## ON SOME CLASSES OF NILPOTENT LEIBNIZ ALGEBRAS Sh. A. Ayupov and B. A. Omirov UDC 512.554.38

This article is devoted to studying Leibniz algebras that were introduced in Loday's articles [1, 2] as a "noncommutative" analog of Lie algebras.

We define null-filiform algebras and study their properties. For Lie algebras, the notion of p-filiform algebra makes sense for  $p \ge 1$  [3] and looses sense for p = 0, since a Lie algebra has at least two generators. In the case of Leibniz algebras, this notion is meaningful for p = 0; so the introduction of null-filiform algebra is quite justified.

We study complex non-Lie filiform Leibniz algebras. In particular, we give some equivalent conditions for a Leibniz algebra to be filiform and describe naturally graded complex Leibniz algebras.

## §1. Description for the Irreducible Component of the Set of Nilpotent Leibniz Algebras Containing an Algebra of Maximal Nilindex

DEFINITION 1. An algebra L over a field F is a Leibniz algebra if the Leibniz identity

$$[x, [y, z]] = [[x, y], z] - [[x, z], y]$$

holds for all  $x, y, z \in L$ . Here [,] is the multiplication in L.

Observe that if the identity [x, x] = 0 holds in L then the Leibniz identity coincides with the Jacobi identity. Thus, a Leibniz algebra is a "noncommutative" analog of a Lie algebra.

Given an arbitrary algebra L, define its lower central series

$$L^{\langle 1 \rangle} = L, \quad L^{\langle n+1 \rangle} = [L^{\langle n \rangle}, L].$$

DEFINITION 2. An algebra L is *nilpotent* if  $L^{\langle n \rangle} = 0$  for some  $n \in N$ .

It is easy to see that the nilpotency class of an arbitrary *n*-dimensional nilpotent algebra is at most n + 1.

DEFINITION 3. A Leibniz algebra L of dimension n is a null-filiform algebra if dim  $L^i = (n+1)-i$ ,  $1 \le i \le n+1$ .

Clearly, the definition of a null-filiform algebra L amounts to requiring that L has a maximal nilpotency class.

**Lemma 1.** In every null-filiform Leibniz algebra of dimension n, there is a basis with the following multiplications:

$$[x_i, x_1] = x_{i+1} \text{ for } 1 \le i \le n-1, \quad [x_i, x_j] = 0 \text{ for } j \ge 2.$$
(1)

PROOF. Let L be a null-filiform Leibniz algebra of dimension n and let  $\{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n\}$  be a basis for L such that  $e_1 \in L^1 \setminus L^2$ ,  $e_2 \in L^2 \setminus L^3, \ldots, e_n \in L^n$  (such a basis can be chosen always). Since  $e_2 \in L^2$ , for some elements  $a_{2p}$ ,  $b_{2p}$  of L we have

$$e_2 = \sum [a_{2p}, b_{2p}] = \sum \alpha_{ij}^2 [e_i, e_j] = \alpha_{11}^2 [e_1, e_1] + (^*),$$

where  $(^*) \in L^3$ ; i.e.,  $e_2 = \alpha_{11}^2[e_1, e_1] + (^*)$ . Notice that  $\alpha_{11}^2[e_1, e_1] \neq 0$  (otherwise  $e_2 \in L^3$ ). Similarly, obtain

$$e_3 = \sum [[a_{3p}, b_{3p}], c_{3s}] = \sum \alpha_{ijk}^3 [[e_i, e_j], e_k] = \alpha_{111}^3 [[e_1, e_1], e_1] + (^{**}),$$

Tashkent. Translated from *Sibirskii Matematicheskii Zhurnal*, Vol. 42, No. 1, pp. 18–29, January–February, 2001. Original article submitted September 30, 1999. Revision submitted March 6, 2000.

0037-4466/01/4201-0015 \$25.00 (c) 2001 Plenum Publishing Corporation

Original Russian Text Copyright (c) 2001 Ayupov Sh. A. and Omirov B. A.

where  $(^{**}) \in L^4$ ; i.e.,  $e_3 = \alpha_{111}^3[[e_1, e_1], e_1] + (^{**})$ . Notice that  $\alpha_{111}^3[[e_1, e_1], e_1] \neq 0$  (otherwise  $e_3 \in L^4$ ). Continuing likewise, we conclude that the elements

 $x_1 := e_1, \ x_2 := [e_1, e_1], \ x_3 := [[e_1, e_1], e_1], \dots, x_n := [[[e_1, e_1], e_1], \dots, e_1]$ 

differ from zero. It is easy to check that these elements are linearly independent. Hence, they constitute a basis for L. Thus,  $[x_i, x_1] = x_{i+1}$  for  $1 \le i \le n-1$ ; moreover,  $[x_i, x_j] = 0$  for  $j \ge 2$ . Indeed, if j = 2then

$$[x_i, x_2] = [x_i, [x_1, x_1]] = [[x_i, x_1], x_1] - [[x_i, x_1], x_1] = 0.$$

Assume this proven for j > 2. Validity for j + 1 follows then from the inductive hypothesis and the equality

$$[x_i, x_{j+1}] = [x_i, [x_j, x_1]] = [[x_i, x_j], x_1] - [[x_i, x_1], x_j] = 0$$

The proof of the lemma is over.

Henceforth we denote the algebra with multiplication (1) by  $L_0$ .

Take  $x \in L \setminus [L, L]$ . For the nilpotent operator  $R_x$  of right multiplication, define the decreasing sequence  $C(x) = (n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_k)$  that consists of the dimensions of the Jordan blocks of  $R_x$ . Endow the set of these sequences with the lexicographic order; i.e.  $C(x) = (n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_k) \leq C(y) = (m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_s)$  means that there is an  $i \in N$  such that  $n_j = m_j$  for all j < i and  $n_i < m_i$ .

DEFINITION 4. The sequence  $C(L) = \max_{x \in L \setminus [L,L]} C(x)$  is defined to be the *characteristic sequence* of the algebra L.

DEFINITION 5. The set  $Z(L) = \{x \in L : [y, x] = 0 \ \forall y \in L\}$  is the right annihilator of L.

EXAMPLE 1. Let L be an arbitrary algebra and C(L) = (1, 1, ..., 1). Then L is abelian.

EXAMPLE 2. Let L be an n-dimensional Leibniz algebra. By Lemma 1, L is a null-filiform algebra if and only if C(L) = (n, 0).

