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## The Derivative

$$
f^{\prime}(x)=\lim _{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x+h)-f(x)}{h}
$$

## DIFFERENTIATION IS A LINEAR PROCESS

$$
\begin{gathered}
(f+g)^{\prime}=f^{\prime}+g^{\prime} \\
(c f)^{\prime}=c f^{\prime}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Product Rule

THE SET OF DIFFERENTIABLE FUNCTIONS FORMS AN ALGEBRA

$$
(f g)^{\prime}=f g^{\prime}+f^{\prime} g
$$

If $f$ and $g$ are differentiable, so are $f+g, f g$ and $c f$ where $c$ is a constant.

## HEROS OF CALCULUS

## \#1 Sir Isaac Newton (1642-1727)



Isaac Newton was an English physicist, mathematician, astronomer, natural philosopher, alchemist, and theologian, and is considered by many scholars and members of the general public to be one of the most influential people in human history.

## HEROS OF CALCULUS

## \#2 Gottfried Wilhelm Leibniz (1646-1716)



Gottfried Wilhelm Leibniz was a German mathematician and philosopher. He developed the infinitesimal calculus independently of Isaac Newton, and Leibniz's mathematical notation has been widely used ever since it was published.


## LEIBNIZ RULE

$$
(f g)^{\prime}=f^{\prime} g+f g^{\prime}
$$

*******************************************************************

$$
(f g h)^{\prime}=f^{\prime} g h+f g^{\prime} h+f g h^{\prime}
$$

(Will be very important for us)
*******************************************************************

$$
\left(f_{1} f_{2} \cdots f_{n}\right)^{\prime}=f_{1}^{\prime} f_{2} \cdots f_{n}+\cdots+f_{1} f_{2} \cdots f_{n}^{\prime}
$$

The chain rule,

$$
(f \circ g)^{\prime}(x)=f^{\prime}(g(x)) g^{\prime}(x)
$$

plays no role in this talk. Neither does the quotient rule

$$
(f / g)^{\prime}=\frac{g f^{\prime}-f g^{\prime}}{g^{2}}
$$

## RECTANGULAR MATRICES

$$
\begin{gathered}
M_{p, q}=\text { all } p \text { by } q \text { real matrices } \\
\mathbf{a}=\left[a_{i j}\right]_{p \times q}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1 q} \\
a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2 q} \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \\
a_{p 1} & a_{p 2} & \cdots & a_{p q}
\end{array}\right] \quad\left(a_{i j} \in \mathbb{R}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Matrix Multiplication $M_{p, q} \times M_{q, r} \subset M_{p, r}$

$$
\mathbf{a b}=\left[a_{i j}\right]_{p \times q}\left[b_{k l}\right]_{q \times r}=\left[c_{i j}\right]_{p \times r} \text { where } c_{i j}=\sum_{k=1}^{q} a_{i k} b_{k j}
$$

## Example

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a_{11} & a_{12} \\
a_{21} & a_{22} \\
a_{31} & a_{32}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
b_{11} \\
b_{21}
\end{array}\right]=\left[a_{i j}\right]_{3 \times 2}\left[b_{i j}\right]_{2 \times 1}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
a_{11} b_{11}+a_{12} b_{21} \\
a_{21} b_{11}+a_{22} b_{21} \\
a_{31} b_{11}+a_{32} b_{21}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
c_{11} \\
c_{21} \\
c_{31}
\end{array}\right]=\left[c_{i j}\right]_{3 \times 1}
$$

## SQUARE MATRICES

$$
\begin{gathered}
M_{p}=M_{p, p}=\text { all } p \text { by } p \text { real matrices } \\
\mathbf{a}=\left[a_{i j}\right]_{p \times p}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1 p} \\
a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2 p} \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \\
a_{p 1} & a_{p 2} & \cdots & a_{p p}
\end{array}\right] \quad\left(a_{i j} \in \mathbb{R}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

