

$\mathcal{L}$  it has no proper ideal. Since  $\mathcal{L}^2 \neq 0$  by assumption, we see that  $\mathcal{L}$  is simple. Since the assumptions (i) and (ii) are true in  $\mathcal{L}^\perp$  we get by an induction argument the decomposition of  $\mathcal{A}$  as a direct sum of simple ideals.

## II. Associative Algebras

2.1. Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an associative algebra over  $\Phi =$  the field  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$  and assume that  $\mathcal{A}$  has a unit element  $e$ . An element  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  is called left invertible (resp. right invertible) if there is an element  $b \in \mathcal{A}$  ( $b' \in \mathcal{A}$ ) such that  $ba = e$  (resp.  $ab' = e$ ).  $a$  is invertible if  $a$  is left and right invertible.

Lemma 1. The following statements are equivalent,

- (i)  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  is invertible,
- (ii) there is a unique element  $a^{-1} \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  
 $a^{-1}a = aa^{-1} = e$
- (iii)  $L(a)$  is invertible (in  $\text{End}_{\Phi} \mathcal{A}$  ).

Proof. Let  $b, b' \in \mathcal{A}$  be such that  $ba = ab' = e$ . Then  $b = be = b(ab') = (ba)b' = eb' = b'$ , consequently (i)  $\rightarrow$  (ii). If  $a^{-1}a = aa^{-1} = e$  then  $L(a^{-1})L(a) = L(a)L(a^{-1}) = \text{id}$ . This shows that  $L(a)$  is invertible and  $L(a^{-1}) = L(a)^{-1}$ , thus (ii)  $\rightarrow$  (iii). To show (iii)  $\rightarrow$  (i) assume  $L(a)$  invertible, i.e.  $L(a)U = UL(a) = \text{id}$  for a unique  $U \in \text{End } \mathcal{A}$  (apply (i)  $\rightarrow$  (ii) to  $\text{End } \mathcal{A}$  ). All terms of this equation acting on  $e \in \mathcal{A}$  gives  $au = Ua = e$  for  $u = Ue$ . But then  $L(a)L(u) = \text{id}$

and  $L(a)U = \text{id}$ , consequently  $U = L(u)$  (since the inverse is unique). It follows  $au = ua = e$ . (Observe that the associative law was used at essential steps).

Lemma 2. If  $u \in \mathcal{O}$  is nilpotent, then  $e - u$  is invertible.

Proof. Let  $u^k = 0$ , then put  $v = e + u + \dots + u^{k-1}$  and check  $(e - u)v = v(e - u) = e$ .

2.2. Lemma 2 leads to the following definition. Let  $\mathcal{O}$  be an associative algebra (not necessarily with unit element) and  $\hat{\mathcal{O}} = \phi 1 \oplus \mathcal{O}$  be the algebra obtained from  $\mathcal{O}$  by adjoining a unit element (see 1.7.).

$x \in \mathcal{O}$  is called quasi invertible (q.i.) with quasi inverse  $y$ , if  $1 - x$  is invertible in  $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$  with inverse  $1 + y$ . (Remark: If  $1 - u$  has left or right inverse  $\alpha 1 + v$  in  $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$  then

$$1 = (\alpha 1 + v)(1 - u) = \alpha 1 + v - \alpha u - vu \text{ implies } \alpha = 1.)$$

Lemma 3. The following statements are equivalent:

- (i)  $x \in \mathcal{O}$  is quasi invertible,
- (ii) there exists  $y \in \mathcal{O}$  such that  $y - x = yx = xy,$
- (iii)  $\text{id} - L(x)$  is invertible.

In either case the quasi inverse  $y$  is uniquely determined by

$$(2.1) \quad y = (\text{id} - L(x))^{-1}x.$$

Proof. (ii)  $\rightarrow$  (i). Assume  $y - x = yx = xy$ , then

$$1 = 1 + y - x - yx = (1 + y)(1 - x) \text{ and}$$

$$1 = 1 + y - x - xy = (1 - x)(1 + y).$$

(i)  $\rightarrow$  (iii) If  $1 - x$  is invertible in  $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$ , then by lemma 1 the left multiplication  $L(1 - x)$  of  $1 - x$  in  $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$  is invertible and

consequently the restriction to  $\mathcal{O}_v, \hat{L}(1-x)|_{\mathcal{O}_v} = \text{id} - L(x)$  must be invertible since  $\mathcal{O}_v$  is an ideal of  $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_v$ . If (iii) holds, set  $y := (\text{id} - L(x))^{-1}x$  and obtain  $y - x = xy = yx$ . (For  $xy = yx$  use the fact that  $L(x)(\text{id} - L(x))^{-1} = (\text{id} - L(x))^{-1}L(x)$ .) Since the inverse of an element is uniquely determined,  $y$  is unique and we just saw  $y = (\text{id} - L(x))^{-1}x$ .

