# Functional Equations of L-Functions for Symmetric Products of the Kloosterman Sheaf \*

### Lei Fu

Institute of Mathematics, Nankai University, Tianjin, P. R. China leifu@nankai.edu.cn

### Daqing Wan

Department of Mathematics, University of California, Irvine, CA 92697 dwan@math.uci.edu

#### Abstract

We determine the (arithmetic) local monodromy at 0 and at  $\infty$  of the Kloosterman sheaf using local Fourier transformations and Laumon's stationary phase principle. We then calculate  $\epsilon$ -factors for symmetric products of the Kloosterman sheaf. Using Laumon's product formula, we get functional equations of L-functions for these symmetric products, and prove a conjecture of Evans on signs of constants of functional equations.

**Key words:** Kloosterman sheaf,  $\epsilon$ -factor,  $\ell$ -adic Fourier transformation.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 11L05, 14G15.

### Introduction

Let  $p \neq 2$  be a prime number and let  $\mathbb{F}_p$  be the finite field with p elements. Fix an algebraic closure  $\mathbb{F}$  of  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . Denote the projective line over  $\mathbb{F}_p$  by  $\mathbb{P}^1$ . For any power q of p, let  $\mathbb{F}_q$  be the finite subfield of  $\mathbb{F}$  with q elements. Let  $\ell$  be a prime number different from p. Fix a nontrivial additive character  $\psi: \mathbb{F}_p \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^*$ . For any  $x \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ , we define the one variable Kloosterman sum by

$$\mathrm{Kl}_{2}(\mathbb{F}_{q}, x) = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}} \psi \left( \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_{q}/\mathbb{F}_{p}} \left( \lambda + \frac{x}{\lambda} \right) \right).$$

In [3], Deligne constructs a lisse  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$ -sheaf  $\mathrm{Kl}_2$  of rank 2 on  $\mathbb{G}_m = \mathbb{P}^1 - \{0, \infty\}$ , which we call the Kloosterman sheaf, such that for any  $x \in \mathbb{G}_m(\mathbb{F}_q) = \mathbb{F}_q^*$ , we have

$$\operatorname{Tr}(F_x, \operatorname{Kl}_{2,\bar{x}}) = -\operatorname{Kl}_2(\mathbb{F}_q, x),$$

<sup>\*</sup>The research of Lei Fu is supported by the NSFC (10525107).

where  $F_x$  is the geometric Frobenius element at the point x. For a positive integer k, the L-function  $L(\mathbb{G}_m, \operatorname{Sym}^k(\operatorname{Kl}_2), T)$  of the k-th symmetric product of  $\operatorname{Kl}_2$  was first studied by Robba [15] via Dwork's p-adic methods. Motivated by applications in coding theory, by connections with modular forms, p-adic modular forms and Dwork's unit root zeta functions, there has been a great deal of recent interests to understand  $L(\mathbb{G}_m, \operatorname{Sym}^k(\operatorname{Kl}_2), T)$  as much as possible for all k and for all p. This quickly raises a large number of interesting new problems.

Let  $j: \mathbb{G}_m \to \mathbb{P}^1$  be the inclusion. We shall be interested in the L-function

$$M_k(p,T) := L(\mathbb{P}^1, j_*(\operatorname{Sym}^k(\operatorname{Kl}_2)), T).$$

This is the non-trivial factor of  $L(\mathbb{G}_m, \operatorname{Sym}^k(\operatorname{Kl}_2), T)$ . The trivial factor of  $L(\mathbb{G}_m, \operatorname{Sym}^k(\operatorname{Kl}_2), T)$  was completely determined in Fu-Wan [6]. By general theory of Grothendieck-Deligne, the non-trivial factor  $M_k(p,T)$  is a polynomial in T with integer coefficients, pure of weight k+1. Its degree  $\delta_k(p)$  can be easily extracted from Fu-Wan [7] Proposition 2.3, Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2:

$$\delta_k(p) = \begin{cases} \frac{k-1}{2} - \left[\frac{k}{2p} + \frac{1}{2}\right] & \text{if } k \text{ is odd,} \\ 2\left(\left[\frac{k-2}{4}\right] - \left[\frac{k}{2p}\right]\right) & \text{if } k \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

For fixed k, the variation of  $M_k(p,T)$  as p varies should be explained by an automorphic form, see Choi-Evans [2] and Evans [4] for the precise relations in the cases  $k \leq 7$  and Fu-Wan [8] for a motivic interpretation for all k. For  $k \leq 4$ , the degree  $\delta_k(p) \leq 1$  and  $M_k(p,T)$  can be determined easily. For k = 5, the degree  $\delta_5(p) = 2$  for p > 5. The quadratic polynomial  $M_5(p,T)$  is explained by an explicit modular form [14]. For k = 6, the degree  $\delta_6(p) = 2$  for p > 6. The quadratic polynomial  $M_6(p,T)$  is again explained by an explicit modular form [9]. For k = 7, the degree  $\delta_7(p) = 3$  for p > 7. The cubic polynomial  $M_7(p,T)$  is conjecturally explained in a more subtle way by an explicit modular form in Evans [4]. We will return to this conjecture later in the introduction.

For fixed p, the variation of  $M_k(p,T)$  as k varies p-adically should be related to p-adic automorphic forms and p-adic L-functions. No progress has been made along this direction. The p-adic limit of  $M_k(p,T)$  as k varies p-adically links to an important example of Dwork's unit root zeta function, see the introduction in Wan [18]. The polynomial  $M_k(p,T)$  can be used to determine the weight distribution of certain codes, see Moisio [12][13], and this has been studied extensively for small p and small k. The p-adic Newton polygon (the p-adic slopes) of  $M_k(p,T)$  remains largely mysterious.

By Katz [10] 4.1.11, we have  $(Kl_2)^{\vee} = Kl_2 \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}(1)$ . So for any natural number k, we have

$$(\operatorname{Sym}^k(\operatorname{Kl}_2))^{\vee} = \operatorname{Sym}^k(\operatorname{Kl}_2) \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}(k).$$

General theory (confer [11] 3.1.1) shows that  $M_k(p,T)$  satisfies the functional equation

$$M_k(p,T) = cT^{\delta}M_k\left(p, \frac{1}{p^{k+1}T}\right),$$

where

$$c = \prod_{i=0}^{2} \det(-F, H^{i}(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}}^{1}, j_{*}(\operatorname{Sym}^{k}(\operatorname{Kl}_{2})))^{(-1)^{i+1}},$$
  
$$\delta = -\chi(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}}^{1}, j_{*}(\operatorname{Sym}^{k}(\operatorname{Kl}_{2})) = \delta_{k}(p),$$

and F denotes the Frobenius correspondence. Applying the functional equation twice, we get

$$c^2 = p^{(k+1)\delta}.$$

Based on numerical computation, Evans [4] suggests that the sign of c should be  $-\left(\frac{p}{105}\right)$  (the Jacobi symbol) for k=7, and  $-\left(\frac{p}{1155}\right)$  for k=11. In this paper, we determine c for all k and all p>2. The main result of this paper is the following theorem.

**Theorem 0.1.** Let p > 2 be an odd prime. If k is even, we have

$$c = p^{(k+1)([\frac{k-2}{4}] - [\frac{k}{2p}])}.$$

If k is odd, we have

$$c = (-1)^{\frac{k-1}{2} + [\frac{k}{2p} + \frac{1}{2}]} p^{\frac{k+1}{2}(\frac{k-1}{2} - [\frac{k}{2p} + \frac{1}{2}])} \left(\frac{-2}{p}\right)^{[\frac{k}{2p} + \frac{1}{2}]} \prod_{j \in \{0,1,...,[\frac{k}{2}]\},\ p \not | 2j+1} \left(\frac{(-1)^j (2j+1)}{p}\right).$$

**Corollary 0.2.** If k is even and p > 2, the sign of c is always 1. If k is odd and p > k, the sign of c is

$$(-1)^{\frac{k-1}{2}} \prod_{j \in \{0,1,\dots, [\frac{k}{2}]\}, \ p \not| 2j+1} \left(\frac{(-1)^j (2j+1)}{p}\right).$$

In the above corollary, if we take k = 7, we see that the sign of c for p > 7 is

$$-\left(\frac{1\cdot(-3)\cdot5\cdot(-7)}{p}\right) = -\left(\frac{105}{p}\right) = -\left(\frac{p}{105}\right);$$

if we take k = 11, we see that the sign of c for p > 11 is

$$-\left(\frac{1\cdot (-3)\cdot 5\cdot (-7)\cdot 9\cdot (-11)}{p}\right)=-\left(\frac{-1155}{p}\right)=-\left(\frac{p}{1155}\right),$$

consistent with Evans' calculation.

In the case k = 7, Evans proposed a precise description of  $M_7(p, T)$  in terms of modular forms. For k = 7 and p > 7, the polynomial  $M_7(p, T)$  has degree 3. Write

$$M_7(p,T) = 1 + a_p T + d_p T^2 + e_p T^3.$$

The functional equation and our sign determination show that one of the reciprocal roots for  $M_7(p,T)$  is  $\left(\frac{p}{105}\right)p^4$  and  $e_p=-\left(\frac{p}{105}\right)p^{12}$ . Denote the other two reciprocal roots by  $\lambda_p$  and  $\mu_p$  which are Weil numbers of weight 8. We deduce that

$$a_p = -\left(\left(\frac{p}{105}\right)p^4 + \lambda_p + \mu_p\right), \ \lambda_p \mu_p = p^8, \ |\lambda_p| = |\mu_p| = p^4.$$

To explain the numerical calculation of Evans, Katz suggests that there exists a two dimensional representation

$$\rho: \operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \to \operatorname{GL}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}^2)$$

unramified for p > 7 and a Dirichlet character  $\chi$  such that

$$\alpha_p^2 = \chi(p) \left(\frac{p}{105}\right) \frac{\lambda_p}{p^4},$$

$$\beta_p^2 = \chi(p) \left(\frac{p}{105}\right) \frac{\mu_p}{p^4},$$

$$\alpha_p \beta_p = \chi(p),$$

where  $\alpha_p$  and  $\beta_p$  are the eigenvalues of the geometric Frobenius element at p under  $\rho$ . We then have

$$1 - \left(\frac{p}{105}\right) \frac{a_p}{p^4} = 2 + \left(\frac{p}{105}\right) \frac{\lambda_p}{p^4} + \left(\frac{p}{105}\right) \frac{\mu_p}{p^4}$$
$$= \overline{\chi}(p) (2\alpha_p \beta_p + \alpha_p^2 + \beta_p^2)$$
$$= \overline{\chi}(p) (\alpha_p + \beta_p)^2.$$

Set  $b(p) = p(\alpha_p + \beta_p)$ . Evans [4] conjectured that b(p) is the p-th Hecke eigenvalue for a weight 3 newform f on  $\Gamma_0(525)$ . Our  $a_p$  equals  $-c_p p^2$  in [4].

