

# Mathai-Quillen's Thom form and Atiyah-Hirzebruch's Riemann-Roch theorem

Guangbo Xu

April 9, 2009

## 1 Historic remarks

The classical Riemann-Roch theorem states that for a compact Riemann surface  $S$  and a holomorphic line bundle  $L$  over  $S$ , the difference of the dimensions of the space of holomorphic sections of  $L$  and the space of holomorphic differentials with coefficients in  $L$  is a topological invariant, i.e.

$$(1) \quad h^0(S, L) - h^1(S, L) = 1 - g(S) + \deg L.$$

In 1954, Hirzebruch generalized this classical results to higher dimension. If  $M$  is a compact complex manifold and  $E \rightarrow M$  is a holomorphic vector bundle over  $M$ , then Hirzebruch shows that

$$(2) \quad \chi(M, E) = \langle \text{Td}(TM) \cup \text{ch}(E), [M] \rangle.$$

After that, Grothendieck extended this formula into relative case, which states a relation for a "proper morphism between varieties".

Atiyah and Hirzebruch then found a differentiable analogue of Grothendieck's formula. Their original approach was purely topological, using K-theory. I will give another approach which is in a differential-geometric flavor, and uses mainly de Rham theory. Before state it we need some preparation.

## 2 Chern-Weil theory

From now on everything is smooth. If  $E \rightarrow M$  is a real or complex vector bundle, a connection on  $E$  is a linear differential operator  $\nabla^E : \Gamma(E) \rightarrow \Omega^1(M, E)$  such that the Leibniz rule holds:

$$(3) \quad \nabla^E(fs) = df \otimes s + f\nabla^E s.$$

It extends to an operator  $\nabla^E : \Omega^*(M, E) \rightarrow \Omega^{*+1}(M, E)$  such that

$$(4) \quad \nabla^E(\omega \wedge \eta) = d\omega \wedge \eta + (-1)^{|\omega|} \omega \wedge \nabla^E \eta,$$

where  $\omega \in \Omega(M)$  and  $\eta \in \Omega(M, E)$ . The **curvature form** of the connection  $\nabla^E$ , is

$$(5) \quad R^E := \nabla^E \circ \nabla^E \in \Omega^2(M, E).$$

The Chern-Weil theory, roughly speaking, is to express characteristic classes of the bundle  $E$  in terms of the connection form. More precisely, if we have a polynomial  $f$  in  $k$  variables in  $so(n)$  which is invariant under the adjoint action of  $SO(n)$ , then we define the characteristic form associated to  $f$  to be

$$(6) \quad f(R^E, \dots, R^E) \in \Omega^*(M).$$

Chern-Weil theory asserts that:

1.  $f(R^E, \dots, R^E)$  is a closed form;
2. the cohomology class  $[f(R^E, \dots, R^E)]$  in de Rham cohomology is independent of the choice of the connection.

Historically, the first is due to Chern and the second is due to Weil.

For example, we have

- For a complex vector bundle  $E$ , the **Chern character form** is

$$(7) \quad \text{ch}(E, \nabla^E) = \text{Tr} \left( \exp \left( -\frac{R^E}{2\pi i} \right) \right);$$

- for a complex vector bundle  $E$ , the **Todd form** is

$$(8) \quad \text{Td}(E, \nabla^E) = \det \left( \frac{R^E/2\pi i}{e^{R^E/2\pi i} - 1} \right);$$

- for a real vector bundle  $E$ , the  **$\hat{A}$ -form** is

$$(9) \quad \hat{A}(E, \nabla^E) = \det^{1/2} \left( \frac{R^E/4\pi i}{\sinh(R^E/4\pi i)} \right).$$

Note that in the last example,

$$(10) \quad \det\left(\frac{X/2}{\sinh(X/2)}\right)$$

is an analytic function of entries of  $X$  for  $X \in so(n)$ , and the zero order term of its Taylor expansion is 1. So it has a unique analytic square root for  $X$  small such that the zero order term is also 1. Since entries of  $R^E$  is nilpotent, the  $\hat{A}$ -form we defined is a polynomial of entries of  $R^E$ .

