How special is the Elliptic Curve Discrete Logarithm Problem?

Ming-Deh Huang University of Southern California

November 10, 2016

 All discrete logarithm problems are equal under the quantum computation model (assuming basic group operations are efficient to perform under Turing machine model)

- All discrete logarithm problems are equal under the quantum computation model (assuming basic group operations are efficient to perform under Turing machine model)
- It is not clear if large scale quantum computation is achievable.

- All discrete logarithm problems are equal under the quantum computation model (assuming basic group operations are efficient to perform under Turing machine model)
- It is not clear if large scale quantum computation is achievable.
- Complexity under the classical Turing model of the discrete logarithm problems is practically important in cryptography.

- All discrete logarithm problems are equal under the quantum computation model (assuming basic group operations are efficient to perform under Turing machine model)
- It is not clear if large scale quantum computation is achievable.
- Complexity under the classical Turing model of the discrete logarithm problems is practically important in cryptography.
- Questions like
 - P=NP?
 - Discrete-log $\in P$?

remain important in complexity theory.

 Elliptic curve discrete logarithm problem (ECDLP) was brought into spot light along with the introduction of elliptic curve cryptography independently by Koblitz and Miller in 1985.

- Elliptic curve discrete logarithm problem (ECDLP) was brought into spot light along with the introduction of elliptic curve cryptography independently by Koblitz and Miller in 1985.
- 'Elliptic curves have been objects of intense study in Number Theory for the last 90 years. To quote Lang "It is possible to write endlessly on Elliptic Curves (This is not a threat)." (Miller in Crypto 85)

- Elliptic curve discrete logarithm problem (ECDLP) was brought into spot light along with the introduction of elliptic curve cryptography independently by Koblitz and Miller in 1985.
- 'Elliptic curves have been objects of intense study in Number Theory for the last 90 years. To quote Lang "It is possible to write endlessly on Elliptic Curves (This is not a threat)." (Miller in Crypto 85)
- Lenstra's integer factoring using elliptic curves

- Elliptic curve discrete logarithm problem (ECDLP) was brought into spot light along with the introduction of elliptic curve cryptography independently by Koblitz and Miller in 1985.
- 'Elliptic curves have been objects of intense study in Number Theory for the last 90 years. To quote Lang "It is possible to write endlessly on Elliptic Curves (This is not a threat)." (Miller in Crypto 85)
- Lenstra's integer factoring using elliptic curves
- Coppersmith L[1/3] algorithm for discrete-log on \mathbb{F}_{2^n}

- Elliptic curve discrete logarithm problem (ECDLP) was brought into spot light along with the introduction of elliptic curve cryptography independently by Koblitz and Miller in 1985.
- 'Elliptic curves have been objects of intense study in Number Theory for the last 90 years. To quote Lang "It is possible to write endlessly on Elliptic Curves (This is not a threat)." (Miller in Crypto 85)
- Lenstra's integer factoring using elliptic curves
- Coppersmith L[1/3] algorithm for discrete-log on \mathbb{F}_{2^n}
- 'It is my intent to show that elliptic curves have a rich enough arithmetic structure so that they will provide a fertile ground for planting the seeds of cryptography.' (Miller Crypto 85)

 All subexponential algorithms for DLP over large prime fields use lifting to Q or number fields.

- All subexponential algorithms for DLP over large prime fields use lifting to Q or number fields.
- For ECDLP on $E(\mathbb{F}_p)$, it is natural to try to lift to $E(\mathbb{Q})$ or E(K) where K is a number field.

Main idea of the Index Calculus method

- All subexponential algorithms for DLP over large prime fields use lifting to Q or number fields.
- For ECDLP on $E(\mathbb{F}_p)$, it is natural to try to lift to $E(\mathbb{Q})$ or E(K) where K is a number field.