Consider an arbitrary algebra L in the set of *n*-dimensional Leibniz algebras over a field F. Let  $\{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n\}$  be a basis for L. Then L is determined, up to isomorphism, by the multiplication rule for the basis elements; namely,

$$[e_i, e_j] = \sum_{k=1}^n \gamma_{ij}^k e_k,$$

where  $\gamma_{ij}^k$  are the structure constants. Therefore, fixing a basis, we can regard each algebra of dimension n over a field F as a point in the  $n^3$ -dimensional space of structure constants endowed with the Zariski topology. A change of the basis corresponds to a natural action of the group  $GL_n(F)$  over F; the orbit of a point under this action is the set of all isomorphic algebras.

Let  $\mathfrak{I}_n(F)$  be the set of structure constants of all *n*-dimensional Leibniz algebras over a field Fand let  $N_n$  be the subset of  $\mathfrak{I}_n(F)$  consisting of the structure constants of all nilpotent *n*-dimensional Leibniz algebras over F.

The Leibniz identity implies the polynomial identities

$$\sum_{l=1}^{n} \left( \gamma_{jk}^{l} \gamma_{il}^{m} - \gamma_{ij}^{l} \gamma_{lk}^{m} + \gamma_{ik}^{l} \gamma_{lj}^{m} \right) = 0$$

for structure constants. Hence, the set  $\mathfrak{I}_n(F)$  in  $F^{n^3}$  is an affine variety.

DEFINITION 6. Define the action of the group  $GL_n(F)$  on the set  $\mathfrak{I}_n(F)$  as follows:  $[x, y]_g := g[g^{-1}x, g^{-1}y]$ , where  $g \in GL_n(F)$  and  $x, y \in L$ . Denote by  $\operatorname{Orb}_n(L)$  the orbit  $GL_n^*L$  of an algebra L. Clearly,  $\operatorname{Orb}_n(L)$  consists of all algebras isomorphic to L (the stabilizer of L is the group  $\operatorname{Aut}(L) \Rightarrow$  $\operatorname{Orb}_n(L) = GL_n(F)/\operatorname{Aut}(L)$ ). In the case of an arbitrary field F the closure  $\overline{\operatorname{Orb}_n(L)}$  of the orbit  $\operatorname{Orb}_n(L)$  is understood to be taken with respect to the Zariski topology; for F = C it coincides with closure with respect to the Euclidean topology. It is easy to see that the scalar matrices of  $GL_n(F)$  act on  $\mathfrak{I}_n(F)$  scalarly; therefore, the orbits  $\operatorname{Orb}_n(L)$  are cones with the deleted vertex  $\{0\}$  that corresponds to the abelian algebra  $a_n$ . Thus,  $a_n$  belongs to  $\overline{\operatorname{Orb}_n(L)}$  for all  $L \in \mathfrak{I}_n(F)$ . In particular, among the orbits  $\operatorname{Orb}_n(L)$  only one is closed, the orbit of  $a_n$  ( $a_n$  is abelian).

By [4] the set  $\{L \in \mathfrak{I}_n(F) : \dim Z(L) \ge n-1\}$  is closed in the Zariski topology. Therefore,

$$\overline{\operatorname{Orb}_n(L_0)} \subseteq N_n \cap \{L \in \mathfrak{I}_n(F) : \dim Z(L) \ge n-1\}.$$

For convenience, we introduce the notation

$$N_n Z := N_n \cap \{ L \in \mathfrak{I}_n(F) : \dim Z(L) = n - 1 \}.$$

The case in which dim Z(L) = n is not interesting, since L is in this case abelian.

**Lemma 2.** Let L be an algebra in  $N_n Z$  with a characteristic sequence C(L) = (m, n-m). Then for m = n/2 L is isomorphic to the algebra

$$[e_1, e_n] = 0, \ [e_2, e_n] = e_1, \dots, [e_m, e_n] = e_{m-1}, \ [e_{m+1}, e_n] = 0, [e_{m+2}, e_n] = e_{m+1}, [e_{m+3}, e_n] = e_{m+2}, \dots, [e_n, e_n] = e_{n-1},$$

and for  $m > \frac{n}{2}$  it is isomorphic to one of the two nonisomorphic algebras:

$$[e_1, e_m] = 0, \ [e_2, e_m] = e_1, \dots, [e_m, e_m] = e_{m-1},$$
$$[e_{m+1}, e_m] = 0, \ [e_{m+2}, e_m] = e_{m+1}, \ [e_{m+3}, e_m] = e_{m+2}, \dots, [e_n, e_m] = e_{n-1},$$

$$[e_1, e_n] = 0, \ [e_2, e_n] = e_1, \dots, [e_m, e_n] = e_{m-1}, \ [e_{m+1}, e_n] = 0, [e_{m+2}, e_n] = e_{m+1}, \ [e_{m+3}, e_n] = e_{m+2}, \dots, [e_n, e_n] = e_{n-1}.$$

PROOF. Let  $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$  be a basis for  $L, L \in N_n Z$ , and C(L) = (m, n - m). Then there is  $x \in L \setminus [L, L]$  such that

$$R_x = \begin{pmatrix} J_m & 0\\ 0 & J_{n-m} \end{pmatrix};$$

i.e.,

$$[e_1, x] = 0, \ [e_2, x] = e_1, \dots, [e_m, x] = e_{m-1}, \ [e_{m+1}, x] = 0,$$
  
 $[e_{m+2}, x] = e_{m+1}, \ [e_{m+3}, x] = e_{m+2}, \dots, [e_n, x] = e_{n-1}.$ 

For convenience, assume x to be a basis element (which is possible due to  $\dim Z(L) = n - 1$ ). Since  $\dim Z(L) = n - 1$ , it follows that  $[L, L] \subseteq Z(L)$  and so x does not belong to the linear span of the vectors  $\{e_1, \ldots, e_{m-1}, e_{m+1}, \ldots, e_{n-1}\} \subseteq Z(L)$ . Hence,  $x = e_m$  or  $x = e_n$ . For m = n/2, changing the basis to

$$\bar{e}_1 = e_{m+1}, \ \bar{e}_2 = e_{m+2}, \dots, \bar{e}_m = e_n, \ \bar{e}_{m+1} = e_1, \ \bar{e}_{m+2} = e_2, \dots, \bar{e}_n = e_m,$$

we may assume that the algebras

$$[e_1, e_m] = 0, \ [e_2, e_m] = e_1, \dots, [e_m, e_m] = e_{m-1}, \ [e_{m+1}, e_m] = 0, [e_{m+2}, e_m] = e_{m+1}, \ [e_{m+3}, e_m] = e_{m+2}, \dots, [e_n, e_m] = e_{n-1},$$

$$[e_1, e_n] = 0, \ [e_2, e_n] = e_1, \dots, [e_m, e_n] = e_{m-1}, \ [e_{m+1}, e_n] = 0,$$
$$[e_{m+2}, e_n] = e_{m+1}, \ [e_{m+3}, e_n] = e_{m+2}, \dots, [e_n, e_n] = e_{n-1}$$

17

are isomorphic.