## Matrix Multiplication $M_{p} \times M_{p} \subset M_{p}$

$$
\mathbf{a b}=\left[a_{i j}\right]_{p \times p}\left[b_{k l}\right]_{p \times p}=\left[c_{i j}\right]_{p \times p} \text { where } c_{i j}=\sum_{k=1}^{p} a_{i k} b_{k j}
$$

Examples $p=1,2$

- $M_{1}=\left\{\left[a_{11}\right]: a_{11} \in \mathbb{R}\right\} \quad$ (Behaves exactly as $\mathbb{R}$ )
$\bullet\left[\begin{array}{ll}a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22}\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ll}b_{11} & b_{12} \\ b_{21} & b_{22}\end{array}\right]=\left[a_{i j}\right]_{2 \times 2}\left[b_{i j}\right]_{2 \times 2}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}a_{11} b_{11}+a_{11} b_{12} & a_{21} b_{11}+a_{22} b_{21} \\ a_{21} b_{11}+a_{22} b_{21} & a_{21} b_{12}+a_{22} b_{22}\end{array}\right]$


## Important special cases

```
Mp,q}\mathrm{ is a linear space
[aij]+[\mp@subsup{b}{ij}{}]=[\mp@subsup{a}{ij}{}+\mp@subsup{b}{ij}{}],c[\mp@subsup{a}{ij}{}]=[c\mp@subsup{a}{ij}{}]
```

$M_{1, p}=\mathbb{R}^{p}$ (row vectors)
$M_{p, 1}=\mathbb{R}^{p}$ (column vectors)

## $M_{p}$ is an algebra

$\left[a_{i j}\right]+\left[b_{i j}\right]=\left[a_{i j}+b_{i j}\right], c\left[a_{i j}\right]=\left[c a_{i j}\right],\left[a_{i j}\right] \times\left[b_{i j}\right]=\left[\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{i k} b_{k j}\right]$

## $M_{p, q}$ is a triple system

You need the transpose of a matrix; details forthcoming.

## Review of Algebras-Axiomatic approach

AN ALGEBRA IS DEFINED TO BE A SET (ACTUALLY A VECTOR SPACE OVER A FIELD) WITH TWO BINARY OPERATIONS, CALLED ADDITION AND MULTIPLICATION-we are downplaying multiplication by scalars (=numbers=field elements)

```
ADDITION IS DENOTED BY a+b AND IS REQUIRED TO BE
COMMUTATIVE a+b=b+a
AND ASSOCIATIVE }(a+b)+c=a+(b+c
```

MULTIPLICATION IS DENOTED BY ab AND IS REQUIRED TO BE
DISTRIBUTIVE WITH RESPECT TO ADDITION
$(a+b) c=a c+b c, \quad a(b+c)=a b+a c$

AN ALGEBRA IS SAID TO BE ASSOCIATIVE (RESP. COMMUTATIVE) IF THE MULTIPLICATION IS ASSOCIATIVE (RESP. COMMUTATIVE) (RECALL THAT ADDITION IS ALWAYS COMMUTATIVE AND ASSOCIATIVE)

## Table 1 (FASHIONABLE) ALGEBRAS

commutative algebras $a b=b a$
(Real numbers, Complex numbers, Continuous functions)
*****************************************

* associative algebras $a(b c)=(a b) c \quad$ *
* (Matrix multiplication) *
*****************************************

Lie algebras $\quad a^{2}=0,(a b) c+(b c) a+(c a) b=0$
(Bracket multiplication on associative algebras: $[x, y]=x y-y x$ )
Jordan algebras $a b=b a, a\left(a^{2} b\right)=a^{2}(a b)$
(Circle multiplication on associative algebras: $x \circ y=(x y+y x) / 2)$

## DERIVATIONS ON MATRIX ALGEBRAS

THE SET $M_{n}(\mathbb{R})$ of $n$ by $n$ MATRICES IS AN ALGEBRA UNDER MATRIX ADDITION $A+B$
AND MATRIX MULTIPLICATION $A \times B$ (or $A B$ ) WHICH IS ASSOCIATIVE BUT NOT COMMUTATIVE.