Main idea of the Index Calculus method

• Suppose g is a generator of \mathbb{F}_p^* and θ is to be found so that $h=g^{\theta} \mod p$

- All subexponential algorithms for DLP over large prime fields use lifting to Q or number fields.
- For ECDLP on $E(\mathbb{F}_p)$, it is natural to try to lift to $E(\mathbb{Q})$ or E(K) where K is a number field.

Main idea of the Index Calculus method

- Suppose g is a generator of \mathbb{F}_p^* and θ is to be found so that $h=g^{\theta} \mod p$
- Consider a factor S base consisting of primes 2, 3, ...,q_i,... up to a bound B

- All subexponential algorithms for DLP over large prime fields use lifting to Q or number fields.
- For ECDLP on $E(\mathbb{F}_p)$, it is natural to try to lift to $E(\mathbb{Q})$ or E(K) where K is a number field.

Main idea of the Index Calculus method

- Suppose g is a generator of \mathbb{F}_p^* and θ is to be found so that $h=g^{\theta} \mod p$
- Consider a factor S base consisting of primes 2, 3, ..., q_i,... up to a bound B
- If $g^a h \mod p = \prod_i q_i^{e_i}$ (an S-unit) then we have a *relation*

$$a+ heta=\sum_i e_i heta_i \mod (p-1)$$

where θ_i is the d-log of q_i : $g^{\theta_i} = q_i \mod p$.



- All subexponential algorithms for DLP over large prime fields use lifting to \mathbb{Q} or number fields.
- For ECDLP on $E(\mathbb{F}_p)$, it is natural to try to lift to $E(\mathbb{Q})$ or E(K) where K is a number field.

Main idea of the Index Calculus method

- Suppose g is a generator of \mathbb{F}_p^* and θ is to be found so that $h = g^{\theta} \mod p$
- Consider a factor S base consisting of primes 2, 3, ..., q_i ,... up to a bound B
- If $g^a h \mod p = \prod_i q_i^{e_i}$ (an S-unit) then we have a relation

$$a+ heta=\sum_i e_i heta_i \mod (p-1)$$

where θ_i is the d-log of q_i : $g^{\theta_i} = q_i \mod p$.

• If B is subexponential in $\log p$ then the density of S-units = 999



• Suppose $E \mod p = \overline{E}$.

- Suppose $E \mod p = \overline{E}$.
- $E(\mathbb{Q})$ has finite rank (Mordell-Weil Theorem), say r.

- Suppose $E \mod p = \overline{E}$.
- $E(\mathbb{Q})$ has finite rank (Mordell-Weil Theorem), say r.
- If we can lift r+1 random points from $\overline{E}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ to $E(\mathbb{Q})$ we have a dependence

$$a_1P_1 + \ldots + a_{r+1}P_{r+1} = 0$$

upon reduction mod p we get a relation

$$\sum_{i} a_{i}\theta_{i} = 0 \mod N$$

where $N = \overline{E}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ and $\overline{P}_i = \theta_i \alpha$ and $\langle \alpha \rangle = \overline{E}(\mathbb{F}_p)$.

• Lifting points from $\overline{E}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ to $E(\mathbb{Q})$ is difficult.

- Lifting points from $\overline{E}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ to $E(\mathbb{Q})$ is difficult.
- The number of r+1-tuple from $E(\mathbb{F}_p)$ liftable to points in $E(\mathbb{Q})$ of height bounded subexponential in $\log p$ is not big enough

- Lifting points from $\overline{E}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ to $E(\mathbb{Q})$ is difficult.
- The number of r+1-tuple from $E(\mathbb{F}_p)$ liftable to points in $E(\mathbb{Q})$ of height bounded subexponential in $\log p$ is not big enough
- n(r,h) := number of (r+1)-tuples λ from some cyclic subgroup of $E(\mathbb{F}_p)$ that can be lifted to some (E_λ, Λ) over \mathbb{Q} where E_λ has rank bounded by r and the canonical heights of the points in Λ are bounded by h.

