Recovering Short Generators of Principal Ideals: Extensions and Open Problems

Chris Peikert

University of Michigan and Georgia Tech

2 September 2015 Math of Crypto @ UC Irvine

Where We Left Off

Short Generator of a Principal Ideal Problem (SG-PIP)

Given a Z-basis of a principal ideal I = ⟨g⟩ ⊆ R where g is "rather short," find g (up to trivial symmetries).

Where We Left Off

Short Generator of a Principal Ideal Problem (SG-PIP)

Given a Z-basis of a principal ideal I = ⟨g⟩ ⊆ R where g is "rather short," find g (up to trivial symmetries).

Theorem

In prime-power cyclotomic rings R of degree n, SG-PIP is solvable in classical subexponential $2^{n^{2/3}}$ and quantum polynomial time.

Where We Left Off

Short Generator of a Principal Ideal Problem (SG-PIP)

Given a Z-basis of a principal ideal I = ⟨g⟩ ⊆ R where g is "rather short," find g (up to trivial symmetries).

Theorem

In prime-power cyclotomic rings R of degree n, SG-PIP is solvable in classical subexponential $2^{n^{2/3}}$ and quantum polynomial time.

Algorithm: $SG-PIP = SG-G \circ G-PIP$

- **1** Find some generator, given a principal ideal (G-PIP)
- Pind the promised short generator, given an arbitrary generator (SG-G)

What Does This Mean for Ring-Based Crypto?

A few works [SV'10,GGH'13,LSS'14,CGS'14] are classically weakened, and quantumly broken.

these works \leq SG-PI-SVP \leq SG-PIP

What Does This Mean for Ring-Based Crypto?

A few works [SV'10,GGH'13,LSS'14,CGS'14] are classically weakened, and quantumly broken.

```
these works \leq SG-PI-SVP \leq SG-PIP
```

Most ring-based crypto is so far unaffected, because its security is lower-bounded by harder/more general problems:

SG-PI-SVP \leq PI-SVP \leq I-SVP \leq Ring-SIS/LWE \leq most crypto

NTRU also lies somewhere above SG-PI-SVP.

What Does This Mean for Ring-Based Crypto?

A few works [SV'10,GGH'13,LSS'14,CGS'14] are classically weakened, and quantumly broken.

these works \leq SG-PI-SVP \leq SG-PIP

Most ring-based crypto is so far unaffected, because its security is lower-bounded by harder/more general problems:

 $\texttt{SG-PI-SVP} \leq \texttt{PI-SVP} \leq \texttt{I-SVP} \leq \texttt{Ring-SIS}/\texttt{LWE} \leq \texttt{most crypto}$

NTRU also lies somewhere above SG-PI-SVP.

Attack crucially relies on existence of an "unusually short" generator.

Agenda

Animating question: How far can we push these attack techniques?

- **1** Rarity of principal ideals having short generators.
- 2 Extend SG-PIP attack to non-cyclotomic number fields?
- **3** Use SG-PIP to attack NTRU? Ring-LWE?

Facts

• Less than a $n^{-\Omega(n)}$ fraction of principal ideals \mathcal{I} have a generator g s.t. $\|g\| \leq \lambda_1(\mathcal{I}) \cdot \operatorname{poly}(n).$

2 A "typical" principal ideal's shortest generator g has norm $||g|| \ge \lambda_1(\mathcal{I}) \cdot 2^{\sqrt{n}}.$

So the SG-PIP attack usually approximates PI-SVP quite poorly.

Facts

• Less than a $n^{-\Omega(n)}$ fraction of principal ideals \mathcal{I} have a generator g s.t. $\|g\| \leq \lambda_1(\mathcal{I}) \cdot \operatorname{poly}(n).$

2 A "typical" principal ideal's shortest generator g has norm $||g|| \ge \lambda_1(\mathcal{I}) \cdot 2^{\sqrt{n}}.$

So the SG-PIP attack usually approximates PI-SVP quite poorly.

For simplicity, normalize s.t. $N(\mathcal{I}) = 1$, so $\sqrt{n} \leq \lambda_1(\mathcal{I}) \leq n$.