## 2.1 Superconnections

It will be convenient if we go to the “super” category. A super vector space is just a bigraded vector space  $V = V^+ \oplus V^-$ . Equivalently, there is an endomorphism  $\tau : V \rightarrow V$  such that  $\tau^2 = 1$  and the decomposition is the decomposition with respect to  $\tau$ . And  $\text{End}V$  is also bigraded, where  $(\text{End}E)^\pm$  is the subspace of endomorphisms which commute (resp. anticommute) with  $\tau$ . The supertrace of  $A \in \text{End}(E)$  is defined to be the trace of  $\tau A$ . Example: the Grassman algebra  $\Lambda V = \Lambda^{\text{even}} V \oplus \Lambda^{\text{odd}} V$ . If  $V, W$  are both bigraded, then  $V \otimes W$  is also bigraded.

A super vector bundle is just a bigraded vector bundle  $E = E^+ \oplus E^-$ . Then the space of sections are also bigraded. Two important examples are  $\Lambda(T^*M) \otimes E$  and  $\Lambda(T^*M) \otimes \text{End}E$  and the supertrace over a supervector space can be naturally generalized to

$$(11) \quad \text{Str} : \Omega(M) \otimes \text{End}(E) = \Omega(M, E) \rightarrow \Omega(M).$$

To introduce the notion of superconnections, we first look at connections, if  $E$  is ungraded, which means  $E^- = 0$ , then a connection on  $E$  is an operator mapping  $\Omega(M, E)^\pm$  to  $\Omega(M, E)^\mp$ . To generalized, a superconnection of a super vector bundle  $E$  is a first order differential operator

$$(12) \quad \mathbb{A} : \Omega(M, E)^\pm \rightarrow \Omega(M, E)^\mp$$

which satisfies the Leibniz rule:

$$(13) \quad \mathbb{A}(\omega \wedge s) = d\omega \wedge s + (-1)^{\text{deg}\omega} \mathbb{A}s.$$

The curvature form is defined to be

$$\mathbb{F}_{\mathbb{A}} = \mathbb{A}^2 \in \Omega^+(M, \text{End}E).$$

A connection  $\nabla^E$  can be locally written as  $d + \omega$  where  $\omega \in \Omega^1(M, \text{End}E)$ . Similarly, a superconnection  $\mathbb{A}$  can be locally written as  $d + \omega$  where  $\omega \in \Omega(M, \text{End}E)^-$ , which might not be homogeneous of degree 1.

We have a generalization of the Chern character for superconnections. If  $E$  is a complex supervector bundle and  $\mathbb{A}$  is superconnection,  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{A}^2$ , then the Chern character form is defined to be

$$(14) \quad ch(E, \mathbb{A}) = \text{Str} \left( \exp \left( -\frac{\mathbb{F}}{2\pi i} \right) \right).$$

Also, the cohomology class of this form does not depend on the superconnection we choose. In particular, its class coincides with that defined by a usual connection. And we see that actually

$$(15) \quad ch(E) = ch(E^+) - ch(E^-) \in H_{dR}^*(M).$$

If you know K-theory, you know that Chern character is a homomorphism from  $K(M)$  to  $H^*(M)$ .

It is easy to show that

$$(16) \quad ch(E_1 \otimes E_2, \nabla^{E_1} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \nabla^{E_2}) = ch(E_1, \nabla^{E_1}) \wedge ch(E_2, \nabla^{E_2}),$$

$$(17) \quad \hat{A}(E_1 \oplus E_2, \nabla^{E_1} \oplus \nabla^{E_2}) = \hat{A}(E_1, \nabla^{E_1}) \wedge \hat{A}(E_2, \nabla^{E_2}),$$

on the level of differential forms!

## 2.2 Statement of Atiyah-Hirzebruch's theorem

If  $i : Y \hookrightarrow X$  is an embedding between two closed compact oriented differentiable manifolds, such that  $\dim X - \dim Y = n = 2l$ , and the normal bundle  $N_Y$  over  $Y$  is spin (i.e.  $w_2(N_Y) = 0 \in H^2(Y, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ ). Then for any complex vector bundle  $\mu$  over  $Y$ , there is a "direct image"  $i_!\mu$  which is a complex super vector bundle over  $X$ , such that

$$(18) \quad \int_Y \hat{A}(TY) \wedge ch(\mu) = (-1)^{n/2} \int_X \hat{A}(TX) \wedge ch(i_!\mu).$$

Note that both sides are topological invariants.