- Lifting points from $\overline{E}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ to $E(\mathbb{Q})$ is difficult.
- The number of r+1-tuple from $E(\mathbb{F}_p)$ liftable to points in $E(\mathbb{Q})$ of height bounded subexponential in $\log p$ is not big enough
- n(r,h) := number of (r+1)-tuples λ from some cyclic subgroup of $E(\mathbb{F}_p)$ that can be lifted to some (E_λ, Λ) over \mathbb{Q} where E_λ has rank bounded by r and the canonical heights of the points in Λ are bounded by h.
- Then n(r,h) is bounded by $2^{O(r^3)}h^{O(r^2)}N^r$ where $N=|E(\mathbb{F}_p)|$ (Huang, Kueh and Tan, ANTS 2000).

• Points of height bounded by h on $E(\mathbb{Q})$, if dependent, have small dependence relation: $\sum_i c_i P_i = 0$ with $|c_i| \leq 2^{cr^2} h^r$.

- Points of height bounded by h on $E(\mathbb{Q})$, if dependent, have small dependence relation: $\sum_i c_i P_i = 0$ with $|c_i| \leq 2^{cr^2} h^r$.
- Reduction mod p gives $\sum_i c_i \overline{P}_i = 0$.

- Points of height bounded by h on $E(\mathbb{Q})$, if dependent, have small dependence relation: $\sum_i c_i P_i = 0$ with $|c_i| \leq 2^{cr^2} h^r$.
- Reduction mod p gives $\sum_i c_i \overline{P}_i = 0$.
- Such relation could have been found by exhaustive search, without lifting!

- Points of height bounded by h on $E(\mathbb{Q})$, if dependent, have small dependence relation: $\sum_i c_i P_i = 0$ with $|c_i| \leq 2^{cr^2} h^r$.
- Reduction mod p gives $\sum_i c_i \overline{P}_i = 0$.
- Such relation could have been found by exhaustive search, without lifting!
- If $E(\mathbb{Q})$ has rank r we don't expect to be able to lift more than r points unless their relation is already known.

Reduction to the multiplicative case via Pairing

Reduction to the multiplicative case via Pairing

 Menezes, Okamoto and Vanstone (STOC 91) reduces ECDL to DL over finite fields using Weil pairing

Reduction to the multiplicative case via Pairing

- Menezes, Okamoto and Vanstone (STOC 91) reduces ECDL to DL over finite fields using Weil pairing
- At the cost of computing in the field $\mathbb{F}_q(E[\ell])$, the extension where the ℓ -torsion are defined.

Reduction to the multiplicative case via Pairing

- Menezes, Okamoto and Vanstone (STOC 91) reduces ECDL to DL over finite fields using Weil pairing
- At the cost of computing in the field $\mathbb{F}_q(E[\ell])$, the extension where the ℓ -torsion are defined.
- Frey and Rück: Tate pairing computable in $\mathbb{F}_q(\mu_\ell)$, efficiently if $\mu_\ell \subset \mathbb{F}_q$.

Reduction via pairing

Discrete logarithm problem on $E(\mathbb{F}_q)$

- $\#E(\mathbb{F}_q) = \ell$, ℓ prime not dividing q.
- Given $\alpha, \beta \in E(\mathbb{F}_q)$, to find m such that $m\alpha = \beta$

Reduction via pairing

Discrete logarithm problem on $E(\mathbb{F}_q)$

- $\#E(\mathbb{F}_q) = \ell$, ℓ prime not dividing q.
- Given $\alpha, \beta \in E(\mathbb{F}_q)$, to find m such that $m\alpha = \beta$

Tate-Lichtenbaum pairing

• Suppose $\mu_{\ell} \subset \mathbb{F}^*$

•

$$\langle,\rangle: E(\mathbb{F})[\ell] \times E[\mathbb{F}]/\ell E[\mathbb{F}] \to \mathbb{F}^*/\mathbb{F}^{*\ell}$$

