# DERIVATIONS

An introduction to non associative algebra (or, Playing havoc with the product rule)

# Part 8 Vanishing Theorems in dimensions 1 and 2 <sup>Colloquium</sup> Fullerton College

#### Bernard Russo

University of California, Irvine

#### September 17, 2013

# History of these lectures

- PART I FEBRUARY 8, 2011 ALGEBRAS; DERIVATIONS
- PART II JULY 21, 2011 TRIPLE SYSTEMS; DERIVATIONS
- PART III FEBRUARY 28, 2012 MODULES; DERIVATIONS
- PART IV JULY 26, 2012 COHOMOLOGY (ASSOCIATIVE ALGEBRAS)
- PART V OCTOBER 25, 2012 THE SECOND COHOMOLOGY GROUP
- PART VI MARCH 7, 2013 COHOMOLOGY (LIE ALGEBRAS)
- PART VII JULY 25, 2013 COHOMOLOGY (JORDAN ALGEBRAS)
- PART VIII SEPTEMBER 17, 2013 (today)

#### VANISHING THEOREMS IN DIMENSIONS 1 AND 2 (ASSOCIATIVE ALGEBRAS)

# Outline

- Review of Algebras
- Derivations on matrix algebras
- Review of Cohomology
- $H^1(M_2, M_2) = 0$
- $H^2(M_2, M_2) = 0$

# Introduction

I will present simple proofs of vanishing of the first and second cohomology groups of an associative algebra, illustrating with the algebra of two by two matrices with matrix multiplication.

The relevant definitions and examples from earlier talks in the series will be reviewed beforehand.

Review of Algebras—Axiomatic approach

AN <u>ALGEBRA</u> IS DEFINED TO BE A SET (ACTUALLY A VECTOR SPACE) WITH TWO BINARY OPERATIONS, CALLED <u>ADDITION</u> AND <u>MULTIPLICATION</u>

ADDITION IS DENOTED BY a + b AND IS REQUIRED TO BE COMMUTATIVE a + b = b + aAND ASSOCIATIVE (a + b) + c = a + (b + c)

MULTIPLICATION IS DENOTED BY *ab* AND IS REQUIRED TO BE DISTRIBUTIVE WITH RESPECT TO ADDITION (a + b)c = ac + bc, a(b + c) = ab + ac

AN ALGEBRA IS SAID TO BE <u>ASSOCIATIVE</u> (RESP. <u>COMMUTATIVE</u>) IF THE **MULTIPLICATION** IS ASSOCIATIVE (RESP. COMMUTATIVE) (RECALL THAT ADDITION IS ALWAYS COMMUTATIVE AND ASSOCIATIVE)

Table 1 (FASHIONABLE) ALGEBRAScommutative algebras ab = baassociative algebras a(bc) = (ab)cLie algebras  $a^2 = 0$ , (ab)c + (bc)a + (ca)b = 0Jordan algebras ab = ba,  $a(a^2b) = a^2(ab)$ 

We shall only be concerned with <u>associative</u> algebras in this talk, in fact, only the algebra of two by two matrices under matrix multiplication.

# DERIVATIONS ON MATRIX ALGEBRAS

#### THE SET $M_n(\mathbf{R})$ of n by n MATRICES IS AN ALGEBRA UNDER **MATRIX ADDITION** A + BAND **MATRIX MULTIPLICATION** $A \times B$ WHICH IS ASSOCIATIVE BUT NOT COMMUTATIVE.

#### For the Record:

 $[a_{ij}] + [b_{ij}] = [a_{ij} + b_{ij}]$   $[a_{ij}] \times [b_{ij}] = [\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{ik} b_{kj}]$ 

## DEFINITION

A <u>DERIVATION</u> ON  $M_n(\mathbf{R})$  WITH <u>RESPECT TO MATRIX MULTIPLICATION</u> IS A LINEAR PROCESS  $\delta$ :  $\delta(A + B) = \delta(A) + \delta(B)$ WHICH SATISFIES THE PRODUCT RULE

$$\delta(A \times B) = \delta(A) \times B + A \times \delta(B)$$

## PROPOSITION

FIX A MATRIX A in  $M_n(\mathbf{R})$  AND DEFINE

 $\delta_A(X) = A \times X - X \times A.$ 

THEN  $\delta_A$  IS A DERIVATION WITH RESPECT TO MATRIX MULTIPLICATION (WHICH CAN BE NON-ZERO)

# **THEOREM** (Noether, Wedderburn, Hochschild, Jacobson, Kaplansky, Kadison, Sakai)

EVERY DERIVATION ON  $M_n(\mathbf{R})$  WITH RESPECT TO MATRIX MULTIPLICATION IS OF THE FORM  $\delta_A$  FOR SOME A IN  $M_n(\mathbf{R})$ .