For m > n/2, suppose that these algebras are isomorphic; i.e., there is an isomorphism  $\varphi$  from the first algebra onto the second. Then  $\varphi(e_m) = \alpha_1 e_1 + \alpha_2 e_2 + \cdots + \alpha_n e_n$ , where  $\alpha_n \neq 0$ . It is well known that every isomorphism takes generators into generators. Therefore,

$$[\varphi(e_n),\varphi(e_m)] = \varphi(e_{m-1}), \dots, [\varphi(e_2),\varphi(e_m)] = 0$$

(in view of m > n - m); a contradiction. This completes the proof of the lemma.

For convenience, in the case of dim Z(L) = n - 1 we henceforth specify an algebra L by defining the operator of right multiplication by an element x, where  $x \in Z(L)$ .

**Corollary 1.** Assume that  $L \in N_n Z$  and  $C(L) = (n_1, \ldots, n_s)$ . Then L is isomorphic to one of the algebras

$$R_{e_{n_1}} = \begin{pmatrix} J_{n_1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0\\ 0 & J_{n_2} & \cdots & 0 & 0\\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots\\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & J_{n_s} \end{pmatrix}, \dots, R_{e_{n_1} + \dots + n_s} = \begin{pmatrix} J_{n_1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0\\ 0 & J_{n_2} & \cdots & 0 & 0\\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots\\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & J_{n_s} \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $J_{n_1}, \ldots, J_{n_s}$  are Jordan blocks of respective dimensions  $n_1, \ldots, n_s$ . In particular,  $R_{e_{n_1}+\cdots+n_{i-1}} \cong R_{e_{n_1}+\cdots+n_{i-1}+n_i}$  if and only if  $n_{i-1} = n_i$ .

PROOF. Suppose that L satisfies the conditions of the lemma. Then the arguments similar to those in Lemma 2 show that L may be one of the algebras in the statement of the corollary. Assume that  $n_{i-1} = n_i$ , where  $2 \le i \le s$ . Changing the basis as follows

$$e_{n_1+\dots+n_{i-2}+1} := e_{n_1+\dots+n_{i-2}+n_{i-1}+1},$$
  

$$\bar{e}_{n_1+\dots+n_{i-2}+2} := e_{n_1+\dots+n_{i-2}+n_{i-1}+2}, \dots, \bar{e}_{n_1+\dots+n_{i-1}} := e_{n_1+\dots+n_i},$$
  

$$\bar{e}_{n_1+\dots+n_{i-2}+n_{i-1}+1} := e_{n_1+\dots+n_{i-2}+1},$$
  

$$\bar{e}_{n_1+\dots+n_{i-2}+n_{i-1}+2} := e_{n_1+\dots+n_{i-2}+2}, \dots, \bar{e}_{n_1+\dots+n_i} := e_{n_1+\dots+n_{i-1}},$$
  

$$\bar{e}_i = e_i \text{ for the other indices,}$$

we obtain an isomorphism between the algebras  $R_{e_{n_1}+\cdots+n_{i-1}}$  and  $R_{e_{n_1}+\cdots+n_i}$ . By analogy to Lemma 2, we can demonstrate that the algebra  $R_{e_{n_1}+\cdots+n_{i-1}}$  is not isomorphic to  $R_{e_{n_1}+\cdots+n_i}$  if  $n_{i-1} \neq n_i$  for some *i*. This completes the proof of the corollary.

Under the assumptions of Corollary 1, we also have

**Corollary 2.** The number of nonisomorphic algebras in  $N_n Z$  equals the cardinality of the set  $\{n_1, \ldots, n_s\}$ .

**Lemma 3.** Let L be an algebra in  $N_nZ$  with a basis  $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$ . Then  $L \in \overline{\operatorname{Orb}_n(L_0)}$  if and only if  $C(L) = C(e_n)$ .

PROOF. Putting  $\bar{e}_i := e_{n+1-i}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , we obtain  $C(L_0) = C(e_n)$ ; i.e.,  $L_0 \cong R_{\bar{e}_n} = J_n$ . Suppose that L satisfies the conditions of the lemma; i.e.,

$$L \cong R_{e_n} = \begin{pmatrix} J_{n_1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0\\ 0 & J_{n_2} & \cdots & 0 & 0\\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots\\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & J_{n_s} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Consider the family of the matrices  $(g_{\lambda_1})_{\lambda_1 \in R \setminus \{0\}}$  defined as follows:

$$g_{\lambda_1}(e_i) = \lambda_1^{-1} e_i \text{ for } 1 \le i \le n_1, \quad g_{\lambda_1}(e_i) = e_i \text{ for } n_1 + 1 \le i \le n_2$$

Passing to the limit of this family as  $\lambda_1 \to 0$ , i.e.,  $\lim_{\lambda_1 \to 0} g_{\lambda_1}^{-1}[g_{\lambda_1}(e_i), g_{\lambda_1}(e_j)]$ , we obtain

$$L_0 \underset{\lambda_1 \to 0}{\longrightarrow} R_{e_n} = \begin{pmatrix} J_{n_1} & 0\\ 0 & J_{n-n_1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now, take the family of the matrices  $(g_{\lambda_2})_{\lambda_2 \in R \setminus \{0\}}$  defined by

$$g_{\lambda_2}(e_i) = \lambda_2^{-1} e_i \text{ for } n_1 + 1 \le i \le n_1 + n_2,$$
  
$$g_{\lambda_2}(e_i) = e_i \text{ for } 1 \le i \le n_1 \text{ and } n_1 + n_2 + 1 \le i \le n.$$

