

4.3

Observations:

- L is solvable if $[L, L]$ is nilpotent (converse of Corollary 4.1C)

proofs

- $[L, L]$ is nilpotent \iff each $\text{ad}_{[L, L]} x$, $x \in [L, L]$

Suppose $[L, L]$ is nilpotentas nilpotent (Engel's theorem) This is just

$$\boxed{\exists K^1 = [K, K] = [L^{(1)}, L^{(1)}] = L^{(2)}}$$

$$K^2 = [K, K^1] = [L^{(1)}, L^{(2)}] \supseteq [L^{(2)}, L^{(2)}] = L^{(3)}$$

$$K^i \supseteq L^{(i+1)} \quad \text{so if } K^i = 0, \text{ then } L^{(i+1)} = 0.$$

Lemma let $A \subset B \subset \text{gl}(V)$ be subspaces ($\dim V < \infty$)

let $M = \{x \in \text{gl}(V) : [x, B] \subset A\}$. If $x \in M$

satisfies $\text{Tr}(xy) = 0 \quad \forall y \in M$, then x is nilpotent.

This is long. Skip for now.

Remark If $x, y, z \in \text{End}(V)$, then $\text{Tr}([x, y]z) = \text{Tr}(x[y, z])$

$$[x, y]z = xyz - yxz, \quad x[y, z] = xyz - xzy$$

$$\text{Tr}([x, y]z) = \text{Tr}(xyz) - \text{Tr}(y(xz)) \quad \text{Tr}(x[y, z]) = \text{Tr}(xyz) - \text{Tr}((xz)y)$$

$$\text{but } \text{Tr}(y(xz)) = \text{Tr}((xz)y)$$

◻

Theorem (Cartan's criterion) Let L be a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$ ($\dim V < \infty$). Suppose $\text{Tr}(xy) = 0$ $\forall x \in [L, L] \quad \forall y \in L$. Then L is solvable.

Proof By the first observation on page ⑥ it suffices to prove that $[L, L]$ is nilpotent. By Engel's theorem it suffices to prove $\text{ad } x|_{[L, L]}$ is nilpotent $\forall x \in [L, L]$.

By Lemma 3.2 on p. 12 of Humphreys (proof is written out on page ⑨ of "§3 Revisited") it suffices to prove x is nilpotent $\forall x \in [L, L]$

In the lemma on p. ⑥ let $A = [L, L]$, $B = L$.

Then $M = \{x \in \mathfrak{gl}(V) : [x, B] \subset A\} = \{x \in \mathfrak{gl}(V) : [x, L] \subset [L, L]\}$,
of course $L \subset M$. Our hypothesis is $\text{Tr}(xy) = 0 \quad \forall x \in [L, L] \quad \forall y \in L$

The lemma on p. ⑥ requires $\text{Tr}(xy) = 0 \quad \forall y \in M$
Since $x \in [L, L] \quad x = \sum [x_i, y_i] \quad x_i, y_i \in L$. so if $y \in M$
 $\text{Tr}(xy) = \sum_i \text{Tr}([x_i, y_i]y) = \sum_i \text{Tr}(x_i[y_i, y]) = 0$. \blacksquare

Corollary Let L be a Lie algebra such that

$$\text{Tr}(\text{ad } x \text{ ad } y) = 0 \quad \forall x \in [L, L] \quad \forall y \in L.$$

Then L is solvable.

Proof Let $\tilde{L} = \text{ad}(L) \subset \mathfrak{gl}(L)$. By the theorem

$$\text{ad } L \text{ is solvable.} \quad \tilde{L}/Z(L) = L/\ker \text{ad} \simeq \text{ad } L$$

is solvable, and $Z(L)$, being abelian, is solvable. So L is solvable.