## MATH 13 WINTER 2016 HOMEWORK 2

**Due: Friday January 29** Please turn in at the lecture.

Student name/id (include all students in the group):

**IMPORTANT INSTRUCTIONS:** It is crucial that you write your arguments clearly and that each argument clearly shows how you arrive at the conclusions from the assumptions. This is the point of homeworks – to practice understanding of the material, proofwriting, and the ability to express your understanding.

When preparing the homeworks, please follow the <u>Rules for homeworks</u> on the course webpage under **Course information and policies** and also the guidelines under **Grading**. In particular, keep in mind the **Aspects of grading** in the **Grading** section.

1. An integer is a square if and only if it is of the form  $x^2$  for some integer x. Write down the following statements in rigorous mathematical language using quantifiers and logical connectives.

(a) (1pt) Integer y is a square.

- (b) (1pt) Some integers are not squares.
- (c) (1pt) Integer y is a sum of two squares.
- (d) (1pt) Every integer is a sum of two squares.
- (e) (1pt) Negation of (d).

Prove or disprove:

- (f) (1pt) (b) holds.
- (g) (2pt) (d) holds.

**Caution!** When forming negation **do not** simply put the negation sign in front of the statement, but reformulate the statement according to rules for negating quantified statements; see Book, Theorem 2.28. At the same time write down this negation in a way that it has reasonable mathematical meaning.

2. Consider the parametric equation

(1) 
$$3x^2 + px + 1 = 0$$

Write down the following statements in rigorous mathematical language using quantifiers and logical connectives.

- (a) (1pt) Equation (1) has an integer solution for any integer parameter p.
- (b) (1pt) Equation (1) has an integer solution for some integer parameter p.
- (c) (1pt) There is an integer parameter p for which equation (1) has more than one solution.
- (d) (1pt) Negation of (c).

Prove or disprove:

(e) (1pt) (a) holds.

(f) (**2pt**) (c) holds.

Note: For forming a negation use the same guidelines as for Problem 1 above.

- **3.** Consider a function f with real arguments and real values. We say that:
  - *f* is **unbounded from above** if and only if *f* attains arbitrarily large values. Otherwise we say that *f* is **bounded from above**.
  - f is unbounded from above on the interval (a, b) if and only if f attains arbitrarily large values while the argument ranges over the interval (a, b). Otherwise we say that f is bounded from above on the interval (a, b).

So for instance f is unbounded from above if and only if f is unbounded from above on the interval  $(-\infty, +\infty)$ .

Write down the following statements in rigorous mathematical language using quantifiers and logical connectives.

- (a) (1pt) f is unbounded from above.
- (b) (1pt) f is unbounded from above on the interval (0,1).
- (c) (1pt) f is bounded from above.
- (d) (1pt) f is bounded from above on every interval of the form (0, a) where a is a positive real number.

Prove or disprove:

(e) (1pt) The conjunction (a) $\wedge$ (d) holds.