We shall give a proof of this theorem for n = 2 in this talk.

# Review of Cohomology

# NOTATION

*n* is a positive integer,  $n = 1, 2, \cdots$ *f* is a function of *n* variables *F* is a function of n + 1 variables (n + 2 variables?) $x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{n+1}$  belong to an algebra *A*  $f(y_1, \dots, y_n)$  and  $F(y_1, \cdots, y_{n+1})$  also belong to *A* 

## The basic formula of homological algebra

$$F(x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}) = x_1 f(x_2, \dots, x_{n+1}) -f(x_1 x_2, x_3, \dots, x_{n+1}) +f(x_1, x_2 x_3, x_4, \dots, x_{n+1}) - \cdots \pm f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n x_{n+1}) \mp f(x_1, \dots, x_n) x_{n+1}$$

# HIERARCHY

 $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n$  are points (or vectors) f and F are functions—they take points to points T, defined by T(f) = F is a transformation—takes functions to functions points  $x_1, \ldots, x_{n+1}$  and  $f(y_1, \ldots, y_n)$  will belong to an algebra Afunctions f will be either <u>constant</u>, <u>linear</u> or <u>multilinear</u> (hence so will F) transformation T is linear

## SHORT FORM OF THE FORMULA

$$(Tf)(x_1,\ldots,x_n,x_{n+1})$$

$$= x_1 f(x_2,\ldots,x_{n+1})$$

+ 
$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} (-1)^{j} f(x_1, \ldots, x_j x_{j+1}, \ldots, x_{n+1})$$

$$+(-1)^{n+1}f(x_1,\ldots,x_n)x_{n+1}$$

# FIRST CASES

#### <u>n = 0</u>

If f is any constant function from A to A, say, f(x) = b for all x in A, where b is a fixed element of A, we have, consistent with the basic formula, a linear function  $T_0(f)$ :

$$T_0(f)(x_1) = x_1b - bx_1$$

# n = 1If f is a linear function from A to A, then $T_1(f)$ is a bilinear function

$$T_1(f)(x_1, x_2) = x_1 f(x_2) - f(x_1 x_2) + f(x_1) x_2$$

#### n=2If f is a bilinear function from $A \times A$ to A, then $T_2(f)$ is a trilinear function

$$T_2(f)(x_1, x_2, x_3) =$$

$$x_1f(x_2, x_3) - f(x_1x_2, x_3) + f(x_1, x_2x_3) - f(x_1, x_2)x_3$$

# FIRST COHOMOLOGY GROUP

## Kernel and Image of a linear transformation

```
G: X \to Y
Since X and Y are vector spaces, they are in particular, commutative groups.
Kernel of G (also called nullspace of G) is
ker G = \{x \in X : G(x) = 0\}
This is a subgroup of X
Image of G is
im G = \{G(x) : x \in X\}
This is a subgroup of Y
```

```
\frac{G = T_0}{X = A} \text{ (the algebra)}
Y = L(A) \text{ (all linear transformations on } A)
T_0(f)(x_1) = x_1b - bx_1
ker T_0 = \{b \in A : xb - bx = 0 \text{ for all } x \in A\} \text{ (center of } A)
im T_0 = the set of all linear maps of A of the form x \mapsto xb - bx,
in other words, the set of all inner derivations of A
ker T_0 is a subgroup of A
im T_0 is a subgroup of L(A)
Bernard Russo (UCI)
DERIVATIONS An introduction to non associative alge
```

 $\begin{array}{l} \displaystyle \frac{G=T_1}{X=L(A)} \mbox{ (linear transformations on } A) \\ \displaystyle Y=L^2(A) \mbox{ (bilinear transformations on } A\times A) \\ \displaystyle T_1(f)(x_1,x_2)=x_1f(x_2)-f(x_1x_2)+f(x_1)x_2 \\ \mbox{ ker } T_1=\{f\in L(A):T_1f(x_1,x_2)=0 \mbox{ for all } x_1,x_2\in A\} = \mbox{ the set of all derivations of } A \\ \mbox{ im } T_1 = \mbox{ the set of all bilinear maps of } A\times A \mbox{ of the form} \end{array}$ 

$$(x_1, x_2) \mapsto x_1 f(x_2) - f(x_1 x_2) + f(x_1) x_2,$$

for some linear function  $f \in L(A)$ . ker  $T_1$  is a subgroup of L(A)im  $T_1$  is a subgroup of  $L^2(A)$ 