### 3 Thom form

How to prove this? Since  $X$  is closed, the integral on the right side does not depend on the superconnection on  $i_!\mu$ . We will construct a family of superconnections  $\mathbb{A}_T$  such that the Chern character form has exponential decay outside a tubular neighborhood of  $Y$  in  $X$ , and the integral can be localized into this neighborhood. Since  $N_Y$  is diffeomorphic to such a neighborhood, we first integrate along the fibre of the normal bundle. Namely,

$$(19) \quad \int_X \hat{A}(TX, \nabla^{TX}) \wedge ch(i_!\mu, \mathbb{A}_T) = \left( \int_{N_\varepsilon} + \int_{X-N_\varepsilon} \right) \hat{A}(TX, \nabla^{TX}) \wedge ch(i_!\mu, \mathbb{A}_T).$$

Because this value is independent of  $T > 0$ , we let  $T \rightarrow \infty$ , and we will see that the second term goes to zero while the first converges to a current  $\Psi \wedge \delta_Y$ . The spin condition of the relative bundle  $N_Y$  is essential in constructing the family of Chern characters.

Let's recall the notion of Thom isomorphism in de Rham cohomology.

If  $E \rightarrow M$  is an oriented vector bundle, then we have the integration along the fibre

$$(20) \quad \int_{E/M} : \Omega_{cv}(E) \rightarrow \Omega(M),$$

which is an isomorphism in the level of cohomology. Its inverse is to pull back a class on  $M$  to  $E$  then wedge the Thom form.

A Thom form  $\Phi \in \Omega_{cv}(E)$  is a closed differential form such that  $\int_{E/M} \Phi = 1$ .

In application, we will not restrict ourselves to forms with vertical compact support, but also consider those rapidly decreasing along the fibre, which are also integrable along the fibre.

#### 3.1 Clifford algebra

To define the direct image  $i_!\mu$  and construct the superconnection we want, I need to talk about Clifford algebra. If  $V$  is a Euclidean space of dimension  $n = 2l$ , the Clifford algebra  $C(V)$  is the algebra generated by elements in  $V$  with the relations  $vw + wv = -2 \langle v, w \rangle$ . If we choose an orthonormal basis  $e_i$ , then  $C(V)$  is generated by  $e_i$  with the relations  $e_i e_j + e_j e_i = -2\delta_{ij}$ . Clifford algebra is what Dirac needed to find the square root of the Laplacian  $\Delta = -\sum \partial^2 / \partial x_i^2$ . So if  $D = \sum a_i \partial / \partial x_i$  and  $D^2 = \Delta$ , we see that exactly  $a_i a_j + a_j a_i = -2\delta_{ij}$ .

In fact  $C(V)$  is isomorphic to the Grassman algebra  $\Lambda V$  as vector spaces, which is given by

$$(21) \quad e_{i_1} e_{i_2} \cdots e_{i_k} \mapsto e_{i_1} \wedge e_{i_2} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_k}.$$

but not as algebras: the product is “quantized”. And  $C(V) = C^+(V) \oplus C^-(V)$ . The subspace of  $C(V)$  corresponding to  $\Lambda^2 V$ ,  $C^2(V)$  is isomorphic to the Lie algebra  $so(V)$ . And on the level of Lie groups, it gives double cover  $\text{Spin}(V) \rightarrow SO(V)$ , where  $\text{Spin}(V) \subset C(V)$  and the multiplication for the group is the Clifford multiplication. For dimension 3, this is the universal cover of  $SO(V)$ .

There is a representation of  $\text{Spin}(V)$  which does not come from a representation of  $SO(V)$ ,  $S = S^+ \oplus S^-$ , which is called the spinor representation. Also  $C(V)$  acts on  $S$  and  $c(v)S^\pm = S^\mp$ . Indeed,  $\text{End}S = C(V) \otimes \mathbb{C}$  as super algebras. And since  $S$  is a super vector space, we can take the supertrace on it, it is actually

$$(22) \quad \text{Str}(c(e_{i_1}) \dots c(e_{i_k})) = 0, k < n,$$

$$(23) \quad \text{Str}(c(e_1) \dots c(e_n)) = (-2i)^{n/2}.$$

### 3.2 Spinor bundle

If  $E \rightarrow M$  is a real vector bundle which is spin, of rank  $n = 2l$ , then the principal bundle of oriented orthogonal frames  $SO(E)$  has a nontrivial double cover  $\text{Spin}(E)$  which is a  $\text{Spin}(n)$  principal bundle. Then we have the associated spinor bundle  $S(E)$ , by the spinor representation. If  $\nabla^E$  is a connection on  $E$ , which is equivalent to a connection 1-form  $\omega \in \Omega^1(SO(E), so(n))$ , since the Lie algebra of  $SO(n)$  and  $\text{Spin}(n)$  are isomorphic, we have the pull back of  $\omega$  onto  $\text{Spin}(E)$ , and the associated connection  $\nabla^{S(E)}$  on  $S(E)$ . If  $E$  has an Euclidean metric, then  $S(E)$  has the associated Hermitian metric and if  $\nabla^E$  is compatible with the metric, then  $\nabla^{S(E)}$  is also compatible with the associated metric. And  $\nabla^{S(E)}$  satisfies another important condition:

$$(24) \quad [\nabla_X^{S(E)}, c(s)] = c(\nabla_X^E s),$$

where  $X$  is any vector field and  $s$  is a section of  $E$ .