Reduction via pairing

Discrete logarithm problem on $E(\mathbb{F}_q)$

- $\#E(\mathbb{F}_q) = \ell$, ℓ prime not dividing q.
- Given $\alpha, \beta \in E(\mathbb{F}_q)$, to find m such that $m\alpha = \beta$

Tate-Lichtenbaum pairing

• Suppose $\mu_{\ell} \subset \mathbb{F}^*$

$$\langle,\rangle: E(\mathbb{F})[\ell] \times E[\mathbb{F}]/\ell E[\mathbb{F}] \to \mathbb{F}^*/\mathbb{F}^{*\ell}$$

Reduction

- $\mathbb{F} := \mathbb{F}_q(\mu_\ell)$
- If $\beta = m\alpha$, then $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = \langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle^m$.
- So we are reduced to DL/\mathbb{F} : to find m such that

$$b = a^m$$

where $a = \langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle$ and $b = \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$.



Tate pairing

Tate-Lichtenbaum pairing: suppose $\mu_{\ell} \subset \mathbb{F}$.

$$E(\mathbb{F})[\ell] \times E(\mathbb{F})/\ell E(\mathbb{F}) \to \mathbb{F}^*/\mathbb{F}^{*\ell}$$

For $S \in E(\mathbb{F})[\ell]$ and $D \in E(\mathbb{F})/\ell E(\mathbb{F})$,

$$(S,D) \rightarrow F_S(D)$$

where F_S is a function in k(E) such that $(F_S) = \ell S$ (without loss of generality assume $D \neq S$).

• ℓ divides $|E(\mathbb{F})|$ but ℓ does not divide $|\mathbb{F}^*| \to$ computational barrier $\mathbb{F}(\mu_\ell)$

- ℓ divides $|E(\mathbb{F})|$ but ℓ does not divide $|\mathbb{F}^*| \to$ computational barrier $\mathbb{F}(\mu_\ell)$
- Pairing not taking values in μ_{ℓ} ?

- ℓ divides $|E(\mathbb{F})|$ but ℓ does not divide $|\mathbb{F}^*| \to$ computational barrier $\mathbb{F}(\mu_\ell)$
- Pairing not taking values in μ_{ℓ} ?
- Local duality

• Let A be a principally polarized abelian variety of dimension g over a finite field \mathbb{F} .

- Let A be a principally polarized abelian variety of dimension g over a finite field \mathbb{F} .
- $V:=A[\ell]$ the set of points P of A defined over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}$ such that $\ell P=0$.

- Let A be a principally polarized abelian variety of dimension g over a finite field \mathbb{F} .
- $V:=A[\ell]$ the set of points P of A defined over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}$ such that $\ell P=0$.
- Set d=2g-1, let φ be the geometric Frobenius and put ${\it N}=1-\varphi$.

- Let A be a principally polarized abelian variety of dimension g over a finite field \mathbb{F} .
- $V:=A[\ell]$ the set of points P of A defined over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}$ such that $\ell P=0$.
- Set d=2g-1, let φ be the geometric Frobenius and put ${\it N}=1-\varphi$.
- Suppose N acts on $A[\ell]$ in a maximally nilpotent way. That is, $N^{d+1}=0$, but $N^d\neq 0$.

- Let A be a principally polarized abelian variety of dimension g over a finite field \mathbb{F} .
- $V:=A[\ell]$ the set of points P of A defined over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}$ such that $\ell P=0$.
- Set d=2g-1, let φ be the geometric Frobenius and put ${\it N}=1-\varphi$.
- Suppose N acts on $A[\ell]$ in a maximally nilpotent way. That is, $N^{d+1}=0$, but $N^d\neq 0$.
- Let $V_{2i-d} = \ker N^{i+1}$ and $Gr_{2i-d} = \ker N^{i+1} / \ker N^i$, for i = 0, ..., d = 2g 1.

