h_m(i; x) \mid x \in X\}$  is  
the smallest  $\Sigma_1$ -elementary substructure of  $M$   
that contains  $X$ .
5. Assume  $P_T^1 = \tau$  all  $T$ . Show that if  $\mathcal{C}^{\tau}(H) \succ_w$   
then  $\mathcal{C}^{\tau}(B_T \upharpoonright T \in \mathcal{C})$  does not have a thread  
in  $V$ .

### Exercise on Sealed talks

1. If  $U$  is  $w$ -complete then  $M$  with  $e(U) = e$   
the office  $\succ_w$ .
2. If  $M$  is an abou,  $M = \mathcal{I}_d^A$  acceptable,  
office, office  $\succ_w$  when  $\tau = \text{id}^M$ . Then  $U$  is  $w$ -complete.
3. Let  $U$  be a novel map on  $M$ ,  $\tau = \alpha(U)$   
then  $U$  is  $w$ -complete iff

For every countable  $(\bar{M}, \bar{U})$  and any  $\sigma$  s.t.

$\sigma: (\bar{M}, \bar{U}) \rightarrow (M, U)$  there is a  $\Sigma_0$ -map  $\sigma'$  s.t.  
the diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & & \\ \sigma \uparrow & \searrow \sigma' & \\ \bar{M} & \xrightarrow{\pi_U} & \bar{M}' \end{array}$$

4. If  $(M, U)$  is s.t.  $U$  is weakly amenable w.r.t.  $M$  and

26.6.2012 (2)

87 L<sub>1</sub> (Continued)  $\cup$  is  $\omega$ -complete  
then  $(M, \cup)$  is iterable.

5. If  $M = \langle I_\tau, \cup \rangle$  is  $\mathcal{O}^\#$  or  $\omega$ -iterable structure  
then the critical point  $\langle \kappa_i | i \in \mathcal{O}_M \rangle$  of the  
iteration conf. with a nice set of indiscernibles

For  $L, i.e.$  if ~~the~~  $\varphi(\bar{u}_0, \dots, \bar{u}_n, \bar{v}_0, \dots, \bar{v}_k)$   
be a formula. Then if  $\bar{a}_0, \dots, \bar{a}_n$  ~~be~~  $\mathcal{O}^\#$  ~~be~~  
and  $\bar{b}_0, \dots, \bar{b}_k$ ,  $\bar{c}_0, \dots, \bar{c}_k$  are s.t.  $\bar{a}_0, \dots, \bar{a}_n < \kappa_{i_1}, \dots, \kappa_{i_n}$   
then

$$L \models \varphi(\bar{a}_0, \dots, \bar{a}_n, \bar{c}_0, \dots, \bar{c}_k) \Leftrightarrow L \models \varphi(\bar{a}_0, \dots, \bar{a}_n, \bar{b}_0, \dots, \bar{b}_k)$$

6. Assume  $j: L \rightarrow L$  be elementary with  $\text{crit}(j) = \tau$ .  
Then  $U = \{x \in \mathcal{O}(M) \mid \text{int}(j(x))\}$  is a weakly  
 $\omega$ -iterable normal measure on  $L$ .

5. Continued: If  $M = \mathcal{O}^\#$  then  $h(\{\kappa_i | i \in \mathcal{O}_M\}) = L$   
Also:  $\langle \kappa_i | i \in \mathcal{O}_M \rangle$  is a closed class.

7. Let  $M, N$  be iterable mice s.t.  $h_M(w) = M$   
and  $h_N(w) = N$ . Then  $M = N$

8. Let  $M, N$  be iterable mice. Then  $M$   
is an iterate of  $N$  or vice versa.

9. Let  $M = \langle I_\tau, \cup \rangle$  be iterable mouse s.t.  $\tau = \text{crit}(U)$   
is least possible. Then  $M = \mathcal{O}^\#$ .