Taking the limit of this family as  $\lambda_2 \to 0$ , i.e.,  $\lim_{\lambda_2 \to 0} g_{\lambda_2}^{-1}[g_{\lambda_2}(e_i), g_{\lambda_2}(e_j)]$ , we obtain

$$L_0 \xrightarrow[\lambda_2 \to 0]{} R_{e_n} = \begin{pmatrix} J_{n_1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & J_{n_2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & J_{n-n_1-n_2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Continuing the procedure s times, we conclude that the algebra defined by the operator

$$R_{e_n} = \begin{pmatrix} J_{n_1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0\\ 0 & J_{n_2} & \cdots & 0 & 0\\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots\\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & J_{n_s} \end{pmatrix}$$

belongs to  $\overline{\operatorname{Orb}_n(L_0)}$ . Assume that  $L \in \overline{\operatorname{Orb}_n(L_0)}$ . The multiplication in L is determined by that in  $L_0$  as follows:  $[e_i, e_j] = \lim_{\lambda \to 0} g_{\lambda}^{-1}[g_{\lambda}e_i, g_{\lambda}e_j]$ . For every  $\lambda \neq 0$  we have

$$g_{\lambda}(\operatorname{lin}(e_1,\ldots,e_{n-1})) \subseteq \operatorname{lin}(e_1,\ldots,e_{n-1}).$$

Therefore,  $[e_i, e_j] = 0$  for  $1 \le j \le n-1$ . Thus, L is determined by the operator  $R_{e_n}$ . Let  $Q^{-1}R_{e_n}Q = J$ (J is the Jordan form of the operator  $R_{e_n}$ ). Taking the family  $(g_\lambda Q)_{\lambda \in R \setminus \{0\}}$ , we may assume that the operator  $R_{e_n}$  is in Jordan form; i.e.,  $C(L) = C(e_n)$ , which completes the proof of the lemma.

Since the orbit of a null-filiform algebra is an open set in the affine variety  $N_n$ , from [5] we conclude that its closure is an irreducible component of  $N_n$  and the following theorem holds.

**Theorem 1.** An irreducible component of the variety  $N_n$ , containing a null-filiform algebra, up to isomorphism consists of the following algebras:

$$R_{e_n} = \begin{pmatrix} J_{n_1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0\\ 0 & J_{n_2} & \cdots & 0 & 0\\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots\\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & J_{n_s} \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $n_1 + \cdots + n_s = n$ .

PROOF ensues from Lemma 3 and Corollary 1.

REMARK 1. Theorem 1 implies that the number of nonisomorphic algebras in the irreducible component of  $N_n$  containing the algebra  $L_0$  equals p(n), where p(n) is the number of integer solutions of the equation  $x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_n = n$ ,  $x_1 \ge x_2 \ge \cdots \ge x_n \ge 0$ . The asymptotic value of p(n), given in [6] by the expression  $p(n) \approx \frac{1}{4n\sqrt{3}}e^{A\sqrt{n}}$  with  $A = \pi\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}$   $(p(n) \approx g(n)$  means that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{p(n)}{g(n)} = 1$ , shows how small is the set of nonisomorphic Leibniz algebras in the irreducible component of  $N_n$  containing the algebra  $L_0$ ; i.e., the number of orbits in this component is finite for every value of n.

## §2. Classification of Naturally Graded Complex Filiform Leibniz Algebras

DEFINITION 6. A Leibniz algebra is a *filiform algebra* if dim  $L^i = n - i$ , where  $2 \le i \le n$ .

Lemma 4. Let L be an n-dimensional Leibniz algebra. Then the following are equivalent:

(a) C(L) = (n - 1, 1);

(b) L is a filiform Leibniz algebra;

(c)  $L^{n-1} \neq 0$  and  $L^n = 0$ .

**PROOF.** The implications (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b)  $\Rightarrow$  (c) are obvious.

(b)  $\Rightarrow$  (a): Let  $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$  be a basis for a filiform algebra L such that  $\{e_3, \ldots, e_n\} \subseteq L^2$ ,  $\{e_4, \ldots, e_n\} \subseteq L^3, \ldots, \{e_n\} \subseteq L^{n-1}$ .

Consider the products

 $[x, e_1 + \alpha e_2] = \gamma_1 e_3 + \alpha \beta_1 e_3, \quad [e_3, e_1 + \alpha e_2] = \gamma_2 e_4 + \alpha \beta_2 e_4,$  $[e_4, e_1 + \alpha e_2] = \gamma_3 e_5 + \alpha \beta_3 e_5, \dots, [e_n, e_1 + \alpha e_2] = 0,$ 

where x is an arbitrary element of L and  $|\gamma_i| + |\beta_i| \neq 0$  for any i. Choose  $\alpha$  so that  $\gamma_i + \alpha \beta_i \neq 0$  for any i. Then  $z = e_1 + \alpha e_2 \in L \setminus [L, L]$  and C(z) = (n - 1, 1).

(c)  $\Rightarrow$  (b): Assume that  $L^n = 0$ . Then we obtain a decreasing chain of subalgebras  $L \supset L^2 \supset L^3 \supset \cdots \supset L^{n-1} \supset L^n = 0$  of length n. Obviously, dim  $L^2 = n - 1$  or dim  $L^2 = n - 2$  (otherwise  $L^{n-1} = 0$ ). Suppose that dim  $L^2 = n - 1$ . Choose a basis  $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$  for L that corresponds to the filtration  $L \supset L^2 \supset L^3 \supset \cdots \supset L^{n-1} \supset L^n = 0$ . Suppose that dim  $L^s/L^{s+1} = 2$  ( $s \neq 1$ ), i.e.,  $\{e_s, e_{s+1}\} \in L^s \setminus L^{s+1}$ . Arguing as in the proof of Lemma 1 and appropriately changing variables, we may assume that  $e_s = [[[e_1, e_1], e_1], \ldots, e_1] + (*)$  (the product is taken s times and  $(*) \in L^{s+1}$ ) and  $e_{s+1} = [[[e_1, e_1], e_1], \ldots, e_1] + (*)$  (the product is taken s times and  $(*) \in L^{s+1}$ ). Then  $e_s - e_{s+1} \in L^{s+1}$ . We arrive at a contradiction with the assumption that dim  $L^s/L^{s+1} = 2$ . Therefore, dim  $L^i/L^{i+1} = 1$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$ ). Then the basis of the n-dimensional algebra L consists of n - 1 elements; a contradiction to the assumption dim  $L^2 = n - 1$ . Thus, dim  $L^i = n - i$ , where  $n = \dim L$  and  $2 \leq i \leq n$ ; i.e., L is a filiform algebra. The proof of the lemma is over.