$$\begin{array}{l} \displaystyle \frac{G=T_2}{X=L^2(A)} \mbox{ (bilinear transformations on } A \times A) \\ \displaystyle Y=L^3(A) \mbox{ (trilinear transformations on } A \times A \times A) \\ \displaystyle T_2(f)(x_1,x_2,x_3)=x_1f(x_2,x_3))-f(x_1x_2,x_3)+f(x_1x_2,x_3)-f(x_1,x_2)x_3 \\ \mbox{ ker } T_2=\{f\in L^2(A):T_2f(x_1,x_2,x_3)=0 \mbox{ for all } x_1,x_2,x_3 \in A\} \\ \mbox{ im } T_2 = \mbox{ the set of all trilinear maps of } A \times A \times A \mbox{ of the form} \end{array}$$

$$(x_1, x_2, x_3) \mapsto x_1 f(x_2, x_3)) - f(x_1 x_2, x_3) + f(x_1 x_2, x_3) - f(x_1, x_2) x_3$$

for some bilinear function  $f \in L(A)$ . ker  $T_2$  is a subgroup of  $L^2(A)$ im  $T_2$  is a subgroup of  $L^3(A)$ 

$$L^{0}(A) \xrightarrow{T_{0}} L(A) \xrightarrow{T_{1}} L^{2}(A) \xrightarrow{T_{2}} L^{3}(A) \cdots$$
**FACTS:**  $T_{1} \circ T_{0} = 0$ 

$$T_{2} \circ T_{1} = 0$$

$$\cdots$$

$$T_{n+1} \circ T_{n} = 0$$

$$\cdots$$

#### Therefore

im  $T_n \subset \ker T_{n+1} \subset L^n(A)$ and therefore im  $T_n$  is a subgroup of ker  $T_{n+1}$ 

# TERMINOLOGY

im  $T_{n-1}$  = the set of *n*-coboundaries ker  $T_n$  = the set of *n*-cocycles and therefore every *n*-coboundary is an *n*-cocycle. im  $T_0 \subset \ker T_1$ says Every inner derivation (1-coboundary) is a derivation (1-cocycle).

im  $T_1 \subset \ker T_2$ says for every linear map f, the bilinear map F defined by

$$F(x_1, x_2) = x_1 f(x_2) - f(x_1 x_2) + f(x_1) x_2$$

(2-coboundary) satisfies the equation

$$x_1F(x_2, x_3) - F(x_1x_2, x_3) + F(x_1, x_2x_3) - F(x_1, x_2)x_3 = 0$$

for every  $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in A$  (2-cocycle).

The cohomology groups of A are defined as the quotient groups

$$H^n(A) = \frac{\ker T_n}{\operatorname{im} T_{n-1}} = \frac{n\operatorname{-cocycles}}{n\operatorname{-coboundaries}} \qquad (n = 1, 2, \ldots)$$

#### Thus

$$H^{1}(A) = \frac{\ker T_{1}}{\operatorname{im} T_{0}} = \frac{1\operatorname{-cocycles}}{1\operatorname{-coboundaries}} = \frac{\operatorname{derivations}}{\operatorname{inner derivations}}$$
$$H^{2}(A) = \frac{\ker T_{2}}{\operatorname{im} T_{1}} = \frac{2\operatorname{-cocycles}}{2\operatorname{-coboundaries}} = \frac{\operatorname{null extensions}}{\operatorname{split null extensions}}$$

The theorem that every derivation of  $M_n(\mathbf{R})$  is inner (that is, of the form  $\delta_a$  for some  $a \in M_n(\mathbf{R})$ , Theorem 1 below for n = 2) can now be restated as: "the cohomology group  $H^1(M_n(\mathbf{R}))$  is the trivial one element group"

The theorem that every null extension of  $M_n(\mathbf{R})$  is a split null extension (Corollary 2 of Theorem 2 below for n = 2) can be stated as: "the cohomology group  $H^2(M_n(\mathbf{R}))$  is the trivial one element group"

$$H^1(M_2,M_2)=0$$

#### Matrix units

Let 
$$E_{11} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
,  $E_{12} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $E_{21} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $E_{22} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ 

#### LEMMA

•  $E_{11} + E_{22} = I$ 

$$\blacktriangleright \ E_{ij}^t = E_{ji}$$

$$\bullet \ E_{ij}E_{kl} = \delta_{kl}E_{il}$$

#### **THEOREM** 1

Let  $\delta: M_2 \to M_2$  be a derivation:  $\delta$  is linear and  $\delta(AB) = A\delta(B) + \delta(A)B$ . Then there exists a matrix K such that  $\delta(X) = XK - KX$  for X in  $M_2$ .