Exercise 1  
Prove  $xy = yx$ .

Remarks. 1) Lemma 2 shows that nilpotent elements are quasi invertible.

2) The equivalence (i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (ii) shows that if  $\mathcal{O}$  has a unit element  $e$ , then  $x$  is q.i. iff  $e - x$  is invertible in  $\mathcal{O}$ .

2.3. Let  $\mathcal{O}_v$  be an associative algebra and  $u \in \mathcal{O}_v$ . The map  $(x, y) \mapsto xuy, x, y \in \mathcal{O}_v$  defines another multiplication on  $\mathcal{O}_v$ . The module  $\mathcal{O}_v$  together with this multiplication is denoted by  $\mathcal{O}_u$  and is called the u-homotope of  $\mathcal{O}_v$ . It is obvious that any homotope of an associative algebra is associative.

Lemma 3 shows that  $x$  q.i. in  $\mathcal{O}_u$  with quasi inverse  $y$ , iff

$$(2.2) \quad y - x = xuy = yux.$$

We introduce the following notations; we say  $q(x, y)$  exists, if  $x$  is q.i. in  $\mathcal{O}_y$  with quasi inverse  $q(x, y)$ ; if  $x$  is q.i. in  $\mathcal{O}$  we denote the quasi inverse of  $x$  by  $q(x, 1)$ . Furthermore, we define

$$(2.3) \quad B(x, y) := \text{id} - L(xy)$$

Lemma 4. (Symmetry principle). The following statements are equivalent,

- (i)  $q(x, y)$  exists,
- (ii)  $q(xy, 1)$  exists,

- (iii)  $q(y,x)$  exists,
- (iv)  $q(yx,1)$  exists,
- (v)  $B(x,y)$  invertible,
- (vi)  $B(y,x)$  invertible,

In either case

$$(2.4) \quad q(x,y) = B(x,y)^{-1}x$$

Exercise.<sup>2</sup>  $q(x,x)$  exists  $\rightarrow q(x,1)$  exists.

Proof. (i)  $\rightarrow$  (ii). Let  $u = q(x,y)$ . Then by (2.2)

$u - x = xyu = uyx$ . Multiply by  $y$  from the right to obtain  
 $uy - xy = xyuy = uyx y$ , this means that  $q(xy,1)$  exists.

(ii)  $\rightarrow$  (iii) Let  $w = q(xy, 1)$ , then

$$w - xy = wxy = xyw, \text{ hence}$$

$$yw - yxy = ywxy = yxyw. \text{ It follows}$$

$$(yw + y) - y = yw = ywxy + yxy = yxyw + yxy = (yw + y)xy = yx(yw + y).$$

But this means that  $q(y,x)$  exists.

(iii)  $\rightarrow$  (iv)  $\rightarrow$  (i) follows from interchanging  $x$  and  $y$  in the parts we already proved. (ii)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (v) follows from lemma 3.

Then (2.4) follows from (2.1) in the  $y$ -homotope.

Remark. Actually we proved a stronger result, namely if

$$u = q(x,y) \text{ then } uy = q(xy, 1) \text{ and}$$

$$q(y,x) = yq(x,y)y + y.$$

Lemma 5. (Shifting principle).

If  $\varphi, \psi$  are endomorphisms of  $\mathcal{O}$  such that

$$L(\varphi x)R(\varphi y) = \varphi L(x)R(y)\psi$$

and

$$L(\psi x)R(\psi y) = \psi L(x)R(y)\varphi \text{ for all } x, y \in \mathcal{O},$$

then

$$q(x, \psi y) \text{ exists iff } q(\varphi x, y) \text{ exists.}$$

In either case

$$\varphi q(x, \psi y) = q(\varphi x, y).$$

Proof. Let  $u = q(x, \psi y)$ , i.e.

$$u - x = u(\psi y)x = x(\psi y)u.$$

Apply  $\varphi$  to obtain (using the assumptions on  $\varphi, \psi$ )

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi u - \varphi x &= \varphi(u\psi yx) = \varphi(x\psi yu) \\ &= (\varphi u)y(\varphi x) = (\varphi x)y(\varphi u) \end{aligned}$$

This shows  $\varphi q(x, \psi y) = q(\varphi x, y)$ .