Henceforth we represent an algebra L as a pair  $(V, \mu)$ , with V a vector space and  $\mu$  the multiplication on V defining L.

Let  $(V, \mu)$  be an (n + 1)-dimensional complex filiform Leibniz algebra. Define a natural grading of  $(V, \mu)$  by putting  $V_1(\mu) = V$ ,  $V_{i+1}(\mu) := \mu(V_i(\mu), V)$ , and  $W_i := V_i(\mu)/V_{i+1}(\mu)$ . Then  $V = W_1 + W_2 + \cdots + W_n$ , where dim  $W_1 = 2$ , dim  $W_i = 1$ ,  $2 \le i \le n$ . By [7, Lemma 1] we have the embedding  $\mu(W_i, W_j) \subseteq W_{i+j}$ . We thus obtain a grading which is said to be *natural*.

By arguments similar to those in [8], over a field with infinitely many elements we can find a basis  $e_0, e_1 \in W_1, e_i \in W_i$   $(i \ge 2)$  for V and a bilinear mapping  $\mu$  such that  $\mu(e_i, e_0) = e_{i+1}$  and  $\mu(e_n, e_0) = 0, 1 \le i \le n$ .

For convenience, we henceforth denote  $\mu(x, y)$  by [x, y].

CASE 1. Assume that  $[e_0, e_0] = \alpha e_2$  ( $\alpha \neq 0$ ). Then  $e_2 \in Z(\mu)$  (where  $Z(\mu)$  is the right annihilator of L). Hence,  $e_3, \ldots, e_n \in Z(\mu)$ . Changing the basis to

$$\bar{e}_1 = \alpha e_1, \ \bar{e}_2 = \alpha e_2, \ \bar{e}_3 = \alpha e_3, \dots, \bar{e}_n = \alpha e_n,$$

we may assume that  $\alpha$  equals to one. Thus,  $[e_0, e_0] = e_2$ ,  $[e_i, e_0] = e_{i+1}$ , and  $[e_n, e_0] = 0$ . Suppose that  $[e_0, e_1] = \beta e_2$  and  $[e_1, e_1] = \gamma e_2$ . Then

$$[e_0, [e_1, e_0]] = [[e_0, e_1], e_0] - [[e_0, e_0], e_1] \Rightarrow \beta e_3 = [e_2, e_1]$$

and

$$[e_1, [e_0, e_1]] = [[e_1, e_0], e_1] - [[e_1, e_1], e_0] \Rightarrow \gamma e_3 = [e_2, e_1].$$

It follows that  $\beta = \gamma$ . Inducting on the number of basis elements and using the equality  $[e_i, [e_0, e_1]] = [[e_i, e_0], e_1] - [[e_i, e_1], e_0]$ , we can easily prove that  $[e_i, e_1] = \beta e_{i+1}$ ; i.e., in Case 1 we obtain the algebra

$$[e_0, e_0] = e_2, \quad [e_i, e_0] = e_{i+1}, \quad [e_1, e_1] = \beta e_2, \quad [e_i, e_1] = \beta e_{i+1}, \quad [e_0, e_1] = \beta e_2.$$

CASE 2.  $[e_0, e_0] = 0 \& [e_1, e_1] = \alpha e_2 \ (\alpha \neq 0)$ . In this case  $e_2 \in Z(\mu)$ . Hence,  $e_3, \ldots, e_n \in Z(\mu)$ . Putting

$$\bar{e}_0 = \alpha e_0, \ \bar{e}_2 = \alpha e_2, \ \bar{e}_3 = \alpha^2 e_3, \dots, \bar{e}_n = \alpha^{n-1} e_n$$

we may assume that  $\alpha = 1$ ; i.e.,  $[e_1, e_1] = e_2$ ,  $[e_i, e_0] = e_{i+1}$ . Put  $[e_0, e_1] = \beta e_2$ . Then

$$[e_0, [e_1, e_0]] = [[e_0, e_1], e_0] - [[e_0, e_0], e_1] \Rightarrow [[e_0, e_1], e_0] = 0;$$

i.e.,  $\beta[e_2, e_0] = \beta e_3 = 0 \Rightarrow \beta = 0$ . Inducting on the number of basis elements and using the equality  $[e_i, [e_0, e_1]] = [[e_{i+1}, e_0], e_1] - [[e_i, e_1], e_0]$ , we can easily show that  $[e_i, e_1] = e_{i+1}$ ; i.e., in Case 2 we obtain the algebra  $[e_i, e_0] = e_{i+1}, [e_i, e_1] = e_{i+1}$   $(i \ge 1)$ . Changing the variables by  $\bar{e}_0 := e_0 - e_1$ ,  $\bar{e}_1 := e_1$ , we obtain the algebra  $[\bar{e}_i, \bar{e}_1] = \bar{e}_{i+1}$ . It is easy to see that this algebra is isomorphic to the algebra of Case 1 for  $\beta = 1$   $(e'_0 := e_0 - e_1, e'_1 := e_1)$ . CASE 3.  $[e_0, e_0] = 0 \& [e_1, e_1] = 0$ . Put  $[e_0, e_1] = \alpha e_2$ . SUBCASE 1. Assume that  $[e_0, e_1] = \alpha e_2$   $(\alpha \neq -1)$ . Then  $e_2 \in Z(\mu)$ . Hence,  $e_3, \ldots, e_n \in Z(\mu)$ .

Since  $\alpha \neq -1$ , on putting  $\bar{e}_1 = e_1 + e_0$  we obtain  $\bar{e}_1^2 = (\alpha + 1)e_2$  and  $[\bar{e}_1, e_0] = e_2$ ; i.e., we arrive at Case 2.

SUBCASE 2.  $[e_0, e_1] = -e_2$ . Before settling this subcase, we prove the following

**Lemma 5.** Let  $(V, \mu)$  be an (n + 1)-dimensional naturally graded filiform Leibniz algebra with a basis  $\{e_0, e_1, ..., e_n\}$  satisfying the following equalities:  $[e_1, e_1] = [e_0, e_0] = 0$ ,  $[e_0, e_1] = -e_2$ , and  $[e_i, e_0] = e_{i+1}$ . Then  $(V, \mu)$  is a Lie algebra.

