

## Lecture 25:

Previously on Math 120A:

- homomorphisms:  $\varphi: G_1 \rightarrow G_2$  s.t.  
 $\forall g, h \in G_1, \varphi(gh) = \varphi(g)\varphi(h)$ .

- lots of examples

- $\varphi_a: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$

$$\varphi_a(k) = ak$$

- $\det: GL_n(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^*$

- trivial homomorphism

$$\varphi: G \rightarrow H$$

$$\varphi(g) = e \quad \forall g$$

- isomorphism = bijective homomorphism

- Every homomorphism is ~~bijec~~ surjective onto its image.

- $\text{Ker } \varphi = \varphi^{-1}(\{e\}) = \{g \in G \mid \varphi(g) = e\}$

$$\varphi: G \rightarrow H$$

$\text{Ker } \varphi$  is a subgroup. (proved in <sup>previous</sup> notes too)

Lemma: A homomorphism  $\varphi: G \rightarrow H$  is injective if and only if  $\text{ker } \varphi = \{e\}$ .

proof: Assume  $\varphi$  is injective, then if  $\varphi(a) = e = \varphi(e)$ , then  $a = e$ . So  $\text{ker } \varphi = \{e\}$ .

Assume  $\text{ker } \varphi = \{e\}$ . then if  $\varphi(a) = \varphi(b)$ , then

$$\varphi(a)\varphi(b)^{-1} = e \quad \text{so } \varphi(ab^{-1}) = e. \text{ Since } \text{ker } \varphi = \{e\},$$

$ab^{-1} = e$  so  $a = b$ . Hence  $\varphi$  is injective.  $\square$

i.e.  $\varphi: G \rightarrow H$   
may not be surjective  
but

$$\varphi: G \rightarrow \varphi(G)$$

is always surjective

Recall also ~~some~~ talk about cosets.

$H \leq G$  subgroup

$aH \leftarrow$  left  $H$ -coset containing  $a$ .

the cosets partition  $G$ .

Recall about the ~~the~~ problem from the homework:

Lemma:  $aH = Ha$  iff  $aHa^{-1} = H$ .

(left <sup>coset</sup> and right coset are same iff  $aHa^{-1} = H$ )

Definition: A subgroup  $H$  is called normal

if all its left cosets and right cosets are the same, i.e.  $\forall a \in G, aH = Ha$ .

(equivalently  $aHa^{-1} = H$  for all  $a \in G$ )

Definition I will use:

A subgroup  $H$  is normal (in  $G$ )

iff  $\forall a \in G,$   
 $aHa^{-1} \subset H$ .

equivalent definition.

Example: •  $G$  abelian  $\Rightarrow$  every subgroup is normal.

•  $G = D_6$ ,  $H = \{1, s\}$  is not normal

$$\begin{aligned} rHr^{-1} &= \{rr^{-1}, rsr^{-1}\} \\ &= \{1, rsr^2\} = \{1, sr\}. \end{aligned}$$

•  $G = D_6$ ,  $H = \{1, r, r^2\}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} rHr^{-1} &= H \\ sHs^{-1} &= sHs = \{ss, srs, sr^2s\} = H \end{aligned}$$

" " " " " "

similarly for others. So  $\langle r \rangle \subset D_6$   
is a normal subgroup.

Lemma: For any homomorphism  $\varphi: G \rightarrow H$ ,  
 $\text{Ker } \varphi \leq G$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$ .

proof: Let  $a \in G$ ,  $k \in \text{Ker } \varphi$ , i.e.  $\varphi(k) = e$ .

$$\text{Then } \varphi(aka^{-1}) = \varphi(a) \underbrace{\varphi(k)}_1 \varphi(a^{-1}) = \varphi(a) \varphi(a)^{-1} = e.$$

so  $aka^{-1} \in \text{Ker } \varphi$ .

So  $\text{Ker } \varphi$  is normal.

"Kernels are normal".

Remark: Definition of normal is weird but it will make more sense soon.

Quotient groups ("factor groups" in the book).

Previously, we saw that  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  is actually a set of cosets.

$$G = \mathbb{Z}$$

$$H = n\mathbb{Z}$$

elements of  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  are  $\bar{k} = k + n\mathbb{Z}$ .

↑ coset.

But what about the addition operation on  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{k}_1 + \bar{k}_2 \\ = \overline{k_1 + k_2} \end{aligned}$$

We had:  $(k_1 + n\mathbb{Z}) + (k_2 + n\mathbb{Z}) = k_1 + k_2 + n\mathbb{Z}$ .

But is this well-defined? i.e. does the answer change if we replace  $k_1$  by something else in the same coset?

Let  $k_1 + n\mathbb{Z} = k_1' + n\mathbb{Z}$ .

Then  $+k_1' - k_1 + n\mathbb{Z} = 0 + n\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow$  so  $n\mathbb{Z} = k_1' - k_1 + n\mathbb{Z}$ .

so  $-k_1' + k_1 \in n\mathbb{Z}$ .

Look at  $k_1 + n\mathbb{Z} + k_2 + n\mathbb{Z} = k_1 + k_2 + n\mathbb{Z}$   
 $= k_1 + k_2 + k_1' - k_1 + n\mathbb{Z}$   
 $= k_1' + k_2 + n\mathbb{Z}$ .

Conclusion: the answer of  $(k_1 + n\mathbb{Z}) + (k_2 + n\mathbb{Z})$  doesn't depend on the representative we chose for  $k_1 + n\mathbb{Z}$ .

Similarly for  $k_2$ .

We want to do the same for all groups and cosets.

$G \geq H \leftarrow$  subgroup:  $G/H = \{ 1.H, a_1.H, a_2.H, \dots \}$   $\leftarrow$  set of cosets.

We want to define coset multiplication:

$$(aH) \cdot (bH) = abH$$

But this is not always well-defined.

Theorem: Let  $H \leq G$  be a subgroup. The left

coset multiplication  $aH \cdot bH = abH$  is

well-defined iff  $H \leq G$  is a normal subgroup!