Also, if  $R^E = \sum_{i < j} dx_i \wedge dx_j R_{ij}^E$ , where  $R_{ij}^E \in so(E_p)$ , then

$$(25) \quad R^{S(E)} = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i < j, k, l} dx_i \wedge dx_j (R_{ij}^E e_k, e_l) c(e_k) c(e_l) \in \text{End}(S(E_p)).$$

Denote  $\pi : E \rightarrow M$ , we will consider the pull back bundle  $\pi^*(S(E))$  over the total space  $E$ . We know that  $C(V)$  acts on the spinors, so at each point  $x \in E$ ,  $c(x)$  is a linear transformation on  $\pi^*(S(E))_x$ . We consider the following family of superconnections

$$(26) \quad \mathbb{A}_T = \pi^* \nabla^{S(E)} + T \sqrt{-1} c(x)$$

and compute its Chern character forms.

First

$$(27) \quad \mathbb{F}_T = \pi^* R^{S(E)} + T \sqrt{-1} (dx^i + x^j \omega_{ji}) c(e^i) + T^2 \|x\|^2.$$

Its Chern character can be computed using Mathai-Quillen's methods.

### 3.3 Algebraic computations

Suppose  $\Omega \in so(n)$  and  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n$  is the degree 1 generators of the Grassman algebra  $\Lambda(\mathbb{R}^n)$  which is an oriented basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and write  $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n)^t$ . Then the coefficient of  $\gamma_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \gamma_n$  in

$$\exp\left(\frac{1}{2} \gamma^t \Omega \gamma\right)$$

is denoted by

$$(28) \quad T\left(\exp\left(\frac{1}{2} \gamma^t \Omega \gamma\right)\right) = \text{Pf}(\Omega).$$

Note that the definition of Pfaffian depends on the orientation of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

Indeed, we have

$$(29) \quad \exp\left(\frac{1}{2} \gamma^t \Omega \gamma\right) = \sum_{I \subset \{1, \dots, n\}} \text{Pf}(\Omega_I) \gamma^I.$$

And since both sides are analytic in entries of  $\Omega$ , it extends to  $\Omega$  with entries in any commutative algebra.

We also want to compute the Berezin integral of

$$\exp\left(\frac{1}{2} \gamma^t \Omega \gamma + J^t \gamma\right)$$

, where  $J$  consists of degree 1 elements of a supercommutative algebra.

In application, the super algebra will be the algebra of differential forms.

Actually,

$$(30) \quad T\left(\exp\left(\frac{1}{2} \gamma^t \Omega \gamma + J^t \gamma\right)\right) = \sum_{I \subset \{1, \dots, n\}} \text{Pf}(\Omega_I) \varepsilon_{I, I^c} (-1)^{\frac{|I|}{2}} J^I.$$

Now we want to compute an analogue of the above results. If we regard  $\gamma_i$  not in the Grassman algebra but in the Clifford algebra and the multiplication is the Clifford multiplication, then the coefficient of  $\gamma_1 \dots \gamma_n$  is also well-defined.

$$(31) \quad T(\exp(\frac{1}{2}\gamma^t\Omega\gamma)) = \det^{1/2}\left(\frac{\sinh\Omega}{\Omega}\right)\text{Pf}(\Omega).$$

And

$$(32) \quad T(\exp(\frac{1}{2}\gamma^t\Omega\gamma + J^t\gamma)) = \det^{1/2}\left(\frac{\sinh\Omega}{\Omega}\right) \sum_{I \subset \{1, \dots, n\}} \text{Pf}(\Omega_I)\varepsilon_{I,I'}(-1)^{\frac{|I|}{2}} J^{I'}.$$