- Let A be a principally polarized abelian variety of dimension g over a finite field \mathbb{F} .
- $V:=A[\ell]$ the set of points P of A defined over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}$ such that $\ell P=0$.
- Set d=2g-1, let φ be the geometric Frobenius and put ${\it N}=1-\varphi$.
- Suppose N acts on $A[\ell]$ in a maximally nilpotent way. That is, $N^{d+1}=0$, but $N^d\neq 0$.
- Let $V_{2i-d} = \ker N^{i+1}$ and $Gr_{2i-d} = \ker N^{i+1} / \ker N^i$, for i = 0, ..., d = 2g 1.
- There is a unique filtration V.

$$V = V_d \supset V_{d-2} \supset ... \supset V_{-d}$$

such that $N(V_i) \subseteq V_{i-2}$ and N^i induces an isomorphism:

$$Gr_iV o Gr_{-i}V.$$

• A non-trivial 2g-linear alternating pairing on V taking values in $\mu_\ell^{\otimes g}$ induces a non-trivial multilinear pairing:

$$Gr_dV \times Gr_{d-2}V \times ... \times Gr_{-d}V \rightarrow \mu_\ell^{\otimes g}$$
.

• A non-trivial 2g-linear alternating pairing on V taking values in $\mu_\ell^{\otimes g}$ induces a non-trivial multilinear pairing:

$$Gr_dV \times Gr_{d-2}V \times ... \times Gr_{-d}V \rightarrow \mu_\ell^{\otimes g}.$$

• There is a 2g-multilinear, alternating, nondegenerate form:

$$A[\ell] \times \cdots \times A[\ell] \to \mu_{\ell}^{\otimes g}.$$
 (1)

This is a well-known generalization of the Weil pairing on elliptic curves. The nondegenerate alternating pairing induces a nontrivial (2g)-multilinear pairing on Gr_iV .

• A non-trivial 2g-linear alternating pairing on V taking values in $\mu_\ell^{\otimes g}$ induces a non-trivial multilinear pairing:

$$Gr_dV \times Gr_{d-2}V \times ... \times Gr_{-d}V \rightarrow \mu_\ell^{\otimes g}.$$

• There is a 2*g*-multilinear, alternating, nondegenerate form:

$$A[\ell] \times \cdots \times A[\ell] \to \mu_{\ell}^{\otimes g}.$$
 (1)

This is a well-known generalization of the Weil pairing on elliptic curves. The nondegenerate alternating pairing induces a nontrivial (2g)-multilinear pairing on Gr_iV .

• The map N induces an isomorphism between Gr_iV and $Gr_{i-2}V$. These groups can all be identified with $Gr_d(V) = A(\mathbb{F})[\ell]$. In this way, we get a multilinear self-pairing on $A(\mathbb{F})[\ell]$

In the case where $V=E[\ell]$ where E is an elliptic curve over \mathbb{F} , g=d=1, and the condition on N amounts to Frobenius trace being 2 modulo ℓ . The filtration is simply $V_1\supset V_{-1}$. The Weil pairing induces on $Gr_1V=V/V_{-1}\cong E(\mathbb{F})/\ell E(\mathbb{F})$ and $Gr_{-1}V=V_{-1}=E(\mathbb{F})[\ell]$ the Tate pairing.

Tate local duality

$$k$$
: local field $(k = \mathbb{Q}_p)$ E/k : an elliptic curve

$$\langle,\rangle: H^1(k,E)[\ell] \times E(k)/\ell E(k) \to \operatorname{Br}(k)[\ell] \cong \mathbb{Z}/\ell \mathbb{Z}$$

Multiplicative case

$$[,]:H^1(k,\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}) imes k^*/k^{*\ell} o \mathsf{Br}(k)[\ell]\cong \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}$$