## COROLLARY

 $H^1(M_2, M_2) = 0$ 

$$0 = \delta(1) = \delta(E_{11} + E_{22}) = \delta(E_{11}) + \delta(E_{22})$$

- $= \delta(E_{11}E_{11}) + \delta(E_{21}E_{12})$
- $= E_{11}\delta(E_{11}) + \delta(E_{11})E_{11} + E_{21}\delta(E_{12}) + \delta(E_{21})E_{12}$
- $= E_{11}\delta(E_{11}) + E_{21}\delta(E_{12}) + \delta(E_{11})E_{11} + \delta(E_{21})E_{12}.$

Let  $K = E_{11}\delta(E_{11}) + E_{21}\delta(E_{12}) = -\delta(E_{11})E_{11} - \delta(E_{21})E_{12}$ . Then

- $KE_{11} = -\delta(E_{11})E_{11}$  ,  $E_{11}K = E_{11}\delta(E_{11})$
- $KE_{12} = -\delta(E_{11})E_{12}$  ,  $E_{12}K = E_{11}\delta(E_{12})$
- $KE_{21} = -\delta(E_{21})E_{11}$  ,  $E_{21}K = E_{21}\delta(E_{11})$
- $KE_{22} = -\delta(E_{21})E_{12}$  ,  $E_{22}K = E_{21}\delta(E_{12})$
- ►  $E_{11}K KE_{11} = E_{11}\delta(E_{11}) + \delta(E_{11})E_{11} = \delta(E_{11}E_{11}) = \delta(E_{11})$
- $E_{12}K KE_{12} = E_{11}\delta(E_{12}) + \delta(E_{11})E_{12} = \delta(E_{11}E_{12}) = \delta(E_{12})$
- $E_{21}K KE_{21} = E_{21}\delta(E_{11}) + \delta(E_{21})E_{11} = \delta(E_{21}E_{11}) = \delta(E_{21})$
- ►  $E_{22}K KE_{22} = E_{21}\delta(E_{12}) + \delta(E_{21})E_{12} = \delta(E_{21}E_{12}) = \delta(E_{22})$  Q.E.D.

$$0 = \delta(1) = \delta(E_{11} + E_{22}) = \delta(E_{11}) + \delta(E_{22})$$

$$= \delta(E_{11}E_{11}) + \delta(E_{21}E_{12})$$

- $= E_{11}\delta(E_{11}) + \delta(E_{11})E_{11} + E_{21}\delta(E_{12}) + \delta(E_{21})E_{12}$
- $= E_{11}\delta(E_{11}) + E_{21}\delta(E_{12}) + \delta(E_{11})E_{11} + \delta(E_{21})E_{12}.$

Let  $K = E_{11}\delta(E_{11}) + E_{21}\delta(E_{12}) = -\delta(E_{11})E_{11} - \delta(E_{21})E_{12}$ . Then

- $KE_{11} = -\delta(E_{11})E_{11}$  ,  $E_{11}K = E_{11}\delta(E_{11})$
- $KE_{12} = -\delta(E_{11})E_{12}$  ,  $E_{12}K = E_{11}\delta(E_{12})$
- $KE_{21} = -\delta(E_{21})E_{11}$  ,  $E_{21}K = E_{21}\delta(E_{11})$
- $KE_{22} = -\delta(E_{21})E_{12}$  ,  $E_{22}K = E_{21}\delta(E_{12})$
- $\blacktriangleright E_{11}K KE_{11} = E_{11}\delta(E_{11}) + \delta(E_{11})E_{11} = \delta(E_{11}E_{11}) = \delta(E_{11})$
- $E_{12}K KE_{12} = E_{11}\delta(E_{12}) + \delta(E_{11})E_{12} = \delta(E_{11}E_{12}) = \delta(E_{12})$
- $E_{21}K KE_{21} = E_{21}\delta(E_{11}) + \delta(E_{21})E_{11} = \delta(E_{21}E_{11}) = \delta(E_{21})$

►  $E_{22}K - KE_{22} = E_{21}\delta(E_{12}) + \delta(E_{21})E_{12} = \delta(E_{21}E_{12}) = \delta(E_{22})$  Q.E.D.

$$0 = \delta(1) = \delta(E_{11} + E_{22}) = \delta(E_{11}) + \delta(E_{22})$$

$$= \delta(E_{11}E_{11}) + \delta(E_{21}E_{12})$$

- $= E_{11}\delta(E_{11}) + \delta(E_{11})E_{11} + E_{21}\delta(E_{12}) + \delta(E_{21})E_{12}$
- $= E_{11}\delta(E_{11}) + E_{21}\delta(E_{12}) + \delta(E_{11})E_{11} + \delta(E_{21})E_{12}.$