10. Assume  $\mathcal{O}^\#$  exists.

(a) Show that  $\kappa_i^{\mathcal{O}^\#}$  is  $\omega$ -cofinal in  $V$ , any  $i \in \mathcal{O}$

(b) Show that  $\kappa_i^{\mathcal{O}^\#}$  is  $\omega$ -cofinal in  $V$  for any  
 $L$ -cardinal  $\kappa$ .

26.6.2012 (3)

11. If  $\aleph^{\text{th}}$  less than any uncountable  $V$ -cardinal  
is among  $\aleph_i$ 's, so in particular any  $V$ -cardinal  
is inaccessible, weakly compact, ineffable... in  $L$ .

12. Let  $\sigma: \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}$   $\mathcal{E}_0$  extend  $\sigma$  and  
 $\tilde{\sigma}: M \rightarrow \tilde{M}$  be obtained by the

preorder ultrapower construction when  $\text{wfp}(\tilde{M})$  is transitive  
Prove

(a)  ~~$\tilde{\sigma} \upharpoonright [a, \uparrow] = \tilde{\sigma}(f)(a)$~~

(b)  $\text{wfp}(\tilde{M}) \supseteq \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}$

(c)  $\tilde{\sigma} \upharpoonright \mathcal{Q} = \sigma$

(d)  $\tilde{\sigma}$  is  $\mathcal{E}_0$ -preserving and cofinal

13. Prove the Interpolation Lemma for the map

$\sigma: M \rightarrow N$ , i.e. Show that if  $\tau$  is

a cardinal in  $M$  then letting  $\tilde{M} = \text{wfp}(\mathcal{P}_M(M))$

$\tilde{M} = \text{wfp}(M, \sigma \upharpoonright \mathcal{H}_M^{\aleph})$  and  $\tilde{\sigma}: M \rightarrow \tilde{M}$  be

the preorder ultrapower construction map, then

$\uparrow$  is a regular  $\mathcal{E}_0$ -preserving  $\sigma^{-1}: \tilde{M} \rightarrow N$  s.t.  $\sigma \upharpoonright \tilde{M} = \text{id}$

and  $\tilde{\sigma} = \sigma^{-1} \circ \tilde{\sigma}$ .

14. Let  $\sigma: H \rightarrow H_0$  s.t.  $H^{\aleph} \subseteq H$ . Assume

$\mathcal{Q} \subseteq H$  and  $\sigma \upharpoonright \mathcal{Q} = \tilde{\sigma}$   $\sigma: \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}'$   $\mathcal{E}_0$ -cofinal

Prove: If  $M$  is an end-extension of  $\mathcal{Q}$  s.t.

$\mathcal{Q} = H_M^{\aleph}$  then  $\text{wfp}(M, \sigma \upharpoonright \mathcal{Q})$  is w.l.f.

Do this in two steps:

(a) A map  $\sigma: \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}'$  is w-complete iff

for every countable  $\tau: \bar{\mathcal{Q}} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}'$

there is  $\bar{\pi}: \bar{\mathcal{Q}} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$  s.t. letting  $A = \tau^{-1}[\text{img}(\sigma)]$ :

$$\tau \upharpoonright A = \sigma \circ \bar{\pi} \upharpoonright A$$

26.6.2012 (4)

(b) Let  $\sigma: H \rightarrow T_G$  be s.d. w.t.  $H \in H$ .

Let  $\mathcal{Q} \in H$  and  $\mathcal{Q}' = \bigcup_{x \in \mathcal{Q}} \sigma(x) = \bigcup_{x \in \mathcal{Q}} \sigma(x)$

Show that the map  $\sigma|_{\mathcal{Q}}: \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}'$  is  $w$ -complete.

Hint Enumerate the range of  $\tau$  and also all  $\xi_i$ -for index by  $w$ . Then try to get some sequence  $\langle z_i \in \mathcal{Q} \rangle$  that enumerates a countable  $\xi_i$ -elementary substructure of  $\mathcal{Q}$  s.t.  $\sigma(z_i) = y_i$  whenever  $y_i \in \text{rng}(\sigma)$ .