For the Record: (square matrices)
$A+B=\left[a_{i j}\right]+\left[b_{i j}\right]=\left[a_{i j}+b_{i j}\right] \quad A \times B=\left[a_{i j}\right] \times\left[b_{i j}\right]=\left[\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{i k} b_{k j}\right]$

## DEFINITION

A DERIVATION ON $M_{n}(\mathbb{R})$ WITH RESPECT TO MATRIX MULTIPLICATION IS A LINEAR PROCESS $\delta: \quad \delta(A+B)=\delta(A)+\delta(B)$ WHICH SATISFIES THE PRODUCT RULE

$$
\delta(A \times B)=\delta(A) \times B+A \times \delta(B)
$$

or

$$
\delta(A B)=\delta(A) B+A \delta(B)
$$

Compare with

$$
(f g)^{\prime}=f^{\prime} g+f g^{\prime}
$$

## PROPOSITION

FIX A MATRIX $A$ in $M_{n}(\mathbb{R})$ AND DEFINE

$$
\delta_{A}(X)=A \times X-X \times A \quad(=A X-X A)
$$

THEN $\delta_{A}$ IS A DERIVATION WITH RESPECT TO MATRIX MULTIPLICATION (WHICH IS CALLED AN INNER DERIVATION)

## THEOREM 1

Finite dimensional 1920-1940: Noether,Wedderburn,Hochschild,Jacobson,... Infinite dimensional 1950-1970: Kaplansky,Kadison,Sakai,...

EVERY DERIVATION ON $M_{n}(\mathbb{R})$ WITH RESPECT TO MATRIX MULTIPLICATION IS INNER, THAT IS, OF THE FORM $\delta_{A}$ FOR SOME $A$ IN $M_{n}(\mathbb{R})$.

We gave a proof of this theorem for $n=2$ in part 8 of series 1 .

## Here is that proof

## Matrix units

Let $E_{11}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right], E_{12}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right], E_{21}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right], E_{22}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right]$

## LEMMA

- $E_{11}+E_{22}=I$
- $E_{i j}^{t}=E_{j i}$
- $E_{i j} E_{k l}=\delta_{j k} E_{i l}$


## THEOREM 1 (restated)

Let $\delta: M_{2} \rightarrow M_{2}$ be a derivation: $\delta$ is linear and $\delta(A B)=A \delta(B)+\delta(A) B$. Then there exists a matrix $K$ such that $\delta(X)=X K-K X$ for $X$ in $M_{2}$.

## PROOF OF THEOREM 1

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\delta(1)=\delta\left(E_{11}+E_{22}\right)=\delta\left(E_{11}\right)+\delta\left(E_{22}\right) \\
& =\delta\left(E_{11} E_{11}\right)+\delta\left(E_{21} E_{12}\right) \\
& =E_{11} \delta\left(E_{11}\right)+\delta\left(E_{11}\right) E_{11}+E_{21} \delta\left(E_{12}\right)+\delta\left(E_{21}\right) E_{12} \\
& =E_{11} \delta\left(E_{11}\right)+E_{21} \delta\left(E_{12}\right)+\delta\left(E_{11}\right) E_{11}+\delta\left(E_{21}\right) E_{12} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $K=E_{11} \delta\left(E_{11}\right)+E_{21} \delta\left(E_{12}\right)=-\delta\left(E_{11}\right) E_{11}-\delta\left(E_{21}\right) E_{12}$. Then