Let

- \bar{E} be an elliptic curve defined over \mathbb{F} .
- E be defined over k with good reduction isomorphic to \bar{E} .
- Suppose $\bar{E}(\mathbb{F})[\ell] \cong \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}$. Then $\bar{E}(\mathbb{F})[\ell]$ is isomorphic to $\bar{E}(\mathbb{F})/\ell\bar{E}(\mathbb{F})$, and isomorphic to $E(k)/\ell E(k)$ through the reduction map.
- If $\bar{\beta}=m\bar{\alpha}$ then

$$\langle \chi, \beta \rangle = m \langle \chi, \alpha \rangle$$



Suppose $\mu_{\ell} \subset k$. Then

$$H^1(k,E)[\ell] \cong \mathsf{Hom}(\mathsf{Gal}(k(\pi^{1/\ell})/k), E(k)[\ell]).$$

Suppose $\mu_{\ell} \subset k$. Then

$$H^1(k,E)[\ell] \cong \operatorname{\mathsf{Hom}}(\operatorname{\mathsf{Gal}}(k(\pi^{1/\ell})/k),E(k)[\ell]).$$

Fix a generator τ for $\operatorname{Gal}(k(\pi^{1/\ell})/k)$.

A cocycle α in $H^1(k, E)[\ell]$ is represented by $S = \alpha(\tau) \in E(k)[\ell]$.

Suppose $\mu_{\ell} \subset k$. Then

$$H^1(k,E)[\ell] \cong \mathsf{Hom}(\mathsf{Gal}(k(\pi^{1/\ell})/k),E(k)[\ell]).$$

Fix a generator τ for $\operatorname{Gal}(k(\pi^{1/\ell})/k)$.

A cocycle α in $H^1(k, E)[\ell]$ is represented by $S = \alpha(\tau) \in E(k)[\ell]$.

Let $\chi \in H^1(k,\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})$ be such that $\chi(\tau)=1$.

Suppose $\mu_{\ell} \subset k$. Then

$$H^1(k,E)[\ell] \cong \operatorname{\mathsf{Hom}}(\operatorname{\mathsf{Gal}}(k(\pi^{1/\ell})/k),E(k)[\ell]).$$

Fix a generator au for $\operatorname{Gal}(k(\pi^{1/\ell})/k)$.

A cocycle α in $H^1(k, E)[\ell]$ is represented by $S = \alpha(\tau) \in E(k)[\ell]$.

Let $\chi \in H^1(k,\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})$ be such that $\chi(\tau)=1$.

Let $D \in E(k)/\ell E(k)$.

Then

$$\langle \alpha, D \rangle = [\chi, F_S(D)]$$

If $\mu_{\ell} \subset k$, then

If $\mu_{\ell} \subset k$, then

 Multiplicative local duality is polynomial time reduced to norm residue symbol computation and discrete logarithm in k.

If $\mu_{\ell} \subset k$, then

- Multiplicative local duality is polynomial time reduced to norm residue symbol computation and discrete logarithm in k.
- Elliptic curve local duality computation is polynomial time reduced to Tate-Lichtenbaum pairing and multiplicative local duality computation.

If $\mu_{\ell} \subset k$, then

- Multiplicative local duality is polynomial time reduced to norm residue symbol computation and discrete logarithm in *k*.
- Elliptic curve local duality computation is polynomial time reduced to Tate-Lichtenbaum pairing and multiplicative local duality computation.

Local duality computation without μ_{ℓ} ?

If $\mu_{\ell} \subset k$, then

- Multiplicative local duality is polynomial time reduced to norm residue symbol computation and discrete logarithm in k.
- Elliptic curve local duality computation is polynomial time reduced to Tate-Lichtenbaum pairing and multiplicative local duality computation.

Local duality computation without μ_{ℓ} ? Local and global duality?