Facts

• Less than a $n^{-\Omega(n)}$ fraction of principal ideals \mathcal{I} have a generator g s.t. $\|g\| \leq \lambda_1(\mathcal{I}) \cdot \operatorname{poly}(n).$

2 A "typical" principal ideal's shortest generator g has norm $||g|| \ge \lambda_1(\mathcal{I}) \cdot 2^{\sqrt{n}}.$

So the SG-PIP attack usually approximates PI-SVP quite poorly.

- For simplicity, normalize s.t. $N(\mathcal{I}) = 1$, so $\sqrt{n} \leq \lambda_1(\mathcal{I}) \leq n$.
- ▶ Let $G = \{\text{generators of } \mathcal{I}\} = g \cdot R^*$. Then $\text{Log}(G) = \text{Log}(g) + \text{Log}(R^*)$ is a coset of the log-unit lattice.

Facts

• Less than a $n^{-\Omega(n)}$ fraction of principal ideals \mathcal{I} have a generator g s.t. $\|g\| \leq \lambda_1(\mathcal{I}) \cdot \operatorname{poly}(n).$

2 A "typical" principal ideal's shortest generator g has norm $||g|| \ge \lambda_1(\mathcal{I}) \cdot 2^{\sqrt{n}}.$

So the SG-PIP attack usually approximates PI-SVP quite poorly.

- For simplicity, normalize s.t. $N(\mathcal{I}) = 1$, so $\sqrt{n} \leq \lambda_1(\mathcal{I}) \leq n$.
- Let G = {generators of I} = g ⋅ R*.
 Then Log(G) = Log(g) + Log(R*) is a coset of the log-unit lattice.

► To have
$$||g|| \le \operatorname{poly}(n)$$
, we need every
 $\log |\sigma_i(g)| \le O(\log n) \Longrightarrow ||\operatorname{Log}(g)||_1 \le r = O(n \log n).$

Facts

• Less than a $n^{-\Omega(n)}$ fraction of principal ideals \mathcal{I} have a generator g s.t. $\|g\| \leq \lambda_1(\mathcal{I}) \cdot \operatorname{poly}(n).$

2 A "typical" principal ideal's shortest generator g has norm $||g|| \ge \lambda_1(\mathcal{I}) \cdot 2^{\sqrt{n}}.$

So the SG-PIP attack usually approximates PI-SVP quite poorly.

- For simplicity, normalize s.t. $N(\mathcal{I}) = 1$, so $\sqrt{n} \leq \lambda_1(\mathcal{I}) \leq n$.
- ▶ Let $G = \{\text{generators of } \mathcal{I}\} = g \cdot R^*$. Then $\text{Log}(G) = \text{Log}(g) + \text{Log}(R^*)$ is a coset of the log-unit lattice.

► To have $||g|| \le \operatorname{poly}(n)$, we need every $\log |\sigma_i(g)| \le O(\log n) \Longrightarrow ||\operatorname{Log}(g)||_1 \le r = O(n \log n).$

Volume of such g is ²ⁿ/_{n!} · rⁿ = O(log n)ⁿ.
 Volume of log-unit lattice (regulator) is Θ(√n)ⁿ.

▶ To recover the short generator from any generator of $\mathcal{I} \subseteq R$, it suffices to have a "good" basis of (a dense enough sublattice of) Log R^* .

To recover the short generator from any generator of *I* ⊆ *R*, it suffices to have a "good" basis of (a dense enough sublattice of) Log *R**. (For cyclotomics: standard basis of the cyclotomic units.)

- ► To recover the short generator from any generator of *I* ⊆ *R*, it suffices to have a "good" basis of (a dense enough sublattice of) Log *R**. (For cyclotomics: standard basis of the cyclotomic units.)
- Can we get such a basis for other number rings?

► To recover the short generator from any generator of *I* ⊆ *R*, it suffices to have a "good" basis of (a dense enough sublattice of) Log *R**. (For cyclotomics: standard basis of the cyclotomic units.)

Can we get such a basis for other number rings?

► In general, can preprocess R in 2^{rank(Log R*)} time. Then can quickly solve many instances of SG-PIP in R.