- $K E_{11}=-\delta\left(E_{11}\right) E_{11} \quad, \quad E_{11} K=E_{11} \delta\left(E_{11}\right)$
- $K E_{12}=-\delta\left(E_{11}\right) E_{12} \quad, \quad E_{12} K=E_{11} \delta\left(E_{12}\right)$
- $K E_{21}=-\delta\left(E_{21}\right) E_{11} \quad, \quad E_{21} K=E_{21} \delta\left(E_{11}\right)$
- $K E_{22}=-\delta\left(E_{21}\right) E_{12} \quad, \quad E_{22} K=E_{21} \delta\left(E_{12}\right)$
- $E_{11} K-K E_{11}=E_{11} \delta\left(E_{11}\right)+\delta\left(E_{11}\right) E_{11}=\delta\left(E_{11} E_{11}\right)=\delta\left(E_{11}\right)$
- $E_{12} K-K E_{12}=E_{11} \delta\left(E_{12}\right)+\delta\left(E_{11}\right) E_{12}=\delta\left(E_{11} E_{12}\right)=\delta\left(E_{12}\right)$
- $E_{21} K-K E_{21}=E_{21} \delta\left(E_{11}\right)+\delta\left(E_{21}\right) E_{11}=\delta\left(E_{21} E_{11}\right)=\delta\left(E_{21}\right)$
- $E_{22} K-K E_{22}=E_{21} \delta\left(E_{12}\right)+\delta\left(E_{21}\right) E_{12}=\delta\left(E_{21} E_{12}\right)=\delta\left(E_{22}\right)$ Q.E.D.


## Transpose (to the rescue)

If $\mathbf{a}=\left[a_{i j}\right] \in M_{p, q}$ then $\mathbf{a}^{t}=\left[a_{i j}^{t}\right] \in M_{q, p}$ where $a_{i j}^{t}=a_{j i}$

$$
\mathbf{a}=\left[a_{i j}\right]_{p \times q}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1 q} \\
a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2 q} \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \\
a_{p 1} & a_{p 2} & \cdots & a_{p q}
\end{array}\right]
$$

$$
\mathbf{a}^{t}=\left[a_{i j}^{t}\right]_{q \times p}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
a_{11}^{t} & a_{12}^{t} & \cdots & a_{1 p}^{t} \\
a_{21}^{t} & a_{22}^{t} & \cdots & a_{2 p}^{t} \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \\
a_{q 1}^{t} & a_{q 2}^{t} & \cdots & a_{q p}^{t}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
a_{11} & a_{21} & \cdots & a_{p 1} \\
a_{12} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{p 2} \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \\
a_{1 q} & a_{2 q} & \cdots & a_{p q}
\end{array}\right]
$$

$$
(a b)^{t}=b^{t} a^{t}
$$

Proof: If $\mathbf{a}=\left[a_{i j}\right], \mathbf{b}=\left[b_{i j}\right]$ and $\mathbf{c}=\mathbf{a b}=\left[c_{i j}\right]$, so $c_{i j}=\sum_{k=1}^{q} a_{i k} b_{k j}$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathbf{b}^{t} \mathbf{a}^{t}=\left[b_{i j}^{t}\right]\left[a_{i j}^{t}\right]=\left[\sum_{k=1}^{q} b_{i k}^{t} a_{k j}^{t}\right] \quad \text { and } \\
(\mathbf{a b})^{t}=\left[c_{i j}^{t}\right]=\left[\sum_{k=1}^{q} a_{j k} b_{k i}\right]=\left[\sum_{k=1}^{q} a_{k j}^{t} b_{i k}^{t}\right] \quad \text { Q.E.D. }
\end{gathered}
$$

## DERIVATIONS ON RECTANGULAR MATRICES

MULTIPLICATION DOES NOT MAKE SENSE ON $M_{m, n}(\mathbb{R})$ if $m \neq n$. NOT TO WORRY! WE CAN FORM A TRIPLE PRODUCT $X \times Y^{t} \times Z$ (TRIPLE MATRIX MULTIPLICATION)

If $X, Y$ are $m$ by $n$ with $m \neq n$, then $X \times Y$ is not defined.
However, $X \times Y^{t}$ is defined ( $m$ by $m$ ) and $Y^{t} \times X$ is defined ( $n$ by $n$ ).
So $X \times Y^{t} \times Z=\left(X \times Y^{t}\right) \times Z=X \times\left(Y^{t} \times Z\right)$ is $m$ by $n$