Our proof of Theorem 0.1 naturally splits into two parts, corresponding to the two ramification points at 0 and  $\infty$ . Let t be the coordinate of  $\mathbb{A}^1 = \mathbb{P}^1 - \{\infty\}$ . For any closed point x in  $\mathbb{P}^1$ , let  $\mathbb{P}^1_{(x)}$  be the henselization of  $\mathbb{P}^1$  at x. By Laumon's product formula [11] 3.2.1.1, we have

$$c = p^{k+1} \prod_{x \in |\mathbb{P}^1|} \epsilon(\mathbb{P}^1_{(x)}, j_*(\mathrm{Sym}^k(\mathrm{Kl}_2))|_{\mathbb{P}^1_{(x)}}, dt|_{\mathbb{P}^1_{(x)}}),$$

where  $|\mathbb{P}^1|$  is the set of all closed points of  $\mathbb{P}^1$ . When  $x \neq 0, \infty$ , the sheaf  $\operatorname{Sym}^k(\operatorname{Kl}_2)|_{\mathbb{P}^1_{(x)}}$  is lisse and the order of dt at x is 0. So by [11] 3.1.5.4 (ii) and (v), we have

$$\epsilon(\mathbb{P}^1_{(x)}, j_*(\mathrm{Sym}^k(\mathrm{Kl}_2))|_{\mathbb{P}^1_{(x)}}, dt|_{\mathbb{P}^1_{(x)}}) = 1$$

for  $x \neq 0, \infty$ . Therefore

$$c = p^{k+1} \epsilon(\mathbb{P}^1_{(0)}, j_*(\mathrm{Sym}^k(\mathrm{Kl}_2))|_{\mathbb{P}^1_{(0)}}, dt|_{\mathbb{P}^1_{(0)}}) \epsilon(\mathbb{P}^1_{(\infty)}, j_*(\mathrm{Sym}^k(\mathrm{Kl}_2))|_{\mathbb{P}^1_{(\infty)}}, dt|_{\mathbb{P}^1_{(\infty)}}).$$

In §1, we prove the following.

### Proposition 0.3. We have

$$\epsilon(\mathbb{P}^1_{(0)}, j_*(\operatorname{Sym}^k(\operatorname{Kl}_2))|_{\mathbb{P}^1_{(0)}}, dt|_{\mathbb{P}^1_{(0)}}) = (-1)^k p^{\frac{k(k+1)}{2}}.$$

In  $\S 2$ , we prove the following.

**Proposition 0.4.**  $\epsilon(\mathbb{P}^1_{(\infty)}, j_*(\operatorname{Sym}^k(\operatorname{Kl}_2))|_{\mathbb{P}^1_{(\infty)}}, dt|_{\mathbb{P}^1_{(\infty)}})$  equals

$$p^{-(k+1)(\frac{k+8}{4}+[\frac{k}{2p}])}$$

if k = 2r for an even r,

$$p^{-(k+1)(\frac{k+6}{4}+[\frac{k}{2p}])}$$

if k = 2r for an odd r, and

$$(-1)^{\frac{k+1}{2}+[\frac{k}{p}]-[\frac{k}{2p}]}p^{-\frac{k+1}{2}(\frac{k+5}{2}+[\frac{k}{p}]-[\frac{k}{2p}])}\left(\frac{-2}{p}\right)^{[\frac{k}{p}]-[\frac{k}{2p}]}\prod_{j\in\{0,1,...,[\frac{k}{2}]\},\ p\not\mid 2j+1}\left(\frac{(-1)^{j}(2j+1)}{p}\right)^{\frac{k+1}{2}+[\frac{k}{p}]-[\frac{k}{2p}]}$$

if k = 2r + 1.

We deduce from the above two propositions the constant c as stated in Theorem 0.1 using the following facts:

$$\left[\frac{k-2}{4}\right] = \left\{\begin{array}{ll} \frac{k-4}{4} & \text{if } k = 2r \text{ for an even } r, \\ \frac{k-2}{4} & \text{if } k = 2r \text{ for an odd } r, \end{array}\right.$$
 
$$\left[\frac{k}{p}\right] - \left[\frac{k}{2p}\right] = \left[\frac{k}{2p} + \frac{1}{2}\right] \text{ if } k \text{ is odd.}$$

To get Proposition 0.4, we first have to determine the local (arithmetic) monodromy of  $Kl_2$  at  $\infty$ . This is Theorem 2.1 in §2, which is of interest itself, and is proved by using local Fourier transformations and Laumon's stationary phase principle.

## 1 Calculation of $\epsilon(\mathbb{P}^1_{(0)}, j_*(\operatorname{Sym}^k(\operatorname{Kl}_2))|_{\mathbb{P}^1_{(0)}}, dt|_{\mathbb{P}^1_{(0)}})$

Let  $\eta_0$  be the generic point of  $\mathbb{P}^1_{(0)}$ , let  $\bar{\eta}_0$  be a geometric point located at  $\eta_0$ , and let V be an  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}$ -representation of  $\operatorname{Gal}(\bar{\eta}_0/\eta_0)$ . Suppose the inertia subgroup  $I_0$  of  $\operatorname{Gal}(\bar{\eta}_0/\eta_0)$  acts unipotently on V. Consider the  $\ell$ -adic part of the cyclotomic character

$$t_{\ell}: I_0 \to \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}(1), \ \sigma \mapsto \left(\frac{\sigma(\sqrt[\ell^n]{t})}{\sqrt[\ell^n]{t}}\right).$$

Note that for any  $\sigma$  in the inertia subgroup, the  $\ell^n$ -th root of unity  $\frac{\sigma(\ell^n\sqrt{t})}{\ell^n\sqrt{t}}$  does not depend on the choice of the  $\ell^n$ -th root  $\ell^n\sqrt{t}$  of t. Since  $I_0$  acts on V unipotently, there exists a nilpotent homomorphism

$$N:V(1)\to V$$

such that the action of  $\sigma \in I_0$  on V is given by  $\exp(t_{\ell}(\sigma).N)$ . Fix a lifting  $F \in \operatorname{Gal}(\bar{\eta}_0/\eta_0)$  of the geometric Frobenius element in  $\operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{F}/\mathbb{F}_p)$ .

**Lemma 1.1.** Notation as above. Let  $V = Kl_{2,\bar{\eta}_0}$ . There exists a basis  $\{e_0, e_1\}$  of V such that

$$F(e_0) = e_0, \ F(e_1) = pe_1$$

$$N(e_0) = 0, \ N(e_1) = e_0.$$

*Proof.* This is the n=2 case of Proposition 1.1 in [6].

**Lemma 1.2.** Keep the notation in Lemma 1.1. Let  $\{f_0, \ldots, f_k\}$  be the basis of  $\operatorname{Sym}^k(V) = \operatorname{Sym}^k(\operatorname{Kl}_{2,\bar{\eta}_0})$  defined by  $f_i = \frac{1}{i!}e_0^{k-i}e_1^i$ . We have

$$F(f_i) = p^i f_i, N(f_i) = f_{i-1},$$

where we regard  $f_{i-1}$  as 0 if i = 0.

*Proof.* Use the fact that for any  $v_1, \ldots, v_k \in V$ , we have the following identities in  $\operatorname{Sym}^k(V)$ :

$$F(v_1 \cdots v_k) = F(v_1) \cdots F(v_k),$$

$$N(v_1 \cdots v_k) = \sum_{i=1}^k v_1 \cdots v_{i-1} N(v_i) v_{i+1} \cdots v_k.$$

Corollary 1.3. The sheaf  $\operatorname{Sym}^k(\operatorname{Kl}_2)|_{\eta_0}$  has a filtration

$$0 = \mathcal{F}_{-1} \subset \mathcal{F}_0 \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{F}_k = \operatorname{Sym}^k(\operatorname{Kl}_2)|_{\eta_0}$$

such that

$$\mathcal{F}_i/\mathcal{F}_{i-1} \cong \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l(-i)$$

for any  $i = 0, \ldots, k$ .

*Proof.* This follows from Lemma 1.2 by taking  $\mathcal{F}_i$  to be the sheaf on  $\eta_0$  corresponding to the galois representation  $\mathrm{Span}(f_0,\ldots,f_i)$  of  $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\eta}_0/\eta_0)$ .

The following is Proposition 0.3 in the introduction.

### Proposition 1.4. We have

$$\epsilon(\mathbb{P}^1_{(0)}, j_*(\mathrm{Sym}^k(\mathrm{Kl}_2))|_{\mathbb{P}^1_{(0)}}, dt|_{\mathbb{P}^1_{(0)}}) = (-1)^k p^{\frac{k(k+1)}{2}}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $u: \eta_0 \to \mathbb{P}^1_{(0)}$  and  $v: \{0\} \to \mathbb{P}^1_{(0)}$  be the immersions. By [11] 3.1.5.4 (iii) and (v), we have

$$\begin{split} & \epsilon(\mathbb{P}^1_{(0)}, u_* \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell(-i), dt|_{\mathbb{P}^1_{(0)}}) &= 1, \\ & \epsilon(\mathbb{P}^1_{(0)}, v_* \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell(-i), dt|_{\mathbb{P}^1_{(0)}}) &= \det(-F_0, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell(-i))^{-1} = -\frac{1}{p^i}. \end{split}$$

We have an exact sequence

$$0 \to u_! \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}(-i) \to u_* \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}(-i) \to v_* \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}(-i) \to 0.$$