**PROOF.** Inducting on the number of basis elements and using the equality  $[e_0, [e_i, e_0]] = [[e_0, e_i], e_0]$  $-[[e_0, e_0], e_i]$ , we can easily show that  $[e_0, e_i] = -[e_i, e_0]$   $(1 \le i \le n)$ . From the equality  $[e_1, [e_1, e_0]] = -[e_i, e_0]$  $[[e_1, e_1], e_0] - [[e_1, e_0], e_1]$  we have  $[e_1, e_2] = -[e_2, e_1]$ . From the chain of the equalities

$$\begin{split} [e_1, e_{i+1}] &= [e_1, [e_i, e_0]] = [[e_1, e_i], e_0] - [[e_1, e_0], e_i] = -[[e_i, e_1], e_0] - [e_2, e_i] \\ &= [e_0, [e_i, e_1]] - [e_2, e_i] = [[e_0, e_i], e_1] - [[e_0, e_1], e_i] - [e_2, e_i] \\ &= [[e_0, e_i], e_1] + [e_2, e_i] - [e_2, e_i] = -[[e_i, e_0], e_1] = -[e_{i+1}, e_1] \end{split}$$

and the induction base we obtain  $[e_1, e_i] = -[e_i, e_1]$   $(1 \le i \le n)$ . Thus,  $[e_1, e_i] = -[e_i, e_1]$  and  $[e_0, e_i] = -[e_i, e_0]$   $(0 \le i \le n)$ . Let us prove the equality  $[e_i, e_j] = -[e_j, e_i]$  for all i, j. We proceed by induction on i for a fixed j. Observe that j may be assumed to be greater than 1. Using the chain of the equalities

$$\begin{split} & [e_{i+1}, e_j] = [[e_i, e_0], [e_{j-1}, e_0]] = [[[e_i, e_0], e_{j-1}], e_0] - [[[e_i, e_0], e_0], e_{j-1}] \\ & = -[e_0, [[e_i, e_0], e_{j-1}]] + [[e_0, [e_i, e_0]], e_{j-1}] = [e_0, [[e_0, e_i], e_{j-1}] - [[e_0, [e_0, e_i]], e_{j-1}] \\ & = [[e_0, [e_0, e_i]], e_{j-1}] - [[e_0, e_{j-1}, [e_0, e_i]] - [[[e_0, e_0], e_i], e_{j-1}] + [[e_0, e_i], e_0], e_{j-1}] \\ & = [[[e_0, e_0], e_i], e_{j-1}] - [[[e_0, e_i], e_0], e_{j-1}] - [[[e_0, e_0], e_i], e_{j-1}] - [[e_{j-1}, e_0], [e_i, e_0]] \\ & + [[[e_0, e_i], e_0], e_{j-1}] = -[e_j, e_{i+1}], \end{split}$$

we obtain anticommutativity of the basis elements of the algebra  $(V, \mu)$ . The proof of the lemma is over.

Thus, the naturally graded filiform Leibniz algebras that are not Lie algebras are as follows:

$$[e_0, e_0] = e_2, \ [e_i, e_0] = e_{i+1}, \ [e_i, e_1] = \beta e_{i+1}, \ [e_0, e_1] = \beta e_2.$$

Assume that  $\beta \neq 1$ . Performing the change

$$\bar{e}_0 = (1-\beta)e_0, \ \bar{e}_1 = -\beta e_0 + e_1, \ \bar{e}_2 = (1-\beta)^2 e_2, \dots, \bar{e}_n = (1-\beta)^n e_n,$$

we may assume that  $\beta = 0$ .

Now, consider the case in which  $\beta = 1$ , i.e.,  $[e_0, e_0] = e_2$ ,  $[e_i, e_1] = e_{i+1}$ ,  $[e_0, e_1] = e_2$   $(1 \le i \le n)$ . Making the change  $\bar{e}_1 = e_1 - e_0$ , we have  $[e_0, e_0] = e_2$ ,  $[e_i, e_0] = e_{i+1}$   $(1 \le i \le n)$ .

We demonstrate that the algebras  $[e_0, e_0] = e_2$ ,  $[e_i, e_0] = e_{i+1}$   $(1 \le i \le n-1)$ , and  $[e_0, e_0] = e_2$ ,  $[e_i, e_0] = e_{i+1}$   $(2 \le i \le n-1)$  are nonisomorphic to one another.

Assume the contrary and let  $\varphi$  be an isomorphism from the first algebra into the second, i.e.,  $\varphi: L_1 \to L_2$  and  $\varphi(e_i) = \sum_{j=0}^n \alpha_{ij} e_j$ .

We have

$$[\varphi(e_0), \varphi(e_0)] = \left[\sum_{j=0}^n \alpha_{0j} e_j, \alpha_{00} e_0\right] = \alpha_{00} (\alpha_{00} e_2 + \alpha_{02} e_3 + \dots + \alpha_{0,n-1} e_n).$$

On the other hand,

$$\varphi([e_0, e_0]) = \varphi(e_2) = \sum_{j=0}^n \alpha_{2j} e_j.$$

Comparing the two equalities, we conclude that

$$\alpha_{20} = \alpha_{21} = 0, \quad \alpha_{22} = \alpha_{00}^2, \quad \alpha_{2,k} = \alpha_{00}\alpha_{0,k-1} \text{ for } 3 \le k \le n.$$
(2)

Consider the product

$$[\varphi(e_i), \varphi(e_0)] = \left[\sum_{j=0}^n \alpha_{ij} e_j, \alpha_{00} e_0\right] = \alpha_{00} \sum_{j=0}^n \alpha_{ij} [e_j, e_0]$$
$$= \alpha_{00} (\alpha_{i,0} e_2 + \alpha_{i,2} e_3 + \dots + \alpha_{i,n-1} e_n).$$

Also,

$$\varphi([e_i, e_0]) = \varphi(e_{i+1}) = \sum_{j=0}^n \alpha_{i+1,j} x_j$$

for  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ . Comparing the two equalities, we deduce that

$$\alpha_{i+1,0} = \alpha_{i+1,1} = 0, \quad \alpha_{i+1,2} = \alpha_{00}\alpha_{i,0},$$
  
$$\alpha_{i+1,k} = \alpha_{00}\alpha_{i,k-1} \text{ for } 3 \le k \le n, \ 1 \le i \le n-1.$$
(3)

It follows from (3) that  $\alpha_{22} = \alpha_{00}\alpha_{10}$ . Since  $\alpha_{00} \neq 0$  (otherwise  $\varphi$  is degenerate), (2) implies that  $\alpha_{00} = \alpha_{10}$ .