## For the Record (square matrices):

$$
\left[a_{i j}\right]+\left[b_{i j}\right]=\left[a_{i j}+b_{i j}\right], \quad\left[a_{i j}\right] \times\left[b_{i j}\right]=\left[\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{i k} b_{k j}\right], \quad\left[a_{i j}\right]^{t}=\left[a_{j i}\right]
$$

## For the Record (rectangular matrices):

$$
\begin{gathered}
{\left[a_{i j}\right]_{m \times n}+\left[b_{i j}\right]_{m \times n}=\left[a_{i j}+b_{i j}\right]_{m \times n} \quad, \quad\left[a_{i j}\right]_{m \times p} \times\left[b_{i j}\right]_{p \times n}=\left[\sum_{k=1}^{p} a_{i k} b_{k j}\right]_{m \times n}} \\
{\left[a_{i j}\right]_{m \times n}^{t}=\left[a_{j i}\right]_{n \times m} \quad \text { Note: }\left(M_{m, n}\right)^{t}=M_{n, m}}
\end{gathered}
$$

## DEFINITION

A DERIVATION ON $M_{m, n}(\mathbb{R})$ WITH RESPECT TO
TRIPLE MATRIX MULTIPLICATION IS A LINEAR PROCESS $\delta$ WHICH SATISFIES THE (TRIPLE) PRODUCT RULE

$$
\begin{gathered}
\delta\left(A \times B^{t} \times C\right)= \\
\delta(A) \times B^{t} \times C+A \times \delta(B)^{t} \times C+A \times B^{t} \times \delta(C)
\end{gathered}
$$

or

$$
\delta\left(A B^{t} C\right)=\delta(A) B^{t} C+A \delta(B)^{t} C+A B^{t} \delta(C)
$$

## Compare with

$$
(f g h)^{\prime}=f^{\prime} g h+f g^{\prime} h+f g h^{\prime}
$$

## PROPOSITION

FOR TWO SKEW SYMMETRIC (square) MATRICES $A \in M_{m}(\mathbb{R}), B \in M_{n}(\mathbb{R})$, THAT IS $A^{t}=-A, B^{t}=-B$, DEFINE $\delta_{A, B}(X)=A \times X+X \times B$. THEN $\delta_{A, B}$ IS A DERIVATION WITH RESPECT TO TRIPLE MATRIX MULTIPLICATION

## THEOREM 2

Finite dimensional 1960-1980: Meyberg, Carlsson, Lister, ... Infinite dimensional 1995-2015: Ho,Peralta,Martinez,Russo,Zalar,Pitts,Pluta,... EVERY DERIVATION ON $M_{m, n}(\mathbb{R})$ WITH RESPECT TO TRIPLE MATRIX MULTIPLICATION IS OF THE FORM $\delta_{A, B}$.

The main point of today's talk is to give a proof of Theorem 2 using Theorem 1.

## REMARK

THIS THEOREM HOLDS TRUE AND IS OF INTEREST FOR THE CASE $m=n$.

## Some notation

Let $X$ denote $M_{p, q}$ and let $x, y, z, \ldots$ denote elements of $X$. We define

$$
X X^{t}=\left\{x_{1} y_{1}^{t}+x_{2} y_{2}^{t}+\cdots+x_{n} y_{n}^{t}: x_{i}, y_{i} \in X, n=1,2 \ldots\right\}
$$

and

$$
X^{t} X=\left\{x_{1}^{t} y_{1}+x_{2}^{t} y_{2}+\cdots+x_{n}^{t} y_{n}: x_{i}, y_{i} \in X, n=1,2 \ldots\right\}
$$

