

① Let  $\mathbb{E}$  be a  $(\kappa, \lambda)$ -extender. Recall the object in the ultrapower  $\text{Ult}(V, \mathbb{E})$  are represented as pairs / equivalence classes  $[a, f]$  where  $a \in [X]^{\kappa}$  and  $f: [a]^{<\omega} \rightarrow V$ . If  $M \models ZFC^-$  we define the notion of extender over  $M$ , or  $M$ -extender in the obvious way that generalizes the notion of ultrapower over  $M$ . In this case objects in  $\text{Ult}(M, \mathbb{E})$  are represented by pairs  $[a, f]$  as above where  $f \in M$ . Prove the following theorem:

$$\text{Ult}(M, \mathbb{E}) \models \varphi([a_1, f_1], \dots, [a_n, f_n]) \iff \{u \in [Z]^{\kappa} \mid \exists a \in [a_i]^{<\omega} \exists f_i \in M \text{ s.t. } (f_i(u), \dots, f_i(u)) \models \varphi \text{ in } E_{a_i, a_i}\}$$

where  $f_i(u) = f_i(u_i)$  where, letting  $\pi: u \leftrightarrow a_1, v, \dots, v, a_n$  is the unique order-preserving bijection,  $u_i = \pi^{-1}([a_i, f_i])$ .

② Let  $j: M \rightarrow N$  be an elementary embedding such that  $\text{crit}(j) = \kappa$  and  $\lambda < j(\kappa)$ . Letting  $E_a = \{z \in \mathcal{P}([a]^{<\omega}) \mid a \in j(z)\}$  prove that  $\mathbb{E} = (E_a \mid a \in [X]^{\kappa})$  is a  $(\kappa, \lambda)$ -extender over  $M$ .

③ Formulate the "normality" of an extender as a property of ultrapowers  $E_a$  so that that implies that  $\{a \in [X]^{\kappa} \mid a \in j(z)\}$  for all  $a < \lambda$ .

④ Let  $j: M \rightarrow N$  be an elementary embedding such that  $\kappa = \text{crit}(j)$  and  $\lambda < j(\kappa)$ . Let  $\mathbb{E}$  be the  $(\kappa, \lambda)$ -extender derived from  $j$ . Prove the following are equivalent:

EXERCISES 37.2012 (2)

- (a)  $N = \text{Ult}(M, E)$
- (b)  $N$  is the  $\Sigma_0$ - hull of  $\gamma$  (unq'ly) in  $N$
- (c) Every element of  $N$  has the form  $j(t)(a)$   
 for some  $a \in [X]^{<\omega}$  and  $f \in M$  s.t.  $f: [a]^{<\omega} \rightarrow N$   
 in part,  $[a, f] = j(t)(a)$

(5) Let  $E$  be a  $(K, \lambda)$ -extension over  $M$ . Assume for simplicity that  $\text{Ult}(M, E)$  is well-founded, and let  $N = \text{Ult}(M, E)$ . Let  $\tau = \text{id}_M$  and  $\varrho = \text{id}_E$ ,  $\varrho' = \text{Ult}(\varrho, E)$  and ~~is~~  $\sigma$  be the ultrapower map. So  $\sigma: \varrho \rightarrow \varrho'$  in  $\mathcal{E}$  and cofinal. Prove that  $N = \text{Ult}(M, \sigma)$  and if  $\tilde{\sigma}: M \rightarrow N$  is the canonical extension of  $\sigma$  then  $\tilde{\sigma} = j$  when  $j =$  the ultrapower embedding associated with  $\text{Ult}(M, E)$ .

(6) A  $(\lambda, \lambda)$ -extension  $E$  over  $M$  is  $w$ -complete iff for every countable sequence  $(x_i, a_i, i \in \omega)$  such that  $x_i \in E_{a_i}$ , there is a function  $f: \bigcup_{i \in \omega} a_i \rightarrow M$  such that:

- (i)  $f$  is order-preserving  
 (ii)  $f[a_i] \in x_i$  all  $i \in \omega$ .

Prove:

- (a) If  $E$  is  $w$ -complete then  $\text{Ult}(M, E)$  is well-founded  
 (b) If  $\text{Ult}(M, E)$  is well-founded, then  $E$  is  $w$ -complete. We shall:  $M = V$  here.

Hint for (b): Write down the tree  $T$  approximating the map  $f$ : the nodes of  $T$  are pairs  $\langle m, f \rangle$  s.t.  $m \in \omega$  and  $f: \bigcup_{i < m} a_i \rightarrow M$  satisfying (i), (ii). Using the well-foundedness of  $\text{Ult}(M, E)$  show that  $T$  is well-founded.

④ Prove that the two definitions of  $\delta$  being Woodin are equivalent:

①  $\delta$  is Woodin iff For all  $A \subseteq V_\delta$  there is  $\kappa < \delta$  such that  $\kappa$  is  $< \delta$ -strong, i.e. for all  $X \subseteq V_\delta$  there is an  $\mathbb{E}$ -extender ~~with~~  $E \in V_\delta$  s.t.

$ev(E) = \kappa$  and  $V_\kappa \subseteq Ult(V_\delta, E)$  and if  $(N, A') = Ult(V_\delta, E)$  then  $V_\delta \cap A' = V_\delta \cap A$ .

②  $\delta$  is Woodin iff  $\forall f: \delta \rightarrow \delta$  there is  $\kappa < \delta$  and an extender  $E \in V_\delta$  s.t.

- ①  $ev(E) = \kappa$
- ②  $j(f) \restriction \kappa > j(f) \restriction \kappa$  when  $j: V_\delta \rightarrow N$
- ③  $V \restriction \kappa \subseteq N$
- ④  $\delta$  is strongly inaccessible

⑤ Prove that every Woodin cardinal is Mahlo, but the least Woodin cardinal is not weakly compact.