Assume  $q(\varphi x, y)$  exists, then by the symmetry principle  $q(y, \varphi x)$  exists, by the part we already proved we get that  $q(\psi y, x)$  exists, again the symmetry principle implies that  $q(x, \psi y)$  exists.

Remark:  $\varphi = L(a), \psi = R(a)$  and  $\varphi = R(b), \psi = L(b), a, b \in \hat{\mathcal{O}}$  satisfy the hypotheses of the lemma.

Corollary. If  $a, b \in \hat{\mathcal{O}}, x, y \in \mathcal{O}$ , then

$$q(axb, y) \text{ exists iff } q(x, bya) \text{ exists.}$$

Lemma 6. (Addition formula.) If  $q(x, y)$  exists, then

$$(i) \quad B(x, y)B(q(x, y), z) = B(x, y + z)$$

$$(ii) \quad q(q(x, y), z) \text{ exists iff } q(x, y + z) \text{ exists. If this is}$$

the case then

$$(2.5) \quad q(q(x, y), z) = q(x, y + z)$$

Proof. Put  $u = q(x, y)$ . Since  $u - x = uyx = xyu$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{id} - L(xy))(\text{id} - L(uz)) &= \text{id} - L(xy) - L(uz) + L(xyuz) \\ &= \text{id} - L(x(y + z)) = B(x, y + z) \end{aligned}$$

This is (i). Since  $q(a, b)$  exists iff  $B(a, b)$  invertible, the first part of (ii) can be read off from (i) since  $B(x, y)$  is invertible.

Using (2.4) and (i) we get

$$\begin{aligned} q(x, y+z) &= B(q(x, y), z)^{-1} B(x, y)^{-1} x = B(q(x, y), z)^{-1} q(x, y) \\ &= q(q(x, y), z). \end{aligned}$$

Now we define

$$\text{Rad } \mathcal{A} := \{x \in \mathcal{A}, q(x, y) \text{ exists for all } y \in \mathcal{A}\}$$

Note: If  $x \in \text{Rad } \mathcal{A}$  then in particular  $q(x, 1)$  exists (see exercise, p. 13).

Theorem 1.  $\text{Rad } \mathcal{A}$  is an ideal in  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\text{Rad}(\mathcal{A} / \text{Rad } \mathcal{A}) = 0$ .

Proof.  $x \in \text{Rad } \mathcal{A}$  is equivalent to  $B(x, y)$  invertible for all  $y \in \mathcal{A}$ , by lemma 4. If  $\alpha \in \Phi$ ,  $x \in \text{Rad } \mathcal{A}$  then  $\alpha x \in \text{Rad } \mathcal{A}$  follows immediately from  $B(\alpha x, y) = B(x, \alpha y)$ . If  $y, z \in \text{Rad } \mathcal{A}$  then  $B(x, y)$  and  $B(u, z)$  are invertible for all  $x, u \in \mathcal{A}$  (symmetry principle) in particular  $B(q(x, y), z)$  is invertible. The addition formula then shows that  $B(x, y+z)$  is invertible for all  $x$ , thus  $y+z \in \text{Rad } \mathcal{A}$ . We proved that  $\text{Rad } \mathcal{A}$  is a ~~subspace~~ submodule. If  $q(x, y)$  exists for all  $y \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $q(x, ayb)$  exists for all  $a, b \in \hat{\mathcal{A}}$ . But then  $q(bxa, y)$  exists (Shifting principle resp. its corollary). Consequently  $\hat{\mathcal{A}}(\text{Rad } \mathcal{A})\hat{\mathcal{A}} \subset \text{Rad } \mathcal{A}$  and  $\text{Rad } \mathcal{A}$  is an ideal. If  $\bar{x} \in \text{Rad } \bar{\mathcal{A}}$ ,  $\bar{\mathcal{A}} = \mathcal{A} / \text{Rad } \mathcal{A}$ , then for every  $\bar{y}$  there exists  $\bar{u}$  such that  $\bar{u} - \bar{x} = \bar{u}\bar{y}\bar{x} = \bar{x}\bar{y}\bar{u}$  or equivalently  $u - x - uyx \in \text{Rad } \mathcal{A}$ . But then  $B(u - x - uyx, -y)$  Exercise 3  $B(u, -y)B(x, y)$  is invertible and therefore  $B(x, y)$  is <sup>left</sup> invertible, similarly we get that  $B(x, y)$  is also <sup>right</sup> invertible, Exercise 4 hence invertible. This is true for all  $y \in \mathcal{A}$ , hence  $x \in \text{Rad } \mathcal{A}$  and  $\bar{x} = 0$ .