Multiplicative local duality computation

Global duality approach

 Frey's observation (2000): "Hesse's results on Brauer groups make it possible, at least in theory, to lift the problem to global fields ... and it may well be that the celebrated sequence for global fields K and their completions K_i:

$$0 \to Br(K) \to \oplus_i Br(K_i) \stackrel{\oplus \mathsf{inV}_i}{\to} \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \to 0$$

can play an important role."

- Nguyen (2001): Brauer group computation and index calculus
- Huang and Raskind (2004): Global methods for DL and ECDL and signature calculus
- Rubin and Silverberg: ECDL using Euler and Kolyvagin systems (ongoing)



Brauer group sequence

Br(K): is an abelian group that classifies the equivalence classes of central simple algebras over K, where two such algebras A and B are equivalent if there are matrix algebras $M_n(K), M_m(K)$ such that

$$A \otimes_{\mathcal{K}} M_n(\mathcal{K}) \cong B \otimes_{\mathcal{K}} M_m(\mathcal{K}).$$

 $Br(K_v) \cong \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ if v is nonarchimedean $Br(\mathbb{R}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ and $Br(\mathbb{C}) = 0$.

$$Br(K) \cong H^2(G_K, \bar{K}^*).$$

The exact sequence

$$0 o Br(K) o \sum_{v} Br(K_v) o \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} o 0.$$

is the beginning of the theory of global duality.



$$H^{1}(K,E)[\ell] \times E(K)/\ell \rightarrow Br(K)[\ell]$$

$$\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow$$

$$\prod_{v} H^{1}(K_{v},E)[\ell] \times \prod_{v} E(K_{v})/\ell \rightarrow \Sigma_{v} Br(K_{v})[\ell]$$

$$\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow$$

$$\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$$

$$\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow$$

$$0$$

$$< \chi, \alpha > \rightarrow \sum_{v} < \chi, \alpha >_{v} = 0$$

D-log preserved at places over p.

We are given \bar{E}/\mathbb{F}_p with $\#E(\mathbb{F}_p)=\ell$ prime, $\ell\neq p$. Given $\bar{\alpha},\bar{\beta}\in E(\mathbb{F}_p)$ we would like to compute m such that $m\bar{\alpha}=\bar{\beta}$.

We are given \bar{E}/\mathbb{F}_p with $\#E(\mathbb{F}_p)=\ell$ prime, $\ell\neq p$. Given $\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}\in E(\mathbb{F}_p)$ we would like to compute m such that $m\bar{\alpha}=\bar{\beta}$.

Lift \bar{E} to some E/\mathbb{Q} together with α , β in $E(\mathbb{Q})$ (or E(K)) that reduce to $\bar{\alpha}$ and $\bar{\beta}$ respectively.

$$E(\mathbb{Q}_p)/\ell E(\mathbb{Q}_p)\cong \bar{E}(\mathbb{F}_p)$$

We are given \bar{E}/\mathbb{F}_p with $\#E(\mathbb{F}_p)=\ell$ prime, $\ell\neq p$. Given $\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}\in E(\mathbb{F}_p)$ we would like to compute m such that $m\bar{\alpha}=\bar{\beta}$.

Lift \bar{E} to some E/\mathbb{Q} together with α , β in $E(\mathbb{Q})$ (or E(K)) that reduce to $\bar{\alpha}$ and $\bar{\beta}$ respectively.

$$E(\mathbb{Q}_p)/\ell E(\mathbb{Q}_p)\cong \bar{E}(\mathbb{F}_p)$$

Suppose we have some $\chi \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}, E)[\ell]$ ramified only at p, and r and s. Then

$$\langle \chi, \alpha \rangle_{p} + \langle \chi, \alpha \rangle_{r} + \langle \chi, \alpha \rangle_{s} = 0$$
$$\langle \chi, \beta \rangle_{p} + \langle \chi, \beta \rangle_{r} + \langle \chi, \beta \rangle_{s} = 0$$

We are given \bar{E}/\mathbb{F}_p with $\#E(\mathbb{F}_p)=\ell$ prime, $\ell\neq p$. Given $\bar{\alpha},\bar{\beta}\in E(\mathbb{F}_p)$ we would like to compute m such that $m\bar{\alpha}=\bar{\beta}$.