It follows from [11] 3.1.5.4 (ii) that we have

$$\epsilon(\mathbb{P}^{1}_{(0)}, u_{!}\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}(-i), dt|_{\mathbb{P}^{1}_{(0)}}) = \frac{\epsilon(\mathbb{P}^{1}_{(0)}, u_{*}\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}(-i), dt|_{\mathbb{P}^{1}_{(0)}})}{\epsilon(\mathbb{P}^{1}_{(0)}, v_{*}\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}(-i), dt|_{\mathbb{P}^{1}_{(0)}})}$$

$$= -p^{i}.$$

By Corollary 1.3 and [11] 3.1.5.4 (ii), we have

$$\epsilon(\mathbb{P}^{1}_{(0)}, j_{!}(\operatorname{Sym}^{k}(\operatorname{Kl}_{2}))|_{\mathbb{P}^{1}_{(0)}}, dt|_{\mathbb{P}^{1}_{(0)}}) = \prod_{i=0}^{k} \epsilon(\mathbb{P}^{1}_{(0)}, u_{!}(\mathcal{F}_{i}/\mathcal{F}_{i+1}), dt|_{\mathbb{P}^{1}_{(0)}})$$

$$= \prod_{i=0}^{k} \epsilon(\mathbb{P}^{1}_{(0)}, u_{!}\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}(-i), dt|_{\mathbb{P}^{1}_{(0)}})$$

$$= \prod_{i=0}^{k} (-p^{i}).$$

Moreover, by Lemma 1.2, we have

$$v^*(j_*(\operatorname{Sym}^k(\operatorname{Kl}_2))|_{\mathbb{P}^1_{(0)}}) \cong \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell,$$

and hence

$$\epsilon(\mathbb{P}^1_{(0)}, v_*v^*(j_*(\mathrm{Sym}^k(\mathrm{Kl}_2))|_{\mathbb{P}^1_{(0)}}), dt|_{\mathbb{P}^1_{(0)}}) = -1.$$

So we have

$$\begin{split} & \epsilon(\mathbb{P}^1_{(0)}, j_*(\operatorname{Sym}^k(\operatorname{Kl}_2))|_{\mathbb{P}^1_{(0)}}, dt|_{\mathbb{P}^1_{(0)}}) \\ & = & \epsilon(\mathbb{P}^1_{(0)}, j_!(\operatorname{Sym}^k(\operatorname{Kl}_2))|_{\mathbb{P}^1_{(0)}}, dt|_{\mathbb{P}^1_{(0)}}) \epsilon(\mathbb{P}^1_{(0)}, v_*v^*(j_*(\operatorname{Sym}^k(\operatorname{Kl}_2))|_{\mathbb{P}^1_{(0)}}), dt|_{\mathbb{P}^1_{(0)}}) \\ & = & \prod_{i=1}^k (-p^i) \\ & = & (-1)^k p^{\frac{k(k+1)}{2}}. \end{split}$$

# 2 Calculation of $\epsilon(\mathbb{P}^1_{(\infty)}, j_*(\operatorname{Sym}^k(\operatorname{Kl}_2))|_{\mathbb{P}^1_{(\infty)}}, dt|_{\mathbb{P}^1_{(\infty)}})$

We first introduce some notations. Fix a nontrivial additive character  $\psi: \mathbb{F}_p \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}^*$  and define  $\mathrm{Kl}_2$  as in the introduction. Fix a separable closure  $\overline{\mathbb{F}_p(t)}$  of  $\mathbb{F}_p(t)$ . Let x be an element in  $\overline{\mathbb{F}_p(t)}$  satisfying  $x^p - x = t$ . Then  $\mathbb{F}_p(t, x)$  is galois over  $\mathbb{F}_p(t)$ . We have a canonical isomorphism

$$\mathbb{F}_p \stackrel{\cong}{\to} \operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_p(t,x)/\mathbb{F}_p(t))$$

which sends each  $a \in \mathbb{F}_p$  to the element in  $\operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_p(t,x)/\mathbb{F}_p(t))$  defined by  $x \mapsto x + a$ . Let  $\mathcal{L}_{\psi}$  be the galois representation defined by

$$\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_p(t)}/\mathbb{F}_p(t)) \to \operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_p(t,x)/\mathbb{F}_p(t)) \stackrel{\cong}{\to} \mathbb{F}_p \stackrel{\psi^{-1}}{\to} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}^*.$$

It is unramfied outside  $\infty$  and totally wild at  $\infty$  with Swan conductor 1. This galois representation defines a lisse  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}$ -sheaf on  $\mathbb{A}^1$  which we still denote by  $\mathcal{L}_{\psi}$ . Let X be an  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -scheme. Any section f in  $\mathcal{O}_X(X)$  defines an  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -algebra homomorphism

$$\mathbb{F}_p[t] \to \mathcal{O}_X(X), \ t \mapsto f,$$

and hence an  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -morphism of schemes

$$f: X \to \mathbb{A}^1$$
.

We denote the lisse  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}$ -sheaf  $f^*\mathcal{L}_{\psi}$  on X by  $\mathcal{L}_{\psi}(f)$ . For any  $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{O}_X(X)$ , we have

$$\mathcal{L}_{\psi}(f_1) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\psi}(f_2) \cong \mathcal{L}_{\psi}(f_1 + f_2).$$

Recall that  $p \neq 2$ . Let y be an element in  $\overline{\mathbb{F}_p(t)}$  satisfying  $y^2 = t$ . Then  $\mathbb{F}_p(t,y)$  is galois over  $\mathbb{F}_p(t)$ . We have a canonical isomorphism

$$\{\pm 1\} \stackrel{\cong}{\to} \operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_p(t,y)/\mathbb{F}_p(t))$$

which sends -1 to the element in  $Gal(\mathbb{F}_p(t,y)/\mathbb{F}_p(t))$  defined by  $y \mapsto -y$ . Let

$$\chi: \{\pm 1\} \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}^*$$

be the (unique) nontrivial character. Define  $\mathcal{L}_{\chi}$  to be the galois representation defined by

$$\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_p(t)}/\mathbb{F}_p(t)) \to \operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_p(t,y)/\mathbb{F}_p(t)) \stackrel{\cong}{\to} \{\pm 1\} \stackrel{\chi^{-1}}{\to} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}^*.$$

It is unramified outside 0 and  $\infty$ , and tamely ramified at 0 and  $\infty$ . This galois representation defines a lisse  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}$ -sheaf on  $\mathbb{G}_m$  which we still denote by  $\mathcal{L}_{\chi}$ .

Let  $\theta : \operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{F}/\mathbb{F}_p) \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}^*$  be a character of the galois group of the finite field. Denote by  $\mathcal{L}_{\theta}$  the galois representation

$$\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_p(t)}/\mathbb{F}_p(t)) \to \operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{F}/\mathbb{F}_p) \xrightarrow{\theta} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}^*.$$

It is unramified everywhere, and hence defines a lisse  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$ -sheaf on  $\mathbb{P}^1$  which we still denote by  $\mathcal{L}_{\theta}$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** Notation as above. Let  $\eta_{\infty}$  be the generic point of  $\mathbb{P}^1_{(\infty)}$ . Then  $\mathrm{Kl}_2|_{\eta_{\infty}}$  is isomorphic to the restriction to  $\eta_{\infty}$  of the sheaf

$$[2]_*(\mathcal{L}_{\psi}(2t)\otimes\mathcal{L}_{\chi})\otimes\mathcal{L}_{\theta_0},$$

where  $[2]: \mathbb{G}_m \to \mathbb{G}_m$  is the morphism defined by  $x \mapsto x^2$ , and

$$\theta_0: \operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{F}/\mathbb{F}_p) \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}^*$$

is the character sending the geometric Frobenius element F in  $Gal(\mathbb{F}/\mathbb{F}_p)$  to the Gauss sum

$$\theta_0(F) = g(\chi, \psi) = -\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p^*} \left(\frac{x}{p}\right) \psi(x).$$

*Proof.* By [8] Proposition 1.1, we have

$$Kl_2 = \mathcal{F}\left(j_! \mathcal{L}_{\psi}\left(\frac{1}{t}\right)\right)|_{\mathbb{G}_m},\tag{1}$$

where  $\mathcal{F}$  is the  $\ell$ -adic Fourier transformation and  $j:\mathbb{G}_m\to\mathbb{A}^1$  is the inclusion. Let

$$\pi_1, \pi_2: \mathbb{G}_m \times_{\mathbb{F}_p} \mathbb{G}_m \to \mathbb{G}_m$$

be the projections. Using the proper base change theorem and the projection formula ([1] XVII 5.2.6 and 5.2.9), one can verify

$$[2]^* \left( \mathcal{F} \left( j_! \mathcal{L}_{\psi} \left( \frac{1}{t} \right) \right) |_{\mathbb{G}_m} \right) \cong R\pi_{2!} \left( \mathcal{L}_{\psi} \left( \frac{1}{t} + tt'^2 \right) \right) [1], \tag{2}$$

where

$$\frac{1}{t} + tt'^2 : \mathbb{G}_m \times_{\mathbb{F}_p} \mathbb{G}_m \to \mathbb{A}^1$$

is the morphism corresponding to the  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -algebra homomorphism

$$\mathbb{F}_p[t] \to \mathbb{F}_p[t, 1/t, t', 1/t'], \ t \mapsto \frac{1}{t} + tt'^2.$$

Consider the isomorphism

$$\tau: \mathbb{G}_m \times_{\mathbb{F}_p} \mathbb{G}_m \to \mathbb{G}_m \times_{\mathbb{F}_p} \mathbb{G}_m, \ (t,t') \mapsto \left(\frac{t}{t'},t'\right).$$

We have  $\pi_2 \tau = \pi_2$ . So

$$R\pi_{2!}\left(\mathcal{L}_{\psi}\left(\frac{1}{t}+tt'^{2}\right)\right) \cong R(\pi_{2}\tau)_{!}\tau^{*}\left(\mathcal{L}_{\psi}\left(\frac{1}{t}+tt'^{2}\right)\right) \cong R\pi_{2!}\mathcal{L}_{\psi}\left(\left(\frac{1}{t}+t\right)t'\right). \tag{3}$$

Consider the morphism

$$g: \mathbb{G}_m \to \mathbb{A}^1, \ t \mapsto \frac{1}{t} + t.$$

Again using the proper base change theorem and the projection formula, one can verify

$$\mathcal{F}(Rg_{!}\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}) \cong R\pi_{2!}\mathcal{L}_{\psi}\left(\left(\frac{1}{t} + t\right)t'\right)[1]. \tag{4}$$

From the isomorphisms (1)-(4), we get

$$[2]^* \mathrm{Kl}_2 \cong \mathcal{F}(Rg_! \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)|_{\mathbb{G}_m}.$$