$$
X X^{t}=M_{p, q} M_{q, p} \text { is a subalgebra of } M_{p}
$$

and

$$
X^{t} X=M_{q, p} M_{p, q} \text { is a subalgebra of } M_{q}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Let } A=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
X X^{t} & X \\
X^{t} & X^{t} X
\end{array}\right] \quad\left(\text { Note: } A \subset\left[\begin{array}{cc}
M_{p} & M_{p, q} \\
M_{q, p} & M_{q}
\end{array}\right] \subset M_{p+q}\right) \\
=\left\{\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} y_{i}^{t} \\
y^{t} & \sum_{j=1}^{x} z_{j}^{t} w_{j}
\end{array}\right]: x, y, x_{i}, y_{i}, z_{j}, w_{j} \in X, n=1,2, \ldots, m=1,2, \ldots\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Proposition

Let $X=M_{p, q}$ and let $D: X \rightarrow X$ be a triple matrix derivation of $X$. If $A=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}X X^{t} & X \\ X^{t} & X^{t} X\end{array}\right) \subset\left(\begin{array}{cc}M_{p} & M_{p, q} \\ M_{q, p} & M_{q}\end{array}\right) \subset M_{p+q}$, then $A$ is an algebra and the map $\delta: A \rightarrow A$ given, for $x, y, x_{i}, y_{i}, z_{j}, w_{j} \in X$, by

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\sum_{i} x_{i} y_{i}^{t} & x \\
y^{t} & \sum_{j} z_{j}^{t} w_{j}
\end{array}\right] \mapsto\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\sum_{i}\left(x_{i}\left(D y_{i}\right)^{t}+\left(D x_{i}\right) y_{i}^{t}\right) & D \\
(D y)^{t} & \sum_{j}\left(z_{j}^{t}\left(D w_{j}\right)+\left(D z_{j}\right)^{t} w_{j}\right)
\end{array}\right]
$$

is well defined and a derivation of $A$, which extends $D$ (when $X$ is embedded in $A$ via $x \mapsto\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & x \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right)$ ). If $a=\left(\begin{array}{cc}\alpha & x \\ y^{t} & \beta\end{array}\right) \in A$ then $\delta\left(a^{t}\right)=\delta(a)^{t}$ where $a^{t}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}\alpha^{t} & y \\ x^{t} & \beta^{t}\end{array}\right)$.

- $A$ is an algebra: $a=\left(\begin{array}{cc}\alpha & x \\ y^{t} & \beta\end{array}\right), b=\left(\begin{array}{cc}\alpha_{1} & x_{1} \\ y_{1}^{t} & \beta_{1}\end{array}\right), a b=\left(\begin{array}{cc}\alpha \alpha_{1}+x y_{1}^{t} & \alpha x_{1}+x \beta_{1} \\ y^{t} \alpha_{1}+\beta y_{1}^{t} & y^{x} x_{1}+\beta \beta_{1}\end{array}\right)$
- $\delta$ is well-defined: $\left.\sum_{i} x_{i} y_{i}^{t}=0 \Rightarrow \sum_{i}\left(D x_{i}\right) y_{i}^{t}+x_{i}\left(D y_{i}\right)^{t}\right)=0$ (see next page)
- $\delta$ is linear: $\delta(a+b)=\delta(a)+\delta(b) ; \delta(c a)=c \delta(a)$
- $\delta\left(a^{t}\right)=\delta(a)^{t}$ (see next page)
- $\delta(a b)=a \delta(b)+\delta(a) b \quad$ (Not difficult, but messy)
- $\delta\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & x \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right)$

If $\sum_{i} x_{i} y_{i}^{t}=0$, then for every $z \in X$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =D\left(\sum_{i} x_{i} y_{i}^{t} z\right) \\
& =\sum_{i}\left(\left(D x_{i}\right) y_{i}^{t} z+x_{i}\left(D y_{i}\right)^{t} z+x_{i} y_{i}^{t}(D z)\right) \\
& =\left(\sum_{i}\left(D x_{i}\right) y_{i}^{t}+x_{i}\left(D y_{i}\right)^{t}\right) z,
\end{aligned}
$$

so $\delta$ is well defined (this argument is incomplete-see the next page)