Lift \bar{E} to some E/\mathbb{Q} together with α , β in $E(\mathbb{Q})$ (or E(K)) that reduce to $\bar{\alpha}$ and $\bar{\beta}$ respectively.

$$E(\mathbb{Q}_p)/\ell E(\mathbb{Q}_p)\cong \bar{E}(\mathbb{F}_p)$$

Suppose we have some $\chi \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}, E)[\ell]$ ramified only at p, and r and s. Then

$$\langle \chi, \alpha \rangle_{p} + \langle \chi, \alpha \rangle_{r} + \langle \chi, \alpha \rangle_{s} = 0$$
$$\langle \chi, \beta \rangle_{p} + \langle \chi, \beta \rangle_{r} + \langle \chi, \beta \rangle_{s} = 0$$

If D-log and \langle , \rangle can be efficiently computed at r and s. Then we can determine m such that $m\alpha = \beta$ in $E(\mathbb{Q}_p)/\ell E(\mathbb{Q}_p)$.

• From $\chi \in H^1(K, E)[\ell]$ ramified only at p, and r and s to get explicitly χ_r and χ_s

- From $\chi \in H^1(K, E)[\ell]$ ramified only at p, and r and s to get explicitly χ_r and χ_s
- Fix some $u_v \in E(K_v)/\ell E(K_v)$, v = p, r, s. To compute

$$\left(\langle\chi_p,u_p\rangle:\langle\chi_r,u_r\rangle_r:\langle\chi_s,u_s\rangle_s\right)$$

(signature)

- From $\chi \in H^1(K, E)[\ell]$ ramified only at p, and r and s to get explicitly χ_r and χ_s
- Fix some $u_v \in E(K_v)/\ell E(K_v)$, v = p, r, s. To compute

$$\left(\langle\chi_p,u_p\rangle:\langle\chi_r,u_r\rangle_r:\langle\chi_s,u_s\rangle_s\right)$$

(signature)

 D-log polynomial time equivalent to signature computation (under mild assumptions)

- From $\chi \in H^1(K, E)[\ell]$ ramified only at p, and r and s to get explicitly χ_r and χ_s
- Fix some $u_v \in E(K_v)/\ell E(K_v)$, v = p, r, s. To compute

$$(\langle \chi_p, u_p \rangle : \langle \chi_r, u_r \rangle_r : \langle \chi_s, u_s \rangle_s)$$

(signature)

- D-log polynomial time equivalent to signature computation (under mild assumptions)
- Such $\chi \in H^1(K,E)[\ell]$ with prescribed ramification can be explicitly constructed through Euler and Kolyvagin systems. Efficient identification of its localization χ_v at $v \neq p$ for local duality computation seems to be a challenging problem.

Lifting

- Lifting
- Height

- Lifting
- Height
- μ_{ℓ}

- Lifting
- Height
- μ_{ℓ}

'It is my intent to show that elliptic curves have a rich enough arithmetic structure so that they will provide a fertile ground for planting the seeds of cryptography.' (Miller Crypto 85)

- Lifting
- Height
- μ_ℓ

'It is my intent to show that elliptic curves have a rich enough arithmetic structure so that they will provide a fertile ground for planting the seeds of cryptography.' (Miller Crypto 85)

Arithmetic structure makes it very hard to solve the ECDLP?

- Lifting
- Height
- μ_{ℓ}

'It is my intent to show that elliptic curves have a rich enough arithmetic structure so that they will provide a fertile ground for planting the seeds of cryptography.' (Miller Crypto 85)

- Arithmetic structure makes it very hard to solve the ECDLP?
- Arithmetic structure makes it possible to solve the ECDLP?
- How special is the ECDLP?