By Lemma 2.2 below, the stationary phase principle of Laumon [11] 2.3.3.1 (iii), and [11] 2.5.3.1, as representations of  $Gal(\bar{\eta}_{\infty'}/\eta_{\infty'})$ , we have

$$\mathcal{H}^{0}(\mathcal{F}(Rg_{!}\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}))_{\bar{\eta}_{\infty'}} \cong \mathcal{F}^{(2,\infty')}(\mathcal{L}_{\chi}) \bigoplus \mathcal{F}^{(-2,\infty')}(\mathcal{L}_{\chi})$$

$$\cong (\mathcal{L}_{\psi}(2t') \otimes \mathcal{F}^{(0,\infty')}(\mathcal{L}_{\chi})) \bigoplus (\mathcal{L}_{\psi}(-2t') \otimes \mathcal{F}^{(0,\infty')}(\mathcal{L}_{\chi}))$$

$$\cong (\mathcal{L}_{\psi}(2t') \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\chi} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\theta_{0}}) \bigoplus (\mathcal{L}_{\psi}(-2t') \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\chi} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\theta_{0}}).$$

Hence

$$([2]^* \mathrm{Kl}_2)|_{\eta_\infty} \cong (\mathcal{L}_{\psi}(2t) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\chi} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\theta_0})|_{\eta_\infty} \bigoplus (\mathcal{L}_{\psi}(-2t) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\chi} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\theta_0})|_{\eta_\infty}.$$

Note that this decomposition of  $([2]*Kl_2)|_{\eta_{\infty}}$  is non-isotypical. By [16] Proposition 24 on p. 61, and the fact that  $Kl_2|_{\eta_{\infty}}$  is irreducible (since its Swan conductor is 1), we have

$$\mathrm{Kl}_2|_{\eta_\infty} \cong [2]_*(\mathcal{L}_{\psi}(2t) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\chi} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\theta_0})|_{\eta_\infty}.$$

We have

$$[2]_*(\mathcal{L}_{\psi}(2t) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\chi} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\theta_0}) \cong [2]_*(\mathcal{L}_{\psi}(2t) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\chi} \otimes [2]^*\mathcal{L}_{\theta_0})$$
$$\cong [2]_*(\mathcal{L}_{\psi}(2t) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\chi}) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\theta_0}.$$

Here we use the fact that  $[2]^*\mathcal{L}_{\theta_0} \cong \mathcal{L}_{\theta_0}$ . Hence

$$\mathrm{Kl}_2|_{\eta_\infty} \cong \bigg([2]_*(\mathcal{L}_{\psi}(2t) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\chi}) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\theta_0}\bigg)|_{\eta_\infty}.$$

Lemma 2.2. For the morphism

$$g: \mathbb{G}_m \to \mathbb{A}^1, \ t \mapsto \frac{1}{t} + t,$$

the following holds:

- (i)  $Rg_!\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$  is a  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ -sheaf on  $\mathbb{A}^1$  which is lisse outside the rational points 2 and -2.
- (ii)  $Rg_!\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$  is unramified at  $\infty$ .
- (iii) Let P be one of the rational points 2 or -2, and let  $\tilde{\mathbb{A}}^1_{(P)}$  be the henselization of  $\mathbb{A}^1$  at P. We have

$$(Rg_!\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)|_{\tilde{\mathbb{A}}^1_{(P)}}\cong\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell\oplus\mathcal{L}_{\chi,!},$$

where  $\mathcal{L}_{\chi,!}$  denotes the extension by 0 of the Kummer sheaf  $\mathcal{L}_{\chi}$  on the generic point of  $\tilde{\mathbb{A}}^1_{(P)}$  to  $\tilde{\mathbb{A}}^1_{(P)}$ .

Proof. We have

$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial t} = -\frac{1}{t^2} + 1.$$

So  $\frac{\partial g}{\partial t}$  vanishes at the points  $t = \pm 1$ . We have

$$g(\pm 1) = \pm 2,$$
  
 $\frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial t^2}(\pm 1) = \pm 2 \neq 0.$ 

It follows that g is tamely ramified above  $\pm 2$  with ramification index 2, and g is étale elsewhere. Consider the morphism

$$\bar{g}: \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^1, \ [t_0:t_1] \mapsto [t_0t_1:t_0^2+t_1^2].$$

We have  $\bar{g}^{-1}(\infty) = \{0, \infty\}$ . Hence

$$\bar{g}^{-1}(\mathbb{A}^1) = \mathbb{G}_m.$$

It is clear that

$$\bar{g}|_{\mathbb{G}_m}=g.$$

So  $g:\mathbb{G}_m\to\mathbb{A}^1$  is a finite morphism of degree 2. Near 0, the morphism  $\bar{g}$  can be expressed as

$$t \mapsto \frac{t}{1+t^2}.$$

Hence  $\bar{g}$  is unramified at 0. Similarly  $\bar{g}$  is also unramified at  $\infty$ . Our lemma follows from these facts.

Remark 2.3. The first attempt to determine the monodromy at  $\infty$  of the (n-1)-variable Kloosterman sheaf  $Kl_n|_{\eta_\infty}$  is done in Fu-Wan [7] Theorem 1.1, where we deduce from Katz [10] that

$$\mathrm{Kl}_n|_{\eta_\infty} \cong \left( [n]_* (\mathcal{L}_{\psi}(nt) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\chi^{n-1}}) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\theta} \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell} \left( \frac{1-n}{2} \right) \right)|_{\eta_\infty}$$

for some character  $\theta: \operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{F}/\mathbb{F}_p) \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}^*$ , and an explicit description of  $\theta^2$  is given. Using induction on n, [8] Proposition 1.1, and adapting the argument in [5] to non-algebraically closed ground field, we can get an explicit description of  $\theta$ . See [5] where the monodromy of the more general hypergeometric sheaf is treated (over algebraically closed field).

Lemma 2.4. Keep the notation in Theorem 2.1. Let

$$\theta_1: \operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{F}/\mathbb{F}_n) \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}^*$$

be the character defined by

$$\theta_1(\sigma) = \chi\left(\frac{\sigma(\sqrt{-1})}{\sqrt{-1}}\right)$$

for any  $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{F}/\mathbb{F}_p)$ . Note that the above expression is independent of the choice of the square  $\operatorname{root} \sqrt{-1} \in \mathbb{F}$  of -1.

(i) If k = 2r is even,  $\operatorname{Sym}^k(\operatorname{Kl}_2)|_{\eta_\infty}$  is isomorphic to the restriction to  $\eta_\infty$  of the sheaf

$$\left(\mathcal{L}_{\chi^r} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\theta_0^{2r}\theta_1^r}\right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{i=0}^{r-1} [2]_* \mathcal{L}_{\psi}((4i-4r)t) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\theta_0^{2r}\theta_1^i}\right).$$

(ii) If k = 2r + 1 is odd,  $\operatorname{Sym}^k(\operatorname{Kl}_2)|_{\eta_\infty}$  is isomorphic to the restriction to  $\eta_\infty$  of the sheaf

$$\bigoplus_{i=0}^{r} [2]_* \left( \mathcal{L}_{\psi}((4i-4r-2)t) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\chi} \right) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\theta_0^{2r+1}\theta_1^{i+1}}.$$

*Proof.* By Theorem 2.1, it suffices to calculate the restriction to  $\eta_{\infty}$  of  $\operatorname{Sym}^{k}([2]_{*}(\mathcal{L}_{\psi}(2t)\otimes\mathcal{L}_{\chi}))$ . Let y, z, w be elements in  $\overline{\mathbb{F}_{p}(t)}$  satisfying

$$y^2 = t$$
,  $z^p - z = y$ ,  $w^2 = y$ .

Fix a square root  $\sqrt{-1}$  of -1 in  $\mathbb{F}$ . Then  $\mathbb{F}_p(z,w,\sqrt{-1})$  and  $\mathbb{F}_p(y)$  are galois extensions of  $\mathbb{F}_p(t)$ . Let  $G = \operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_p(z,w,\sqrt{-1})/\mathbb{F}_p(t))$  and  $H = \operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_p(z,w,\sqrt{-1})/\mathbb{F}_p(y))$ . Then H is normal in G, and we have canonical isomorphisms

$$G/H \stackrel{\cong}{\to} \operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_p(y)/\mathbb{F}_p(t)) \stackrel{\cong}{\to} \{\pm 1\}.$$

Consider the case where  $\sqrt{-1}$  does not lie in  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . We have an isomorphism

$$\mathbb{F}_p \times \{\pm 1\} \times \{\pm 1\} \stackrel{\cong}{\to} H = \operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_p(z, w, \sqrt{-1}) / \mathbb{F}_p(y))$$

which maps  $(a, \mu', \mu'') \in \mathbb{F}_p \times \{\pm 1\} \times \{\pm 1\}$  to the element  $g_{(a, \mu', \mu'')} \in \operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_p(z, w, \sqrt{-1})/\mathbb{F}_p(y))$  defined by

$$g_{(a,\mu',\mu'')}(z) = z + a, \ g_{(a,\mu',\mu'')}(w) = \mu'w, \ g_{(a,\mu',\mu'')}(\sqrt{-1}) = \mu''\sqrt{-1}.$$

(In the case where  $\sqrt{-1}$  lies in  $\mathbb{F}_p$ , we have  $\mathbb{F}_p(z,w,\sqrt{-1})=\mathbb{F}_p(z,w)$ , and we have an isomorphism

$$\mathbb{F}_p \times \{\pm 1\} \stackrel{\cong}{\to} H = \operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_p(z, w) / \mathbb{F}_p(y))$$

which maps  $(a, \mu) \in \mathbb{F}_p \times \{\pm 1\}$  to the element  $g_{(a,\mu)} \in \operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_p(z, w)/\mathbb{F}_p(y))$  defined by

$$g_{(a,\mu)}(z) = z + a, \ g_{(a,\mu)}(w) = \mu w.$$

The following argument works for this case with slight modification. We leave to the reader to treat this case.) Let V be a one dimensional  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}$ -vector space with a basis  $e_0$ . Define an action of H on V by

$$g_{(a,\mu',\mu'')}(e_0) = \psi(-2a)\chi(\mu'^{-1})e_0.$$

Then  $[2]_*(\mathcal{L}_{\psi}(2t)\otimes\mathcal{L}_{\chi})$  is just the composition of  $\mathrm{Ind}_H^G(V)$  with the canonical homomorphism

$$\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_n(t)}/\mathbb{F}_n(t)) \to \operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_n(z, w, \sqrt{-1})/\mathbb{F}_n(t)) = G.$$