If $a=\left(\begin{array}{cc}\sum_{i} x_{i} y_{i}^{t} & x \\ y^{t} & \sum_{j} z_{j}^{t} w_{j}\end{array}\right)$, then $\delta(a)=\left(\begin{array}{c}\begin{array}{c}\sum_{i}\left(x_{i}\left(D y_{j}\right)^{t}+\left(D x_{i}\right) y_{i}^{t}\right) \\ (D y)^{t}\end{array}\end{array} \begin{array}{c}D x \\ \sum_{j}\left(z_{j}^{t}\left(D w_{j}\right)+\left(D z_{j}\right)^{t} w_{j}\right)\end{array}\right)$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta\left(a^{t}\right) & =\delta\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\sum_{i} y_{i} x_{i}^{t} & y \\
x^{t} & \sum_{j} w_{j}^{t} z_{j}
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\sum_{i}\left(y_{i}\left(D x_{i}\right)^{t}+\left(D y_{i}\right) x_{i}^{t}\right) & D y \\
& (D x)^{t}
\end{array}\right. \\
& =\delta(a)^{t} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Correction

Let $\alpha$ denote $\left.\sum_{i}\left(D x_{i}\right) y_{i}^{t}+x_{i}\left(D y_{i}\right)^{t}\right)$. We know that $\alpha z=0$ for every $z \in X$ and we must conclude $\alpha=0$. This is true but it is not so simple. You need to know about the norms of vectors and norms of linear transformations.

If you believe the above, you can also believe that if we let $\beta$ denote $\sum_{j}\left(z_{j}^{t}\left(D w_{j}\right)+\left(D z_{j}\right)^{t} w_{j}\right)$ and if we know that $z \beta=0$ for every $z \in X$, we must conclude that $\beta=0$.

If you accept these two statements (they are true), it is clear that the map $\delta$ is well defined, since if $a=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}\sum_{i} x_{i} y_{i}^{t} & x \\ y^{t} & \sum_{j}^{t} z_{j}^{t} w_{j}\end{array}\right)=0$, then $\sum_{i} x_{i} y_{i}^{t}=0, \sum_{j} z_{j}^{t} w_{j}=0, x=0, y=0$; so

$$
\delta(a)=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\sum_{i}\left(x_{i}\left(D y_{i j}\right)^{t}+\left(D x_{i}\right) y_{i}^{t}\right) & D x \\
(D y)^{t} & \sum_{j}\left(z_{j}^{t}\left(D w_{j}\right)+\left(D z_{j}\right)^{t} w_{j}\right)
\end{array}\right]=0
$$

## Theorem 2 (restated)

For every triple matrix derivation $D$ of $M_{p, q}$, there exist $\alpha \in M_{p}$ and $\beta \in M_{q}$ such that $\alpha^{t}=-\alpha, \beta^{t}=-\beta$, and $D x=\alpha x+x \beta$ for every $x \in M_{p, q}$.

## Proof

Let $\delta$ be as in the Proposition. Then there exists ${ }^{a} b \in A$ such that $\delta(a)=b a-a b$ for every $a \in A$. We have $b a^{t}-a^{t} b=\delta\left(a^{t}\right)=\delta(a)^{t}=a^{t} b^{t}-b^{t} a^{t}$ so that $\left(b+b^{t}\right) a^{t}=a^{t}\left(b+b^{t}\right)$ for every $a \in A$. Let $z=b+b^{t}$. Then $z=z^{t}$ and $z a=a z$ for every $a \in A$.
> ${ }^{a}$ We only proved this if $A=M_{n}$ but it is true for any subalgebra $A$ of $M_{n}$ such that $A^{t}=A$ (Artin-Wedderburn Theorem; more about this later).