We have  $\varphi([e_0, e_1]) = \varphi(0) = 0$ . On the other hand,

$$[\varphi(e_0), \varphi(e_1)] = \left[\sum_{j=0}^n \alpha_{0j} e_j, \alpha_{10} e_0\right] = \alpha_{10} \sum_{j=0}^n \alpha_{0j} [e_j, e_0]$$
$$= \alpha_{10} (\alpha_{00} e_0 + \alpha_{02} e_3 + \dots + \alpha_{0,n-1} e_n) = 0.$$

Hence,  $\alpha_{10}\alpha_{00} = 0$  and so  $\alpha_{10} = 0$ ; i.e., the first column of the matrix of the isomorphism  $[\varphi]$  is zero. Therefore,  $\varphi$  is degenerate.

We have thus proved the following

**Theorem 2.** There are exactly two nonisomorphic naturally graded complex non-Lie filiform Leibniz algebras  $\mu_0^n$  and  $\mu_1^n$  of dimension n + 1, where

$$\mu_0^n : \mu_0^n(e_0, e_0) = e_2, \quad \mu_0^n(e_i, e_0) = e_{i+1} \text{ for } 1 \le i \le n-1,$$
  
$$\mu_1^n : \mu_1^n(e_0, e_0) = e_2, \quad \mu_1^n(e_i, e_0) = e_{i+1} \text{ for } 2 \le i \le n-1,$$

the other products vanish.

REMARK 1. The naturally graded complex filiform Lie algebras were described in [8]. Thus, there is a classification for naturally graded complex Leibniz algebras.

**Corollary 3.** Every (n + 1)-dimensional complex non-Lie filiform Leibniz algebra is isomorphic to one of the algebras

$$\mu(e_0, e_0) = e_2, \ \mu(e_i, e_0) = e_{i+1}, \ \mu(e_0, e_1) = \alpha_3 e_3 + \alpha_4 e_4 + \dots + \alpha_{n-1} e_{n-1} + \theta_n e_n$$
$$\mu(e_i, e_1) = \alpha_3 e_{i+2} + \alpha_4 e_{i+3} + \dots + \alpha_{n+1-i} e_n \text{ for } 1 \le i \le n,$$

$$\mu(e_i, e_1) = \beta_3 e_{i+2} + \beta_4 e_{i+3} + \dots + \beta_{n+1-i} e_n \text{ for } 2 \le i \le n-1.$$
  
$$\mu(e_0, e_0) = e_2, \ \mu(e_i, e_0) = e_{i+1}, \ \mu(e_0, e_1) = \beta_3 e_3 + \beta_4 e_4 + \dots + \beta_n e_n, \ \mu(e_1, e_1) = \gamma e_n,$$

the other products vanish.

PROOF. By immediate verification we can convince ourselves that the above-written algebras are Leibniz algebras. By Theorem 2, every (n + 1)-dimensional complex non-Lie filiform Leibniz algebra  $\mu$  is isomorphic to the algebra  $\mu_0^n + \beta$ , where  $\beta(e_0, e_0) = 0$ ,  $\beta(e_i, e_0) = 0$  for  $1 \le i \le n - 1$ ,  $\beta(e_i, e_j) \in \lim(e_{i+j+1}, \ldots, e_n)$  for  $i \ne 0$ , and  $\beta(e_0, e_j) \in \lim(e_{j+2}, \ldots, e_n)$  for  $1 \le j \le n - 2$ , or to the algebra  $\mu_1^n + \beta$ , where  $\beta(e_0, e_0) = 0$  for  $2 \le i \le n - 1$ ,  $\beta(e_i, e_j) \in \lim(e_{i+j+1}, \ldots, e_n)$  for  $i, j \ne 0$ , and  $\beta(e_0, e_j) = 0$  for  $2 \le i \le n - 1$ ,  $\beta(e_i, e_j) \in \lim(e_{i+j+1}, \ldots, e_n)$  for  $i, j \ne 0$ , and  $\beta(e_0, e_j) \in \lim(e_{j+2}, \ldots, e_n)$  for  $1 \le j \le n - 2$ .

CASE 1. Assume that  $\mu \cong \mu_0^n + \beta$ . Then  $\mu(e_0, e_0) = \mu_0^n(e_0, e_0) = e_2$  and  $\mu(e_i, e_0) = \mu_0^n(e_i, e_0) = e_{i+1}$  for  $1 \le i \le n-1$ ; whence  $e_2, e_3, \ldots, e_n \in Z(\mu)$ , so that  $\mu(e_i, e_j) = 0$  for  $2 \le j \le n, 0 \le i \le n$ . Put  $\mu(e_1, e_1) = \alpha_3 e_3 + \alpha_4 e_4 + \cdots + \alpha_n e_n$ . Consider

$$\mu(e_i, \mu(e_0, e_1)) = \mu(\mu(e_i, e_0), e_1) - \mu(\mu(e_i, e_1), e_0).$$

Since  $\mu(e_0, e_1) \in Z(\mu)$ , we have  $\mu(e_i, \mu(e_0, e_1)) = 0$  and so  $\mu(\mu(e_i, e_0), e_1) = \mu(\mu(e_i, e_1), e_0)$  for all  $i \ge 1$ . Thus,  $\mu(e_i, e_1) = \alpha_3 e_{i+2} + \alpha_4 e_{i+3} + \dots + \alpha_{n+1-i} e_n$  for  $1 \le i \le n$ . Let  $\mu(e_0, e_1) = \theta_3 e_3 + \theta_4 e_4 + \dots + \theta_n e_n$ . Consider

$$\mu(e_0, \mu(e_1, e_0)) = \mu(\mu(e_0, e_1), e_0) - \mu(\mu(e_0, e_0), e_1).$$

We have

$$\mu(\mu(e_0, e_1), e_0) = \mu(\mu(e_0, e_0), e_1).$$

However,  $\mu(e_0, e_0) = e_2$  and  $\mu(e_i, e_0) = e_{i+1}$ . Therefore,

$$\theta_3 e_4 + \theta_4 e_5 + \dots + \theta_{n-1} e_n = \alpha_3 e_4 + \alpha_4 e_5 + \dots + \alpha_{n-1} e_n;$$

whence

$$\mu(e_0, e_1) = \alpha_3 e_3 + \alpha_4 e_4 + \dots + \alpha_{n-1} e_{n-1} + \theta_n e_n.$$