Let g be the element in  $G = \operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_p(z, w, \sqrt{-1})/\mathbb{F}_p(t))$  defined by

$$g(z) = -z, \ g(w) = \sqrt{-1}w, \ g(\sqrt{-1}) = \sqrt{-1}.$$

Then the image of g in G/H is a generator of the cyclic group G/H. So G is generated by  $g_{(a,\mu',\mu'')} \in H$   $((a,\mu',\mu'') \in \mathbb{F}_p \times \{\pm 1\} \times \{\pm 1\})$  and g. The space  $\operatorname{Ind}_H^G(V)$  has a basis  $\{e_0,e_1\}$  with

$$g(e_0) = e_1,$$

$$g_{(a,\mu',\mu'')}(e_0) = \psi(-2a)\chi(\mu'^{-1})e_0,$$

$$g_{(a,\mu',\mu'')}(e_1) = \psi(2a)\chi(\mu'^{-1}\mu''^{-1})e_1,$$

$$g(e_1) = g^2(e_0) = g_{(0,-1,1)}(e_0) = -e_0.$$

Suppose k = 2r is even.  $\operatorname{Sym}^k(\operatorname{Ind}_H^G(V))$  has a basis

$$\{e_1^k, g(e_1^k), e_0e_1^{k-1}, g(e_0e_1^{k-1}), \dots, e_0^{r-1}e_1^{r+1}, g(e_0^{r-1}e_1^{r+1}), e_0^re_1^r\},$$

and for each  $i = 0, 1, \dots, r$ , we have

$$g_{(a,\mu',\mu'')}(e_0^i e_1^{k-i}) = \psi(-2ia)\chi(\mu'^{-i})\psi(2(k-i)a)\chi(\mu'^{-(k-i)}\mu''^{-(k-i)})e_0^i e_1^{k-i}$$
$$= \psi(2(k-2i)a)\chi(\mu'^{-k})\chi(\mu''^{-(k-i)})e_0^i e_1^{k-i}.$$

Using the fact that k is even and  $\chi^2 = 1$ , we get

$$g_{(a,\mu',\mu'')}(e_0^ie_1^{k-i}) = \psi(2(k-2i)a)\chi(\mu''^i)e_0^ie_1^{k-i}.$$

In particular, we have

$$g_{(a,\mu',\mu'')}(e_0^r e_1^r) = \chi(\mu''^r)e_0^r e_1^r.$$

Moreover, we have

$$g(e_0^r e_1^r) = e_1^r (g(e_1^r)) = (-1)^r e_0^r e_1^r$$

It follows that

$$\operatorname{Sym}^k([2]_*(\mathcal{L}_{\psi}(2t)\otimes\mathcal{L}_{\chi}))\cong (\mathcal{L}_{\chi^r}\otimes\mathcal{L}_{\theta_1^r})\oplus \left(\bigoplus_{i=0}^{r-1}[2]_*(\mathcal{L}_{\psi}(2(2i-k)t)\otimes\mathcal{L}_{\theta_1^i})\right).$$

We have

$$[2]_*(\mathcal{L}_{\psi}(2(2i-k)t)\otimes\mathcal{L}_{\theta_*^i})\cong [2]_*(\mathcal{L}_{\psi}(2(2i-k)t)\otimes[2]^*\mathcal{L}_{\theta_*^i})\cong [2]_*\mathcal{L}_{\psi}(2(2i-k)t)\otimes\mathcal{L}_{\theta_*^i}.$$

So we have

$$\operatorname{Sym}^k([2]_*(\mathcal{L}_{\psi}(2t)\otimes\mathcal{L}_{\chi}))\cong (\mathcal{L}_{\chi^r}\otimes\mathcal{L}_{\theta_1^r})\oplus \left(\bigoplus_{i=0}^{r-1}[2]_*\mathcal{L}_{\psi}(2(2i-k)t)\otimes\mathcal{L}_{\theta_1^i}\right).$$

Suppose n = 2r + 1 is odd.  $\operatorname{Sym}^k(\operatorname{Ind}_H^G(V))$  has a basis

$$\{e_1^k, g(e_1^k), e_0e_1^{k-1}, g(e_0e_1^{k-1}), \dots, e_0^re_1^{r+1}, g(e_0^re_1^{r+1})\}.$$

Using the same calculation as above, we get

$$\operatorname{Sym}^{k}([2]_{*}(\mathcal{L}_{\psi}(2t)\otimes\mathcal{L}_{\chi}))\cong\bigoplus_{i=0}^{r}[2]_{*}(\mathcal{L}_{\psi}(2(2i-k)t)\otimes\mathcal{L}_{\chi})\otimes\mathcal{L}_{\theta_{1}^{i+1}}.$$

Lemma 2.4 follows by twisting the above expressions of  $\operatorname{Sym}^k([2]_*(\mathcal{L}_{\psi}(2t)\otimes\mathcal{L}_{\chi}))$  by  $\mathcal{L}_{\theta_0^k}$ .

**Lemma 2.5.** Assume  $a \in \mathbb{F}_p$  is nonzero. We have the following identities.

(i) 
$$\epsilon(\mathbb{P}^1_{(\infty)}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}, dt|_{\mathbb{P}^1_{(\infty)}}) = \frac{1}{p^2}$$
.

(ii) 
$$\epsilon(\mathbb{P}^1_{(\infty)}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell, dt^2|_{\mathbb{P}^1_{(\infty)}}) = \frac{1}{p^3}$$

(iii) 
$$\epsilon(\mathbb{P}^1_{(\infty)}, j_*\mathcal{L}_{\chi}|_{\mathbb{P}^1_{(\infty)}}, dt|_{\mathbb{P}^1_{(\infty)}}) = -\frac{g(\chi, \psi)}{p^2}.$$

(iv) 
$$\epsilon(\mathbb{P}^1_{(\infty)}, j_*\mathcal{L}_{\chi}|_{\mathbb{P}^1_{(\infty)}}, dt^2|_{\mathbb{P}^1_{(\infty)}}) = -\frac{g(\chi, \psi)}{p^3} \left(\frac{-2}{p}\right).$$

$$(v) \ \epsilon(\mathbb{P}^1_{(\infty)}, j_*(\mathcal{L}_{\psi}(at) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\chi})|_{\mathbb{P}^1_{(\infty)}}, dt^2|_{\mathbb{P}^1_{(\infty)}}) = \frac{1}{p^2} \left(\frac{2a}{p}\right).$$

(vi) 
$$\epsilon(\mathbb{P}^1_{(\infty)}, j_*\mathcal{L}_{\psi}(at)|_{\mathbb{P}^1_{(\infty)}}, dt^2|_{\mathbb{P}^1_{(\infty)}}) = \frac{1}{p^2}$$
.

$$(vii) \ \epsilon(\mathbb{P}^1_{(\infty)}, [2]_* \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}|_{\mathbb{P}^1_{(\infty)}}, dt|_{\mathbb{P}^1_{(\infty)}}) = -\frac{g(\chi, \psi)}{p^4}.$$

(viii) 
$$\epsilon(\mathbb{P}^1_{(\infty)}, j_*[2]_*(\mathcal{L}_{\psi}(at) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\chi})|_{\mathbb{P}^1_{(\infty)}}, dt|_{\mathbb{P}^1_{(\infty)}}) = -\frac{g(\chi, \psi)}{p^3} \left(\frac{2a}{p}\right).$$

$$(ix) \ \epsilon(\mathbb{P}^1_{(\infty)}, j_*[2]_* \mathcal{L}_{\chi}|_{\mathbb{P}^1_{(\infty)}}, dt|_{\mathbb{P}^1_{(\infty)}}) = \frac{g(\chi, \psi)^2}{p^4} \left(\frac{-2}{p}\right).$$

(x) 
$$\epsilon(\mathbb{P}^1_{(\infty)}, j_*[2]_*\mathcal{L}_{\psi}(at)|_{\mathbb{P}^1_{(\infty)}}, dt|_{\mathbb{P}^1_{(\infty)}}) = -\frac{g(\chi, \psi)}{p^3}.$$

Proof. Let  $K_{\infty}$  be the completion of the field  $k(\eta_{\infty})$ , let  $\mathcal{O}_{\infty}$  be the ring of integers in  $K_{\infty}$ , and let  $s = \frac{1}{t}$ . Then s is a uniformizer of  $K_{\infty}$ . Denote the inclusion  $\eta_{\infty} \to \mathbb{P}^1_{(\infty)}$  also by j. Let V be a  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}$ -sheaf of rank 1 on  $\eta_{\infty}$ , and let  $\phi: K_{\infty}^* \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}^*$  be the character corresponding to V via the reciprocity law. The Artin conductor  $a(\phi)$  of  $\phi$  is defined to be the smallest integer m such that  $\phi|_{1+s^m\mathcal{O}_{\infty}}=1$ . For any nonzero meromorphic differential 1-form  $\omega=fds$  on  $\mathbb{P}^1_{(\infty)}$ , define the order  $v_{\infty}(\omega)$  of  $\omega$  to be the valuation  $v_{\infty}(f)$  of f. By [11] 3.1.5.4 (v), we have

$$\epsilon(\mathbb{P}^1_{(\infty)},j_*V,\omega) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \phi(s^{v_\infty(\omega)})p^{v_\infty(\omega)} & \text{if } \phi|_{\mathcal{O}_\infty^*} = 1, \\ \int_{s^{-(a(\phi)+v_\infty(\omega))}\mathcal{O}_\infty^*} \phi^{-1}(z)\psi(\mathrm{Res}_\infty(z\omega))dz & \text{if } \phi|_{\mathcal{O}_\infty^*} \neq 1, \end{array} \right.$$

where  $\mathrm{Res}_{\infty}$  denotes the residue of a meromorphic 1-form at  $\infty$ , and the integral is taken with respect to the Haar measure dz on  $K_{\infty}$  normalized by  $\int_{\mathcal{O}_{\infty}} dz = 1$ .

Note that  $dt = -\frac{ds}{s^2}$  has order -2 at  $\infty$  and  $dt^2 = -\frac{2ds}{s^3}$  has order -3. Applying the first case of the above formula for the  $\epsilon$ -factor, we get (i) and (ii).