> Let $e=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right)$. Then for any $a=\left(\begin{array}{cc}\alpha & x \\ y^{\mathrm{t}} & \beta\end{array}\right) \in A$, eae $=\left(\begin{array}{ll}\alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right)$ and $\delta(e a e) \in e A e$. In particular, $\delta(e)=\delta(e e e) \in e A e$, so $\delta(e)=e \delta(e) e$. On the other hand, $\delta(e)=\delta(e e)=e \delta(e)+\delta(e) e$, so $\delta(e)=e \delta(e)+e \delta(e) e$, thus $e \delta(e) e=0$ and so $\delta(e)=0$.

If we write $b=\left(\begin{array}{ll}\alpha_{1} & x_{1} \\ y_{1}^{ \pm} & \beta_{1}\end{array}\right)$, then $0=\delta(e)=b e-e b$ shows that $x_{1}=0$ and $y_{1}=0$.

Let $c=\left(b-b^{t}\right) / 2$. Then $c^{t}=-c$ and $\delta(a)=c a-a c$ for all $a \in A$, and

$$
c=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\left(\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{1}^{t}\right) / 2 & 0 \\
0 & \left(\beta_{1}-\beta_{1}^{t}\right) / 2
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\alpha_{2} & 0 \\
0 & \beta_{2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\alpha_{2}^{t}=-\alpha_{2}$ and $\beta_{2}^{t}=-\beta_{2}$.

Finally

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & D x \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right) & =\delta\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & x \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\alpha_{2} & 0 \\
0 & \beta_{2}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & x \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)-\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & x \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\alpha_{2} & 0 \\
0 & \beta_{2}
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \alpha_{2} x \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)-\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & x \beta_{2} \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \alpha_{2} x-x \beta_{2} \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Q. E. D.

## Example of a subalgebra

$$
A=\left\{\left[\begin{array}{cc|ccc|cc}
x_{11} & x_{12} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
x_{21} & x_{22} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 0 & 0 & y_{11} & y_{12} & y_{13} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & y_{21} & y_{22} & y_{23} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & y_{31} & y_{32} & y_{33} & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]: x_{i j}, y_{i j} \in \mathbb{R}\right\} \subset M_{7}
$$

$$
\text { that is, } A=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
A_{1} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & A_{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
A_{1} & O_{2 \times 3} & O_{2 \times 2} \\
O_{3 \times 2} & A_{2} & O_{3 \times 2} \\
O_{2 \times 2} & O_{2 \times 3} & O_{2 \times 2}
\end{array}\right]
$$

$$
A_{1}=M_{2} \quad, \quad A_{2}=M_{3}, \quad O_{m \times n}=\left[a_{i j}\right]_{m \times n}, \quad a_{i j}=0 .
$$

## Joseph Henry Maclagan Wedderburn (1882-1948)



Scottish mathematician, who taught at Princeton University for most of his career. A significant algebraist, he proved that a finite division algebra is a field, and part of the Artin-Wedderburn theorem on simple algebras. He also worked on group theory and matrix algebra.

## Theorem of Artin-Wedderburn

Every subalgebra $A$ of $M_{n}$ such that $A^{t}=A$ is of the form ${ }^{a}$

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cccccc|c}
A_{1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
0 & A_{2} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & A_{3} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & A_{k} & 0 \\
\hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right] \subset M_{n}
$$

where $A_{j}=M_{n_{j}}, m=n_{1}+n_{2}+\cdots n_{k} \leq n$, and $O=O_{(n-m) \times(n-m)}$

[^0]
## Exercises

- Every derivation of such $A$ is inner. (Hint: Show $\delta\left(A_{j}\right) \subset A_{j}$ for each $j$ )
- In the proof of Theorem 2, we assumed that $e \in A$. Show that the proof of Theorem 2 can be revised so as to cover the case when $e \notin A$.
(Hint: Extend $\delta$ to the algebra $A+\mathbb{R} e$ )


[^0]:    ${ }^{a}$ more precisely, "is isomorphic to"