### 3.4 Go back to the Chern character

If we set  $\Omega_{kl} = \frac{1}{2}(R^E e_k, e_l)$ , where  $e_k$  is a local oriented orthonormal basis of  $E$ , and  $J_k = T\sqrt{-1}(dx_k + x_l\omega_{kl})$  where  $\omega$  is the connection matrix with respect to the basis  $e_k$ , then by the above computation

$$(33) \quad \text{Str}(\exp(-\mathbb{F})) = e^{-T^2\|x\|^2} \pi^* \hat{A}^{-1}(E, \nabla^E) \wedge \sum_I \text{Pf}(R_I^E)\varepsilon_{I,I'}(-1)^{\frac{|I|}{2}} (dx_k + x_l\omega_{lk})^{I'} (-2\sqrt{-1})^{n/2}.$$

We claim that besides the  $\pi^* \hat{A}^{-1}(E, \nabla^E)$ , the other terms is  $(-1)^{n/2}$  of a Thom form.

So in summary, by computing the Chern character of  $(\pi^*S(E), \mathbb{A}_T)$ , we find a family of Thom forms.

## 4 Direct Image

We go back to the setting of Atiyah-Hirzebruch's theorem.  $\mu \rightarrow Y$  is a Hermitian vector bundle with a connection  $\nabla^\mu$  compatible with the metric. We choose a small tubular neighborhood of  $Y$ , which is  $N_\varepsilon$  diffeomorphic to the set of normal vectors of length less than  $\varepsilon$ . So we have  $\pi : N_\varepsilon \rightarrow Y$ . Also we have the spinor bundle  $S(N)$  over  $Y$ . Then set  $E^\pm = \pi^*(S^\pm(N) \otimes \mu)$  over  $N_\varepsilon$ . We can find a complex vector bundle  $F$  over  $Y$  such that  $S^-(N) \otimes \mu \oplus F$  is trivial. So set  $\xi^\pm = \pi^*(S^\pm(N) \otimes \mu F)$  and for  $v \in N_\varepsilon - Y$ ,  $\sqrt{-1}c(v) \otimes \pi^*\text{Id}_\mu \oplus \pi^*\text{Id}_F$  is invertible from  $\xi_+$  to  $\xi_-$ . Hence both  $\xi_+$  and  $\xi_-$  are trivial on the boundary of  $N_\varepsilon$ . So we can extend them trivially to the whole  $X$ . And extend the morphism on  $N_\varepsilon$  trivially to the whole  $X$  as well, which is denoted by  $V : \xi_\pm \rightarrow \xi_\mp$ .

Since  $V$  is positive and bounded below outside  $N_\varepsilon$ , we see that the Chern character form of the superconnection  $\nabla^\mu + TV$  has exponential decay outside  $N_\varepsilon$ . While



inside  $N_\varepsilon$ ,  $F$  doesn't contribute to the Chern character and we see by the above result,

$$(34) \quad ch(\xi, \nabla^\mu + TV) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i}\right)^{n/2} e^{-T^2\|x\|^2} (Ti)^n \pi^* \hat{A}^{-1}(N, \nabla^N) \wedge (-2i)^{n/2} (-1)^{n/2} dx_1 \dots dx_n + \dots$$

But along each fibre,

$$(35) \quad \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_\varepsilon} e^{-T^2\|x\|^2} T^n dx_1 \dots dx_n = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_{T\varepsilon}} e^{-\|x\|^2} dx_1 \dots dx_n = \pi^{n/2}.$$

## 5 Relation with Atiyah-Singer index theorem

There are several different proofs of Atiyah-Singer index theorem. The first one is the cobordism proof, which is to modify Hirzebruch's proof of Riemann-Roch. But the founders of index theorem thought that this proof is not natural. Then motivated by Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch, Atiyah and Singer gave the second proof, which is called the K-theory proof, in which Bott periodicity played a significant role. There are also the heat equation proof and physical proof of this theorem.

Actually, the characteristic number

$$\langle \hat{A}(TX), [X] \rangle$$

(the special case where  $\mu$  is the trivial line bundle in above situation) is called the  $\hat{A}$ -genus of  $X$ . It used to be mysterious why this number is an integer when  $TX$  is spin. This was one of the starting point of the index theorem and this integer is equal to the index of the Dirac operator on  $X$ .

### References.

1. D. Quillen, Superconnections and the Chern character. *Topology* 24 (1985), no. 1, 89-95.
2. V. Mathai and D. Quillen, Superconnections, Thom classes, and equivariant differential forms. *Topology* 25 (1986), no. 1, 85-110.
3. W. Zhang, Lectures on Atiyah-Hirzebruch's Riemann-Roch theorem, given at Fudan University, 2003.