(iii) Taking  $a = t = \frac{1}{s}$  and b = z in the explicit reciprocity law in [17] XIV §3 Proposition 8, we see the character

$$\chi': K_{\infty}^* \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l^*$$

corresponding to  $\mathcal{L}_{\chi}$  is given by

$$\chi'(z) = \chi^{-1}\left(\left(\frac{\bar{c}}{p}\right)\right),$$

where

$$c = (-1)^{-v_{\infty}(z)} \frac{z^{-1}}{s^{-v_{\infty}(z)}}$$

which is a unit in  $\mathcal{O}_{\infty}$ ,  $\bar{c}$  is the residue class of c in  $\mathcal{O}_{\infty}/s\mathcal{O}_{\infty} \cong \mathbb{F}_p$ , and  $\left(\frac{\bar{c}}{p}\right)$  is the Legendre symbol of  $\bar{c}$ . Note that our formula for c is the reciprocal of the formula in [17] because the reciprocity map in [17] maps uniformizers in K to arithmetic Frobenius elements in  $\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{K}_{\infty}/K_{\infty})^{\operatorname{ab}}$ , whereas the reciprocity map in [11] maps uniformizers in K to geometric Frobenius elements. One can verify  $a(\chi') = 1$ . For any  $z \in s\mathcal{O}_{\infty}^*$ , write

$$z = s(r_0 + r_1 s + \cdots)$$

with  $r_i \in \mathbb{F}_p$  and  $r_0 \neq 0$ . We then have

$$\bar{c} = -r_0^{-1},$$

$$\operatorname{Res}_{\infty}(zdt) = -r_0.$$

So we have

$$\begin{split} \epsilon(\mathbb{P}^1_{(\infty)}, j_* \mathcal{L}_\chi|_{\mathbb{P}^1_{(\infty)}}, dt|_{\mathbb{P}^1_{(\infty)}}) &= \int_{s\mathcal{O}_\infty^*} \chi'^{-1}(z) \psi(\mathrm{Res}_\infty(zdt)) dz \\ &= \int_{s\mathcal{O}_\infty^*} \chi\left(\left(\frac{-r_0^{-1}}{p}\right)\right) \psi(-r_0) dz \\ &= \int_{s\mathcal{O}_\infty^*} \left(\frac{-r_0}{p}\right) \psi(-r_0) dz \\ &= \sum_{r_0 \in \mathbb{F}_p^*} \int_{r_0 s(1+s\mathcal{O}_\infty)} \left(\frac{-r_0}{p}\right) \psi(-r_0) dz \\ &= \sum_{r_0 \in \mathbb{F}_p^*} \left(\frac{-r_0}{p}\right) \psi(-r_0) \int_{r_0 s(1+s\mathcal{O}_\infty)} dz \\ &= \frac{1}{p^2} \sum_{r_0 \in \mathbb{F}_p^*} \left(\frac{-r_0}{p}\right) \psi(-r_0) \\ &= -\frac{g(\chi, \psi)}{p^2}. \end{split}$$

(iv) We can use the same method as in (iii), or use the formula [11] 3.1.5.5 to get

$$\begin{split} \epsilon(\mathbb{P}^{1}_{(\infty)}, j_{*}\mathcal{L}_{\chi}|_{\mathbb{P}^{1}_{(\infty)}}, dt^{2}|_{\mathbb{P}^{1}_{(\infty)}}) &= \epsilon(\mathbb{P}^{1}_{(\infty)}, j_{*}\mathcal{L}_{\chi}|_{\mathbb{P}^{1}_{(\infty)}}, 2tdt|_{\mathbb{P}^{1}_{(\infty)}}) \\ &= \chi'\left(\frac{2}{s}\right) p^{v_{\infty}(\frac{2}{s})} \epsilon(\mathbb{P}^{1}_{(\infty)}, j_{*}\mathcal{L}_{\chi}|_{\mathbb{P}^{1}_{(\infty)}}, dt|_{\mathbb{P}^{1}_{(\infty)}}) \\ &= \left(\frac{-2}{p}\right) \cdot \frac{1}{p} \cdot \epsilon(\mathbb{P}^{1}_{(\infty)}, j_{*}\mathcal{L}_{\chi}|_{\mathbb{P}^{1}_{(\infty)}}, dt|_{\mathbb{P}^{1}_{(\infty)}}) \\ &= -\frac{g(\chi, \psi)}{p^{3}} \left(\frac{-2}{p}\right) \end{split}$$

(v) Taking a to be  $at = \frac{a}{s}$  and b = z in the explicit reciprocity law in [17] XIV §5 Proposition 15, we see the character

$$K_{\infty}^* \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l^*$$

corresponding to  $\mathcal{L}_{\chi}(at)$  is

$$z \mapsto \psi^{-1} \left( -\text{Res}_{\infty} \left( \frac{a}{s} \cdot \frac{dz}{z} \right) \right).$$

(We add the negative sign to the formula in [17] since the reciprocity map in [17] is different from the one used in [11].) So the character

$$\phi: K_{\infty}^* \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l^*$$

corresponding to  $\mathcal{L}_{\chi}(at) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\chi}$  is given by

$$\phi(z) = \psi^{-1} \left( -\text{Res}_{\infty} \left( \frac{a}{s} \cdot \frac{dz}{z} \right) \right) \chi^{-1} \left( \left( \frac{\bar{c}}{p} \right) \right),$$

where  $c=(-1)^{-v_{\infty}(z)}\frac{z^{-1}}{s^{-v_{\infty}(z)}}$ . One can verify  $a(\phi)=2$ . For any  $z\in s\mathcal{O}_{\infty}^*$ , write

$$z = s(r_0 + r_1 s + \cdots)$$

with  $r_i \in \mathbb{F}_p$  and  $r_0 \neq 0$ . We then have

$$\operatorname{Res}_{\infty} \left( \frac{a}{s} \cdot \frac{dz}{z} \right) = \frac{ar_1}{r_0},$$
$$\bar{c} = -r_0^{-1},$$
$$\operatorname{Res}_{\infty}(zdt^2) = -2r_1.$$

So we have

$$\begin{split} & \epsilon(\mathbb{P}^1_{(\infty)}, j_* \mathcal{L}_\chi|_{\mathbb{P}^1_{(\infty)}}, dt^2|_{\mathbb{P}^1_{(\infty)}}) \\ &= \int_{s\mathcal{O}_\infty^*} \phi^{-1}(z) \psi(\mathrm{Res}_\infty(zdt^2)) dz \\ &= \int_{s\mathcal{O}_\infty^*} \psi\left(-\frac{ar_1}{r_0}\right) \chi\left(\left(\frac{-r_0^{-1}}{p}\right)\right) \psi(-2r_1) dz \\ &= \int_{s\mathcal{O}_\infty^*} \left(\frac{-r_0}{p}\right) \psi\left(-r_1\left(\frac{a}{r_0}+2\right)\right) dz \\ &= \sum_{r_0, r_1 \in \mathbb{F}_p, r_0 \neq 0} \int_{s(r_0 + r_1 s)(1 + s^2 \mathcal{O}_\infty)} \left(\frac{-r_0}{p}\right) \psi\left(-r_1\left(\frac{a}{r_0} + 2\right)\right) dz \\ &= \sum_{r_0, r_1 \in \mathbb{F}_p, r_0 \neq 0} \left(\frac{-r_0}{p}\right) \psi\left(-r_1\left(\frac{a}{r_0} + 2\right)\right) \int_{s(r_0 + r_1 s)(1 + s^2 \mathcal{O}_\infty)} dz \\ &= \frac{1}{p^3} \sum_{r_0 \in \mathbb{F}_p^*} \left(\frac{-r_0}{p}\right) \sum_{r_1 \in \mathbb{F}_p} \psi\left(-r_1\left(\frac{a}{r_0} + 2\right)\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{p^3} \cdot \left(\frac{-r_0}{2}\right) \cdot p \\ &= \frac{1}{p^2} \left(\frac{2a}{p}\right). \end{split}$$

We omit the proof of (vi), which is similar to the proof of (v).

(vii) We have  $[2]_*\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell \cong \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell \oplus j_*\mathcal{L}_\chi$ . So

$$\epsilon(\mathbb{P}^1_{(\infty)},[2]_*\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell|_{\mathbb{P}^1_{(\infty)}},dt|_{\mathbb{P}^1_{(\infty)}})=\epsilon(\mathbb{P}^1_{(\infty)},\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell|_{\mathbb{P}^1_{(\infty)}},dt|_{\mathbb{P}^1_{(\infty)}})\epsilon(\mathbb{P}^1_{(\infty)},j_*\mathcal{L}_\chi|_{\mathbb{P}^1_{(\infty)}},dt|_{\mathbb{P}^1_{(\infty)}}).$$

We then use (i) and (iii).

(viii) We can define  $\epsilon$ -factors for virtual sheaves on  $\mathbb{P}^1_{(\infty)}$ . By [11] 3.1.5.4 (iv), we have

$$\epsilon(\mathbb{P}^1_{(\infty)},[2]_*([j_*(\mathcal{L}_{\psi}(at)\otimes\mathcal{L}_{\chi})]-[\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}])|_{\mathbb{P}^1_{(\infty)}},dt|_{\mathbb{P}^1_{(\infty)}})=\epsilon(\mathbb{P}^1_{(\infty)},([j_*(\mathcal{L}_{\psi}(at)\otimes\mathcal{L}_{\chi})]-[\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}])|_{\mathbb{P}^1_{(\infty)}},dt^2|_{\mathbb{P}^1_{(\infty)}}).$$

Hence

$$= \frac{\epsilon(\mathbb{P}^{1}_{(\infty)}, j_{*}[2]_{*}(\mathcal{L}_{\psi}(at) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\chi})|_{\mathbb{P}^{1}_{(\infty)}}, dt|_{\mathbb{P}^{1}_{(\infty)}})}{\epsilon(\mathbb{P}^{1}_{(\infty)}, j_{*}(\mathcal{L}_{\psi}(at) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\chi})|_{\mathbb{P}^{1}_{(\infty)}}, dt^{2}|_{\mathbb{P}^{1}_{(\infty)}})}{\epsilon(\mathbb{P}^{1}_{(\infty)}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}, dt^{2}|_{\mathbb{P}^{1}_{(\infty)}})} \epsilon(\mathbb{P}^{1}_{(\infty)}, [2]_{*}\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}, dt|_{\mathbb{P}^{1}_{(\infty)}}).$$

We then apply the formulas (ii), (v), and (vii).