► To recover the short generator from any generator of *I* ⊆ *R*, it suffices to have a "good" basis of (a dense enough sublattice of) Log *R**. (For cyclotomics: standard basis of the cyclotomic units.)

Can we get such a basis for other number rings?

- In general, can preprocess R in 2^{rank(Log R*)} time. Then can quickly solve many instances of SG-PIP in R.
- In particular cases, we can do much better.
 - E.g., multiquadratic $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d_1}, \dots, \sqrt{d_k})$ for appropriate d_i . Facts:

► To recover the short generator from any generator of *I* ⊆ *R*, it suffices to have a "good" basis of (a dense enough sublattice of) Log *R**. (For cyclotomics: standard basis of the cyclotomic units.)

Can we get such a basis for other number rings?

- ► In general, can preprocess R in 2^{rank(Log R*)} time. Then can quickly solve many instances of SG-PIP in R.
- In particular cases, we can do much better.
 - E.g., multiquadratic $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d_1}, \dots, \sqrt{d_k})$ for appropriate d_i . Facts:
 - * unit rank $= 2^k 1 =$ number of quadratic subfields $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d_I})$, $I \subseteq [k] \setminus \emptyset$.

► To recover the short generator from any generator of *I* ⊆ *R*, it suffices to have a "good" basis of (a dense enough sublattice of) Log *R**. (For cyclotomics: standard basis of the cyclotomic units.)

Can we get such a basis for other number rings?

- ► In general, can preprocess R in 2^{rank(Log R*)} time. Then can quickly solve many instances of SG-PIP in R.
- In particular cases, we can do much better.
 - E.g., multiquadratic $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d_1}, \dots, \sqrt{d_k})$ for appropriate d_i . Facts:
 - * unit rank $= 2^k 1 =$ number of quadratic subfields $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d_I})$, $I \subseteq [k] \setminus \emptyset$.
 - * fund units of the $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d_I})$ generate a finite-index subgroup of \mathcal{O}_K^* . (See, e.g., Keith Conrad's 'blurb' on Dirichlet's unit theorem for proofs.)

► To recover the short generator from any generator of *I* ⊆ *R*, it suffices to have a "good" basis of (a dense enough sublattice of) Log *R**. (For cyclotomics: standard basis of the cyclotomic units.)

Can we get such a basis for other number rings?

- In general, can preprocess R in 2^{rank(Log R*)} time. Then can quickly solve many instances of SG-PIP in R.
- In particular cases, we can do much better.

E.g., multiquadratic $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d_1}, \dots, \sqrt{d_k})$ for appropriate d_i . Facts:

- * unit rank $= 2^k 1 =$ number of quadratic subfields $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d_I})$, $I \subseteq [k] \setminus \emptyset$.
- * fund units of the $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d_I})$ generate a finite-index subgroup of \mathcal{O}_K^* . (See, e.g., Keith Conrad's 'blurb' on Dirichlet's unit theorem for proofs.)
- * How "good" are these units? How small is their finite index?

► To recover the short generator from any generator of *I* ⊆ *R*, it suffices to have a "good" basis of (a dense enough sublattice of) Log *R**. (For cyclotomics: standard basis of the cyclotomic units.)

Can we get such a basis for other number rings?

- In general, can preprocess R in 2^{rank(Log R*)} time. Then can quickly solve many instances of SG-PIP in R.
- In particular cases, we can do much better.

E.g., multiquadratic $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d_1}, \dots, \sqrt{d_k})$ for appropriate d_i . Facts:

- ★ unit rank = $2^k 1$ = number of quadratic subfields $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d_I})$, $I \subseteq [k] \setminus \emptyset$.
- ★ fund units of the $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d_I})$ generate a finite-index subgroup of \mathcal{O}_K^* .
 - (See, e.g., Keith Conrad's 'blurb' on Dirichlet's unit theorem for proofs.)
- * How "good" are these units? How small is their finite index?
- Other number rings? E.g., $\mathbb{Z}[x]/(x^p x 1)$ has many easy units: $x, \Phi_d(x)$ for $d|(p-1), \ldots$

WARNING: No theorems beyond this point!