Thus, in Case 1 we obtain the following class:

$$\mu(e_0, e_0) = e_2, \mu(e_i, e_0) = e_{i+1}, \mu(e_0, e_1) = \alpha_3 e_3 + \alpha_4 e_4 + \dots + \alpha_{n-1} e_{n-1} + \theta_n e_n,$$
$$\mu(e_i, e_1) = \alpha_3 e_{i+2} + \alpha_4 e_{i+3} + \dots + \alpha_{n+1-i} e_n \text{ for } 1 \le i \le n.$$

CASE 2.  $\mu \cong \mu_1^n + \beta$ . In this case  $\mu(e_0, e_0) = \mu_1^n(e_0, e_0) = e_2$  and  $\mu(e_i, e_0) = \mu_1^n(e_i, e_0) = e_{i+1}$  for  $2 \le i \le n-1$ ; whence  $e_2, e_3, \ldots, e_n \in Z(\mu)$  and so  $\mu(e_i, e_j) = 0$  for  $2 \le j \le n, 0 \le i \le n$ .

Let  $\beta(e_1, e_0) = \alpha_3 e_3 + \alpha_4 e_4 + \dots + \alpha_n e_n$ . Making the change  $\bar{e}_1 := e_1 - \alpha_3 e_2 - \alpha_4 e_3 - \dots - \alpha_n e_{n-1}$ , we obtain

$$\mu(\bar{e}_1, e_0) = \mu_1^n(\bar{e}_1, e_0) + \beta(\bar{e}_1, e_0) = \mu_1^n(-\alpha_3 e_2 - \alpha_4 e_3 - \dots - \alpha_n e_{n-1}, e_0) + \beta(e_1, e_0) = 0.$$

We may thus assume that  $\mu(e_1, e_0) = 0$ .

Let  $\mu(e_0, e_1) = \beta_3 e_3 + \beta_4 e_4 + \dots + \beta_n e_n$ . Consider the product

$$\mu(e_0, \mu(e_1, e_0)) = \mu(\mu(e_0, e_1), e_0) - \mu(\mu(e_0, e_0), e_1)$$

Since  $\mu(e_1, e_0) \in Z(\mu)$ , we have  $\mu(\mu(e_0, e_1), e_0) = \mu(\mu(e_0, e_0), e_1)$ . Therefore,  $\mu(\mu(e_0, e_1), e_0) = \mu(e_2, e_1)$ ; i.e.,  $\mu(e_2, e_1) = \beta_3 e_4 + \beta_4 e_5 + \dots + \beta_{n-1} e_n$ .

Consider the product

$$\mu(e_1, \mu(e_0, e_1)) = \mu(\mu(e_1, e_0), e_1) - \mu(\mu(e_1, e_1), e_0).$$

In view of  $\mu(e_0, e_1) \in Z(\mu)$  and  $\mu(e_1, e_0) = 0$ , we have  $\mu(\mu(e_1, e_1), e_0) = 0$ . However,  $e_0$  left annihilates only  $e_n$ . Therefore,  $\mu(e_1, e_1) = \gamma e_n$ .

Look at the product

$$\mu(e_i, \mu(e_0, e_1)) = \mu(\mu(e_i, e_0), e_1) - \mu(\mu(e_i, e_1), e_0)$$

for  $2 \leq i \leq n-1$ . Since  $\mu(e_0, e_1) \in Z(\mu)$ , we have  $\mu(\mu(e_i, e_0), e_1 = \mu(\mu(e_i, e_1), e_0)$ . Thereby  $\mu(e_{i+1}, e_1) = \mu(\mu(e_i, e_1), e_0)$ ; i.e.,  $\mu(e_i, e_1) = \beta_3 e_{i+2} + \beta_4 e_{i+3} + \dots + \beta_{n+1-i} e_n$  for  $2 \leq i \leq n-1$ . Thus, in Case 2 we obtain the following class:

$$\mu(e_0, e_0) = e_2, \mu(e_i, e_0) = e_{i+1}, \mu(e_0, e_1) = \beta_3 e_3 + \beta_4 e_4 + \dots + \beta_n e_n = \gamma e_n.$$
$$\mu(e_i, e_1) = \beta_3 e_{i+2} + \beta_4 e_{i+3} + \dots + \beta_{n+1-i} e_n \text{ for } 2 \le i \le n.$$

This completes the proof of the corollary.

REMARK 2. The classes of algebras in Corollary 3 are disjoint, but the question of isomorphisms between these classes is open.

## References

- Loday J.-L. and Pirashvili T., "Universal enveloping algebras of Leibniz algebras and (co)homology," Math. Ann., 296, No. 1, 139–158 (1993).
- Loday J.-L., "Une version non commutative des algebres de Lie: Les algebres de Leibniz," Enseign. Math., 39, No. 3–4, 269–293 (1993).
- Cabezas J. M., Gomez J. R., and Jimenez-Merchan A., "Family of p-filiform Lie algebras," in: Algebra and Operator Theory, Proceedings of the Colloquium in Tashkent, 1997, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, Boston, and London, 1998, pp. 93–102.
- Omirov B. A., Degeneracy of Jordan Algebras of Small Dimension [in Russian], Diplomnaya Rabota, Novosibirsk Univ., Novosibirsk (1993).
- 5. Shafarevich I. P., Fundamentals of Algebraic Geometry. Vol. 1 [Russian], Nauka, Moscow (1988).
- 6. Hall M., Combinatorial Theory [Russian], Mir, Moscow (1970).
- Ayupov Sh. A. and Omirov B. A., "On Leibniz algebras," in: Algebra and Operator Theory, Proceedings of the Colloquium in Tashkent, 1997, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, Boston, and London, 1998, pp. 1–13.
- Vergne M., "Cohomologie des algebres de Lie nilpotentes al'etude de la variete des algebras de Lie nilpotentes," Bull. Soc. Math. France, 98, 81–116 (1970).