We omit the proof of (ix) and (x), which is similar to the proof of (viii).  $\Box$ 

### Lemma 2.6. We have

$$\begin{split} & \epsilon(\mathbb{P}^{1}_{(\infty)}, j_{*}(\mathcal{L}_{\chi^{r}} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\theta_{0}^{2r}\theta_{1}^{r}})|_{\mathbb{P}^{1}_{(\infty)}}, dt|_{\mathbb{P}^{1}_{(\infty)}}) \\ = & \begin{cases} \frac{g(\chi, \psi)^{-4r}}{p^{2}} & \text{if $r$ is even}, \\ -\frac{g(\chi, \psi)^{-2r+1}}{p^{2}} \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) & \text{if $r$ is odd}, \end{cases} \\ & \epsilon(\mathbb{P}^{1}_{(\infty)}, j_{*}([2]_{*}\mathcal{L}_{\psi}((4i-4r)t) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\theta_{0}^{2r}\theta_{1}^{i}})|_{\mathbb{P}^{1}_{(\infty)}}, dt|_{\mathbb{P}^{1}_{(\infty)}}) \end{cases} \\ = & \begin{cases} -\frac{g(\chi, \psi)^{-6r+1}}{p^{4}} \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)^{i} & \text{if $p|i-r$,} \\ -\frac{g(\chi, \psi)^{-2r+1}}{p^{3}} \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)^{i} & \text{if $p \mid i-r$,} \end{cases} \\ & \epsilon(\mathbb{P}^{1}_{(\infty)}, j_{*}([2]_{*}\mathcal{L}_{\psi}((4i-4r-2)t) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\chi}) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\theta_{0}^{2r+1}\theta_{1}^{i+1}})|_{\mathbb{P}^{1}_{(\infty)}}, dt|_{\mathbb{P}^{1}_{(\infty)}}) \\ = & \begin{cases} \frac{g(\chi, \psi)^{-4r}}{p^{4}} \left(\frac{-2}{p}\right) & \text{if $p|2i-2r-1$,} \\ -\frac{g(\chi, \psi)^{-2r}}{p^{3}} \left(\frac{(-1)^{i+1}(2i-2r-1)}{p}\right) & \text{if $p \mid \chi 2i-2r-1$.} \end{cases} \end{split}$$

*Proof.* Let  $F_{\infty}$  be the geometric Frobenius element at  $\infty$ . We have

$$\theta_0(F_\infty) = g(\chi, \psi), \ \theta_1(F_\infty) = \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right).$$

Using the notation [11] 3.1.5.1, we have

$$\begin{split} a(\mathbb{P}^{1}_{(\infty)}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}, dt|_{\mathbb{P}^{1}_{(\infty)}}) &= -2, \\ a(\mathbb{P}^{1}_{(\infty)}, j_{*}\mathcal{L}_{\chi}|_{\mathbb{P}^{1}_{(\infty)}}, dt|_{\mathbb{P}^{1}_{(\infty)}}) &= -1, \\ a(\mathbb{P}^{1}_{(\infty)}, [2]_{*}\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}, dt|_{\mathbb{P}^{1}_{(\infty)}}) &= -3, \\ a(\mathbb{P}^{1}_{(\infty)}, j_{*}[2]_{*}\mathcal{L}_{\psi}(at)|_{\mathbb{P}^{1}_{(\infty)}}, dt|_{\mathbb{P}^{1}_{(\infty)}}) &= -1, \ (a \in \mathbb{F}^{*}_{p}) \\ a(\mathbb{P}^{1}_{(\infty)}, j_{*}[2]_{*}\mathcal{L}_{\chi}|_{\mathbb{P}^{1}_{(\infty)}}, dt|_{\mathbb{P}^{1}_{(\infty)}}) &= -2, \\ a(\mathbb{P}^{1}_{(\infty)}, j_{*}[2]_{*}(\mathcal{L}_{\psi}(at) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\chi})|_{\mathbb{P}^{1}_{(\infty)}}, dt|_{\mathbb{P}^{1}_{(\infty)}}) &= -1, \ (a \in \mathbb{F}^{*}_{p}). \end{split}$$

So by [11] 3.1.5.6, we have

$$\begin{split} & \epsilon(\mathbb{P}^{1}_{(\infty)}, j_{*}(\mathcal{L}_{\chi^{r}} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\theta_{0}^{2r}\theta_{1}^{r}})|_{\mathbb{P}^{1}_{(\infty)}}, dt|_{\mathbb{P}^{1}_{(\infty)}}) \\ = & \begin{cases} & ((\theta_{0}^{2r}\theta_{1}^{r})(F_{\infty}))^{-2}\epsilon(\mathbb{P}^{1}_{(\infty)}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}, dt|_{\mathbb{P}^{1}_{(\infty)}}) & \text{if } r \text{ is even,} \\ & & ((\theta_{0}^{2r}\theta_{1}^{r})(F_{\infty}))^{-1}\epsilon(\mathbb{P}^{1}_{(\infty)}, j_{*}\mathcal{L}_{\chi}|_{\mathbb{P}^{1}_{(\infty)}}, dt|_{\mathbb{P}^{1}_{(\infty)}}) & \text{if } r \text{ is odd,} \end{cases} \\ & \epsilon(\mathbb{P}^{1}_{(\infty)}, j_{*}([2]_{*}\mathcal{L}_{\psi}((4i-4r)t) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\theta_{0}^{2r}\theta_{1}^{i}})|_{\mathbb{P}^{1}_{(\infty)}}, dt|_{\mathbb{P}^{1}_{(\infty)}}) \\ = & \begin{cases} & ((\theta_{0}^{2r}\theta_{1}^{i})(F_{\infty}))^{-3}\epsilon(\mathbb{P}^{1}_{(\infty)}, [2]_{*}\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}, dt|_{\mathbb{P}^{1}_{(\infty)}}) & \text{if } p|i-r, \\ & & ((\theta_{0}^{2r}\theta_{1}^{i})(F_{\infty}))^{-1}\epsilon(\mathbb{P}^{1}_{(\infty)}, j_{*}[2]_{*}\mathcal{L}_{\psi}((4i-4r)t)|_{\mathbb{P}^{1}_{(\infty)}}, dt|_{\mathbb{P}^{1}_{(\infty)}}) & \text{if } p \not|i-r, \end{cases} \\ & \epsilon(\mathbb{P}^{1}_{(\infty)}, j_{*}([2]_{*}(\mathcal{L}_{\psi}((4i-4r-2)t) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\chi}) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\theta_{0}^{2r+1}\theta_{1}^{i+1}})|_{\mathbb{P}^{1}_{(\infty)}}, dt|_{\mathbb{P}^{1}_{(\infty)}}) \\ = & \begin{cases} & ((\theta_{0}^{2r+1}\theta_{1}^{i+1})(F_{\infty}))^{-2}\epsilon(\mathbb{P}^{1}_{(\infty)}, j_{*}[2]_{*}\mathcal{L}_{\chi}|_{\mathbb{P}^{1}_{(\infty)}}, dt|_{\mathbb{P}^{1}_{(\infty)}}) & \text{if } p|2i-2r-1, \\ & ((\theta_{0}^{2r+1}\theta_{1}^{i+1})(F_{\infty}))^{-1}\epsilon(\mathbb{P}^{1}_{(\infty)}, j_{*}[2]_{*}(\mathcal{L}_{\psi}((4i-4r-2)t) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\chi})|_{\mathbb{P}^{1}_{(\infty)}}, dt|_{\mathbb{P}^{1}_{(\infty)}}) & \text{if } p \not|2i-2r-1 \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

We then apply the formulas in Lemma 2.5.

The following is Proposition 0.4 in the introduction.

**Proposition 2.7.**  $\epsilon(\mathbb{P}^1_{(\infty)}, j_*(\operatorname{Sym}^k(\operatorname{Kl}_2))|_{\mathbb{P}^1_{(\infty)}}, dt|_{\mathbb{P}^1_{(\infty)}})$  equals

$$p^{-(k+1)(\frac{k+8}{4}+[\frac{k}{2p}])}$$

if k = 2r for an even r,

$$p^{-(k+1)(\frac{k+6}{4}+[\frac{k}{2p}])}$$

if k = 2r for an odd r, and

$$(-1)^{\frac{k+1}{2}+[\frac{k}{p}]-[\frac{k}{2p}]}p^{-\frac{k+1}{2}(\frac{k+5}{2}+[\frac{k}{p}]-[\frac{k}{2p}])}\left(\frac{-2}{p}\right)^{[\frac{k}{p}]-[\frac{k}{2p}]}\prod_{j\in\{0,1,\ldots,[\frac{k}{2}]\},\ p\not| 2j+1}\left(\frac{(-1)^{j}(2j+1)}{p}\right)^{\frac{k+1}{2}+[\frac{k}{p}]-[\frac{k}{2p}]}$$

if k = 2r + 1.

*Proof.* By Lemmas 2.4 and 2.6,  $\epsilon(\mathbb{P}^1_{(\infty)}, j_*(\operatorname{Sym}^k(\operatorname{Kl}_2))|_{\mathbb{P}^1_{(\infty)}}, dt|_{\mathbb{P}^1_{(\infty)}})$  equals

$$\frac{g(\chi,\psi)^{-4r}}{p^2} \prod_{i \in \{0,\dots,r-1\},\ p \mid i-r} \left( -\frac{g(\chi,\psi)^{-6r+1}}{p^4} \left( \frac{-1}{p} \right)^i \right) \prod_{i \in \{0,\dots,r-1\},\ p \nmid i-r} \left( -\frac{g(\chi,\psi)^{-2r+1}}{p^3} \left( \frac{-1}{p} \right)^i \right)$$

if k = 2r for an even r,

$$-\frac{g(\chi,\psi)^{-2r+1}}{p^2} \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) \prod_{i \in \{0,...,r-1\}, \ p \mid i-r} \left(-\frac{g(\chi,\psi)^{-6r+1}}{p^4} \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)^i\right) \prod_{i \in \{0,...,r-1\}, \ p \not\mid i-r} \left(-\frac{g(\chi,\psi)^{-2r+1}}{p^3} \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)^i\right)$$

if k = 2r for an odd r, and

$$\prod_{i \in \{0, \dots, r\}, \ p \mid 2i-2r-1} \left( \frac{g(\chi, \psi)^{-4r}}{p^4} \left( \frac{-2}{p} \right) \right) \prod_{i \in \{0, \dots, r\}, \ p \not\mid 2i-2r-1} \left( -\frac{g(\chi, \psi)^{-2r}}{p^3} \left( \frac{(-1)^{i+1}(2i-2r-1)}{p} \right) \right)$$

if k = 2r + 1. Let's simplify the above expressions. Recall that  $g(\chi, \psi)^2 = p\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)$ . If k = 2r with r even, we have

