

- ① Prove the following version of the comparison lemma:
 let M, N be countable prenices. Let Θ be regular cardinal such that $0 > \text{lh} M, \text{lh} N$. Then the cification of M, N has length $< \Theta$.

Hint Assume $\langle y^M, y^N \rangle$ is a pair of iterations coming from the cification of M, N and α they are of length Θ .
 let $\langle M_i, \pi^M_i | i < j \leq \Theta \rangle, \langle N_i, \pi^N_i | i < j \leq \Theta \rangle$ be the corresponding prenices and iteration maps where M_0, N_0 are the direct limits at the end (they are well-founded). Let Ω be large and $X \not\sim H_\Omega$ be such that

$$\begin{array}{l} \textcircled{i} \quad \langle M_i, \pi^M_i \rangle^y, \langle N_i, \pi^N_i \rangle^y \in X \\ \textcircled{ii} \quad x \neq 0 \quad ; \quad \text{let } \bar{\theta} = x \neq 0 \end{array}$$

Let H be the transitive collapse of X and $\sigma: H \rightarrow H_\Omega$ be the inverse of the collapsing isomorphism. Prove:

- ② $\sigma(\langle M_i, \pi^M_i | i < j \leq \Theta \rangle) = \langle M_i, \pi^M_i | i < j \leq \Theta \rangle$
 and similar for N
- ③ $\sigma(M_0) = \pi^M_0$ and $\sigma(N_0) = \pi^N_0$
- ④ The cification uses compatible measures at step ②

- ⑤ let M be an acceptable structure, say $M = \langle T_{\alpha_1}^B, D \rangle$ and let U be an ultrapower over M with critical point κ such that κ is close to M . Let n be such that $\rho_m^{n+1} \leq n < \rho_m$. Prove let

$$\text{collage } \pi: M \xrightarrow{\pi} M'$$

be the fine ultrapower. Prove:

$$\textcircled{a} \quad \mathcal{P}^{(n)} \cap \sum_1^{(n)}(M) = \mathcal{P}^{(n)} \cap \sum_1^{(n)}(M')$$

$$\textcircled{b} \quad \rho_M^{n+1} = \rho_{M'}^n$$

EXERCISES 2.7.2012 (2)

- C) ~~Prove~~ If $P \in P_m^{n+1}$ then $\overline{\pi}(P) \in P_{m'}^{n+1}$
 (d) If M is solid then $\overline{\pi}(P_m^{n+1}) = P_{m'}^{n+1}$
 (e) M' is not sound

Also prove more general version for (d) - (e) for all $k \geq n+1$.

Hint For (d) suspect the definition of a $\Sigma_1^{(m)(m')}$ set, and use the Σ_1 -measurability of U to pull this definition to a definition over M . Notice that only this inclusion, i.e. \supseteq is non-trivial.
 The case $k > n+1$ goes by induction.

(3) Prove that $\{ \langle m_i, i \in \omega \rangle, \langle N_i, i \in \omega \rangle \}$ is a successful coiteration of M, N when one side does not involve a truncation.

Hint Assuming the contrary, let M_{i+1}, N_{j+1} be the last truncation points on the two sides. Prove (a) $M_i \beta_m^{n+1}$ projects to $N_i = \pi(\mathcal{E}_m^i)$, say on s.t. $P_{M_i \beta_m^{n+1}}^{n+1} \leq M_i \beta_m^{n+1} \leq P_{N_i \beta_m^{n+1}}$

Let n play the analogous role for $N_j \beta_j^{n+1}$.

- (b) Prove that M_0, N_0 are not sound and
 $M_0 = N_0$; Denote this model by R
 (c) $P_{M_0 \beta_0^{n+1}}^{n+1} = P_R^{n+1} = P_{N_0 \beta_0^{n+1}}^{n+1}$; denote this ordinal by P_0^{n+1}
- (d) Assume all initial segments of M, N are solid.
 Prove: $\overline{\pi}^M (\overline{P}_{M_0 \beta_0^{n+1}}^{n+1} - P_0^{n+1}) = \overline{\pi}^N (\overline{P}_{N_0 \beta_0^{n+1}}^{n+1} - P_0^{n+1}) =$
 ~~$= P_R - P_0^{n+1}$~~
 (e) $\text{aug}(\overline{\pi}^M_{\beta_0^{n+1}}) = \overline{\pi}^N_{\beta_0^{n+1}} (P_0^{n+1} \cup \{ P_R - P_0^{n+1} \})$
~~because~~
 $\text{aug}(\overline{\pi}^N_{\beta_0^{n+1}}) = \text{aug}(\overline{\pi}^N_{\beta_0^n})$