

(1) lpm: Jensen indexing

- add "left missing branch" at appropriate stage; this actually means the branch for the least tree with no branch so far.
- sim type of lpm  $M$ :  $\dot{E}^M \dot{F}^M \dot{\Sigma}^M \dot{B}^M$ 

$\nearrow$        $\nearrow$        $\uparrow$        $\nwarrow$   
 extend left    prior strategy  
 sequence extends    merged
- Standard fine structure notation. The only difference is adding a parameter  $k(M)$ , the degree of smoothness.  $M$  is  $k(M)$ -sound.

$M|<r_{1,h}>$  = the  $N \leq M$  s.t.  $\sigma(N)=r$  and  $k(N)=k$ .

$M|r = M|<r_{1,0}>$     $M|<r_{1,-1}> = M|r$  ~~if  $r < 0$~~

- $M$ -tree is a  $\langle r_{1,h}, T \rangle$  s.t.  $\sigma$  is weakly normal on  $M|<r_{1,h}>$ .  
 weakly normal = length increasing + non-overlapping.  
 Dropping: need not drop to the longest initial segment.

•  $M$ -stack  $S = \langle (r_{1,h}, T_i) | i \leq n \rangle$

- A complete strategy with scope  $H_0$  for  $M$  is a strategy acting on all  $M$ -stacks in  $H_0$ .

- Given a strategy  $\Omega$  for  $M$  and an  $M$ -stack  $S$ .

• by  $\Omega$  the  $\Omega_S(t) = \Omega(s^1 t)$

•  $\Omega_{s_1, <r_{1,h}>} = \Omega_{s^1 <r_{1,h}, \phi>}$

2016-07-25 9:30 JOHN STEER

(2)

$\mathcal{R}_{s,N}$  if  $N = M_s(s) \setminus \langle r, h \rangle$

$\mathcal{R}_N = \mathcal{R}_{\phi,N}$  for  $N \subseteq M$

- Normalizing well
- Strong hull condensation } as before

Def  $\mathcal{R}$  is strategy coherent iff

$$\mathcal{R}_{\langle r, -1 \rangle} = \mathcal{R}_{\langle r, 0, \langle \mathbb{P}^M(r) \rangle \rangle, \langle r, -1, \phi \rangle}$$

Def Given  $\pi: N \rightarrow N \setminus \langle r, h \rangle$  and  $\mathcal{R}$  a compl. I.S. for  $N$ :

$$\mathcal{R}^{(\pi_{r,h})} = \pi\text{-pullback of } \mathcal{R}$$

Def  $\mathcal{R}$  is soft-consistent iff

(a) whenever  $\langle r, h \rangle \leq_{\text{lex}} \langle y, l \rangle \leq_{\text{lex}} t(H)$

$$\mathcal{R}_{r,h} = \mathcal{R}_{y,l}^{(t(H), r, h)}$$

(b) the same is true for all tails  $\mathcal{R}_s$

Def  $(M, \mathcal{R})$  is a lbn had-pair with scope  $H_0$  iff

(1)  $M$  is a lpm

(2)  $\mathcal{R}$  is a complete strategy for  $M$  with scope  $H_0$

(3)  $\mathcal{R}$  is normalizing well IS which has strong hull condensation, & soft strategy coherent and soft-consistent

(4) For any  $s$  by  $\mathcal{D}$ ,  $N \in M_\alpha(s)$ . we have:

$$\Sigma^N \subseteq \mathcal{D}_{s,N}$$

we say that  $(N, \mathcal{D})$  is self-aware

1

REM Typically:  $V \models \text{ADT}$ ,  $M$  is ctble,  $\text{scope}(\mathcal{D}) = \text{HC}$

In this situation we get:

(1)  $\mathcal{D}$  is pullback-consistent: if  $\pi: M \xrightarrow{f} N$  is an iteration map by  $\mathcal{D}$  then

$$\mathcal{D}_{\langle \ell(m), g \rangle, N}^f = \mathcal{D}$$

(2)  $\mathcal{D}$  is positioned: For  $s, t$  by  $\mathcal{D}$  s.t.

$$N \subseteq M_\alpha(s), N \subseteq M_\alpha(t)$$

$$\text{we have } \mathcal{D}_{s,N} = \mathcal{D}_{t,N}$$

From construction C has  ~~$M_{r,h}^C$~~ ,  $\mathcal{D}_{r,h}^C$

(Given  $\Sigma^*$  for  $V$  assume  $V$  is uniquely iterable for normal trees (nice ones). Hence strategy extends to stacks.)