$$\begin{split} & \epsilon(\mathbb{P}^1_{(\infty)}, j_*(\operatorname{Sym}^k(\operatorname{Kl}_2))|_{\mathbb{P}^1_{(\infty)}}, dt|_{\mathbb{P}^1_{(\infty)}}) \\ & = \frac{g(\chi, \psi)^{-4r}}{p^2} \prod_{i \in \{0, \dots, r-1\}, \; p \mid i-r} \left( -\frac{g(\chi, \psi)^{-6r+1}}{p^4} \left( \frac{-1}{p} \right)^i \right) \prod_{i \in \{0, \dots, r-1\}, \; p \nmid i-r} \left( -\frac{g(\chi, \psi)^{-2r+1}}{p^3} \left( \frac{-1}{p} \right)^i \right) \\ & = \frac{g(\chi, \psi)^{-4r}}{p^2} \prod_{i \in \{0, \dots, r-1\}, \; p \mid i-r} \frac{g(\chi, \psi)^{-4r}}{p} \prod_{i \in \{0, \dots, r-1\}} \left( -\frac{g(\chi, \psi)^{-2r+1}}{p^3} \left( \frac{-1}{p} \right)^i \right) \\ & = \frac{g(\chi, \psi)^{-4r}}{p^2} \left( \frac{g(\chi, \psi)^{-4r}}{p} \right)^{\left[\frac{r}{p}\right]} \left( -\frac{g(\chi, \psi)^{-2r+1}}{p^3} \right)^r \left( \frac{-1}{p} \right)^{\frac{r(r-1)}{2}} \\ & = \frac{p^{-2r}}{p^2} \left( \frac{p^{-2r}}{p} \right)^{\left[\frac{r}{p}\right]} \frac{\left( p \left( \frac{-1}{p} \right) \right)^{\frac{r(-2r+1)}{2}}}{p^{3r}} \left( \frac{-1}{p} \right)^{\frac{r(r-1)}{2}} \\ & = p^{-r^2 - \frac{9}{2}r - 2 - (2r+1)[\frac{r}{p}]} \left( \frac{-1}{p} \right)^{-\frac{r^2}{2}} \\ & = p^{-(k+1)(\frac{k+8}{4} + [\frac{k}{2p}])}. \end{split}$$

If k = 2r with r odd, we have

$$\begin{split} & \epsilon(\mathbb{P}^1_{(\infty)},j_*(\mathrm{Sym}^k(\mathrm{Kl}_2))|_{\mathbb{P}^1_{(\infty)}},dt|_{\mathbb{P}^1_{(\infty)}}) \\ & = -\frac{g(\chi,\psi)^{-2r+1}}{p^2} \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) \prod_{i \in \{0,\dots,r-1\},\ p|i-r} \left(-\frac{g(\chi,\psi)^{-6r+1}}{p^4} \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)^i\right) \\ & \times \prod_{i \in \{0,\dots,r-1\},\ p \not\mid i-r} \left(-\frac{g(\chi,\psi)^{-2r+1}}{p^3} \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)^i\right) \\ & = -\frac{g(\chi,\psi)^{-2r+1}}{p^2} \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) \prod_{i \in \{0,\dots,r-1\},\ p|i-r} \left(\frac{g(\chi,\psi)^{-4r}}{p}\right) \prod_{i \in \{0,\dots,r-1\}} \left(-\frac{g(\chi,\psi)^{-2r+1}}{p^3} \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)^i\right) \\ & = -\frac{g(\chi,\psi)^{-2r+1}}{p^2} \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) \left(\frac{g(\chi,\psi)^{-4r}}{p}\right)^{\left[\frac{r}{p}\right]} \left(-\frac{g(\chi,\psi)^{-2r+1}}{p^3}\right)^r \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)^{\frac{r(r-1)}{2}} \\ & = \frac{g(\chi,\psi)^{(-2r+1)(r+1)}}{p^{3r+2}} \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)^{1+\frac{r(r-1)}{2}} \left(\frac{g(\chi,\psi)^{-4r}}{p}\right)^{\left[\frac{r}{p}\right]} \\ & = \frac{\left(p\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)\right)^{\frac{(-2r+1)(r+1)}{2}}}{p^{3r+2}} \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)^{1+\frac{r(r-1)}{2}} \left(\frac{p^{-2r}}{p}\right)^{\left[\frac{r}{p}\right]} \\ & = p^{-r^2-\frac{7}{2}r-\frac{3}{2}-(2r+1)\left[\frac{r}{p}\right]} \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)^{-\frac{(r-1)(r+3)}{2}} \\ & = p^{-(k+1)(\frac{k+6}{4}+\lfloor\frac{k}{2p}\rfloor)}. \end{split}$$

If k = 2r + 1 is odd, we have

$$\begin{split} & \epsilon(\mathbb{P}^1_{(\infty)}, j_*(\operatorname{Sym}^k(\operatorname{Kl}_2))|_{\mathbb{P}^1_{(\infty)}}, dt|_{\mathbb{P}^1_{(\infty)}}) \\ & = \prod_{i \in \{0, \dots, r\}, \; p \mid 2i-2r-1} \left( \frac{g(\chi, \psi)^{-4r}}{p^4} \left( \frac{-2}{p} \right) \right) \prod_{i \in \{0, \dots, r\}, \; p \nmid 2i-2r-1} \left( -\frac{g(\chi, \psi)^{-2r}}{p^3} \left( \frac{(-1)^{i+1}(2i-2r-1)}{p} \right) \right) \\ & = \left( -\frac{g(\chi, \psi)^{-2r}}{p^3} \right)^{r+1} \left( -\frac{g(\chi, \psi)^{-2r}}{p} \left( \frac{-2}{p} \right) \right)^{\left[\frac{k}{p}\right] - \left[\frac{k}{2p}\right]} \prod_{i \in \{0, \dots, r\}, \; p \nmid 2i-2r-1} \left( \frac{(-1)^{i+1}(2i-2r-1)}{p} \right) \\ & = \left( -p^{-3-r} \left( \frac{-1}{p} \right)^{-r} \right)^{r+1} \left( -p^{-1-r} \left( \frac{-1}{p} \right)^{-r} \left( \frac{-2}{p} \right) \right)^{\left[\frac{k}{p}\right] - \left[\frac{k}{2p}\right]} \prod_{j \in \{0, \dots, r\}, \; p \nmid 2j+1} \left( \frac{(-1)^{r-j}(2j+1)}{p} \right) \\ & = (-1)^{r+1+\left[\frac{k}{p}\right] - \left[\frac{k}{2p}\right]} p^{-(r+1)(r+3+\left[\frac{k}{p}\right] - \left[\frac{k}{2p}\right])} \left( \frac{-2}{p} \right)^{\left[\frac{k}{p}\right] - \left[\frac{k}{2p}\right]} \left( \frac{-1}{p} \right)^{-r(r+1)-r(\left[\frac{k}{p}\right] - \left[\frac{k}{2p}\right])} \\ & \times \prod_{j \in \{0, \dots, r\}, \; p \nmid 2j+1} \left( \frac{(-1)^{r-j}(2j+1)}{p} \right) \\ & = (-1)^{\frac{k+1}{2} + \left[\frac{k}{p}\right] - \left[\frac{k}{2p}\right]} p^{-\frac{k+1}{2} \cdot \left(\frac{k+5}{2} + \left[\frac{k}{p}\right] - \left[\frac{k}{2p}\right]\right)} \left( \frac{-2}{p} \right)^{\left[\frac{k}{p}\right] - \left[\frac{k}{2p}\right]} \prod_{j \in \{0, \dots, \left[\frac{k}{2}\right]\}, \; p \nmid 2j+1} \left( \frac{(-1)^{j}(2j+1)}{p} \right). \end{split}$$

### References

- [1] M. Artin, A. Grothendieck, and J.-L. Verdier, Théorie des topos et cohomologie étale des schémas (SGA 4), Lecture Notes in Math. 269, 270, 305, Springer-Verlag (1972-1973).
- [2] H.T. Choi and R.J. Evans, Congruences for sums of powers of Kloosterman sums, Inter. J. Number Theory, 3(2007), 105-117.
- [3] P. Deligne, Applications de la Formule des Traces aux Sommes Trigonométriques, in Cohomologie Étale (SGA  $4\frac{1}{2}$ ), 168-232, Lecture Notes in Math. 569, Springer-Verlag 1977.
- [4] R. J. Evans, Seventh power moments of Kloosterman sums, Israel J. Math., to appear.
- [5] L. Fu, Calculation of ℓ-adic local Fourier transformations, arXiv: 0702436 [math.AG] (2007).
- [6] L. Fu and D. Wan, Trivial factors for L-functions of symmetric products of Kloosterman sheaves, Finite Fields and Appl. 14 (2008), 549-570.
- [7] L. Fu and D. Wan, L-functions for symmetric products of Kloosterman sums, J. Reine Angew. Math. 589 (2005), 79-103.

- [8] L. Fu and D. Wan, L-functions of symmetric products of the Kloosterman sheaf over Z, Math. Ann. 342 (2008), 387-404.
- [9] K. Hulek, J. Spandaw, B. van Geemen and D. van Straten, The modularity of the Barth-Nieto quintic and its relative, Adv. Geom., 1(2001), no.3, 263-289.
- [10] N. Katz, Gauss sums, Kloosterman sums, and monodromy groups, Princeton University Press 1988.
- [11] G. Laumon, Transformation de Fourier, constantes d'équations fontionnelles, et conjecture de Weil, Publ. Math. IHES 65 (1987), 131-210.
- [12] M. Moisio, The moments of Kloosterman sums and the weight distribution of a Zetterberg-type binary cyclic code, IEEE, Trans. Inform. Theory, 53(2007), 843-847.
- [13] M. Moisio, On the moments of Kloosterman sums and fibre products of Kloosterman curves, Finite Fields Appl., 14(2008), 515-531.
- [14] C. Peters, J. Top and M. van der Vlugt, The Hasse zeta function of a K3 surface related to the number of words of weight 5 in the Melas codes, J. Reine Angew. Math. 432(1992), 151-176.
- [15] P. Robba, Symmetric powers of p-adic Bessel functions, J. Reine Angew. Math., 366(1986), 194-220.
- [16] J.-P. Serre, Linear representations of finite groups, Springer-Verlag (1977).
- [17] J.-P. Serre, Local fields, Springer-Verlag, 1979.
- [18] D. Wan, Dwork's conjecture on unit root zeta functions, Ann. Math., 150(1999), 867-927.