$(M_{r,h}^C, \mathcal{D}_{r,h}^C)$  are lbn hood pairs.

Also let  $F_r^*$  be the background extender for  $\dot{M}_{r,0}$  if exist

(+) <sub>$\eta, k$</sub>  Granted  $(M_{\eta, k}^{\mathbb{C}}, \mathcal{R}_{\eta, k}^{\mathbb{C}})$  exists:

(i)  $\rho(M_{\eta, k})$  is solid

(ii) Then  $\rho = \rho(M_{\eta, k})$ ,  $M_{\eta, k}(\rho + M_{\eta, k}) \models \text{Hull}_{k+1}^{M_{\eta, k}}(\rho \cup \rho(M_{\eta, k}))$   
 i.e.  $M_{\eta, k+1} = \text{core}(M_{\eta, k})$  exists; in other words,  
 $\rho(M_{\eta, k})$  is minimal

(+) <sub>$\eta, -1$</sub> : if  $F^*, G^*$  can serve as background for

$(M_{\eta, -1}, F)$ ,  $(M_{\eta, -1}, G)$  then  $F = G$

(+) <sub>$\eta, k$</sub>  is done by induction on  $\eta, k$

Comparison lemma Let  $\delta$  be Woodin and assume  $\check{V}_\delta$  universally normally iterable for nice trees in  $V_\delta$ .

Let  $(P, \dot{\Sigma})$  be lba had pair  $P \in V_\delta$  and  $\text{Code}(\dot{\Sigma})$  is  $\delta$ -UB.

$(P \in HC^\delta)$ . Let  $\mathbb{C}$  be an lpm construction with  $F_v^*$ 's in  $V_\delta$ , a "maximal one", that is,  $F_v^* \neq 0$  whenever possible.

Then there is  $\langle \eta, k \rangle$  s.t.

(1)  $(P, \dot{\Sigma})$  iterates to  $(M_{\eta, k}^{\mathbb{C}}, \mathcal{R}_{\eta, k}^{\mathbb{C}})$

(2)  $(P, \dot{\Sigma})$  iterates stably past  $(M_{\eta', k'}, \mathcal{R}_{\eta', k'})$   
 where  $(\eta', k') \leq_{ex} (\eta, k)$

Dodd-Jensen Lemma For lbr had pair  $(M, \mathcal{R})$  and a stack  $s$  by  $\mathcal{R}$ ,  $N \leq M_s(s)$  and  $\pi: M \rightarrow N$  s.t.

$\mathcal{R}_{s,N}^{\pi} = \mathcal{R}$ . Then  $M$ -to- $N$  does not drop, so we have  $i: M \rightarrow N$  an iteration map, and

$$i(\eta) \leq \pi(\eta) \text{ f.a. } \eta \in M \cap \Omega_M.$$

(Note: the assumption on  $\pi$  can be briefly written as:  $\pi: (M, \mathcal{R}) \rightarrow (N, \mathcal{R}_{s,N})$ )

Corollay: If  $\pi$  itself is an iteration map by  $\mathcal{R}$  say the stack of  $t$  so  $N \leq M_t(t)$  and  $M$ -to- $N$  does not drop then  $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}_{t,N}^{\pi}$  (by pullback consistency)  
 $= \mathcal{R}_{s,N}^{\pi}$   
thus  $\pi = i$ .

Corollay For  $(P, \Sigma)$  an lbr had pair with scope HC.

Rem Strategies are automatically Sushin-co-Sushin; this follows from strong hull condensation.

$\Rightarrow M_{\infty}(P, \Sigma) = \text{dir. lim. of all } \Sigma\text{-iterates of } (P, \Sigma) \text{ wrt}$

Rem Each  $M_{\infty}(P, \Sigma)$  is OD. Hence

$$(P, \Sigma) \equiv (Q, \Gamma) \iff M_{\infty}(P, \Sigma) = M_{\infty}(Q, \Gamma)$$

↑  
Have a common  
iterate

$$\leq^* \Leftrightarrow \text{a Pw by D-J}$$