Let  $K = E_{11}\delta(E_{11}) + E_{21}\delta(E_{12}) = -\delta(E_{11})E_{11} - \delta(E_{21})E_{12}$ . Then

- $KE_{11} = -\delta(E_{11})E_{11}$  ,  $E_{11}K = E_{11}\delta(E_{11})$
- $KE_{12} = -\delta(E_{11})E_{12}$  ,  $E_{12}K = E_{11}\delta(E_{12})$
- $KE_{21} = -\delta(E_{21})E_{11}$  ,  $E_{21}K = E_{21}\delta(E_{11})$
- $KE_{22} = -\delta(E_{21})E_{12}$  ,  $E_{22}K = E_{21}\delta(E_{12})$
- $E_{11}K KE_{11} = E_{11}\delta(E_{11}) + \delta(E_{11})E_{11} = \delta(E_{11}E_{11}) = \delta(E_{11})$
- $E_{12}K KE_{12} = E_{11}\delta(E_{12}) + \delta(E_{11})E_{12} = \delta(E_{11}E_{12}) = \delta(E_{12})$
- $E_{21}K KE_{21} = E_{21}\delta(E_{11}) + \delta(E_{21})E_{11} = \delta(E_{21}E_{11}) = \delta(E_{21})$
- ►  $E_{22}K KE_{22} = E_{21}\delta(E_{12}) + \delta(E_{21})E_{12} = \delta(E_{21}E_{12}) = \delta(E_{22})$  Q.E.D.

$$H^2(M_2,M_2)=0$$

#### DEFINITION

Let  $\rho$  be a linear transformation on  $M_2.$  We define linear transformations  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$  on  $M_2$  by

$$\sigma_1(A) = E_{11}\rho(E_{11}A) + E_{21}\rho(E_{12}A)$$

and

$$\sigma_2(A) = E_{12}\rho(E_{21}A) + E_{22}\rho(E_{22}A)$$

#### LEMMA 1

 $\sigma_1(A) = A\sigma_1(I)$  and  $\sigma_2(A) = A\sigma_2(I)$ 

We only need  $\sigma_1$  or  $\sigma_2$ , not both. We'll go with  $\sigma_1$ .

# **PROOF OF LEMMA 1** $E_{11}AE_{11} = c_{11}E_{11}, E_{12}AE_{11} = c_{21}E_{11}, E_{11}AE_{21} = c_{12}E_{11}, E_{12}AE_{21} = c_{22}E_{11}$

- ►  $E_{11}A = E_{11}AE_{11}E_{11} + E_{11}AE_{21}E_{12} = c_{11}E_{11} + c_{12}E_{11}E_{12} = c_{11}E_{11} + c_{12}E_{12}$
- ►  $E_{12}A = E_{12}AE_{11}E_{11} + E_{12}AE_{21}E_{12} = c_{21}E_{11} + c_{22}E_{11}E_{12} = c_{21}E_{11} + c_{22}E_{12}$
- $AE_{11} = E_{11}E_{11}AE_{11} + E_{21}E_{12}AE_{11} = c_{11}E_{11} + c_{21}E_{21}E_{11} = c_{11}E_{11} + c_{21}E_{21}$
- $AE_{21} = E_{11}E_{11}AE_{21} + E_{21}E_{12}AE_{21} = c_{12}E_{11} + c_{22}E_{21}E_{11} = c_{12}E_{21} + c_{22}E_{21}$

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_1(A) &= E_{11}\rho(E_{11}A) + E_{21}\rho(E_{12}A) \\ &= E_{11}\rho(c_{11}E_{11} + c_{12}E_{12}) + E_{21}\rho(c_{21}E_{11} + c_{22}E_{12}) \\ &= c_{11}E_{11}\rho(E_{11}) + c_{12}E_{11}\rho(E_{12}) + c_{21}E_{21}\rho(E_{11}) + c_{22}E_{21}\rho(E_{12}) \\ &= (c_{11}E_{11} + c_{21}E_{21})\rho(E_{11}) + (c_{12}E_{11} + c_{22}E_{21})\rho(E_{12}) \\ &= AE_{11}\rho(E_{11}) + AE_{21}\rho(E_{12}) \\ &= A\sigma_1(1) \quad Q.E.D. \end{aligned}$$

## DEFINITION

Let f be a bilinear transformation on  $M_2 \times M_2$ . We define bilinear transformations  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  on  $M_2 \times M_2$  by

$$\tau_1(A,B) = E_{11}f(E_{11}A,B) + E_{21}f(E_{12}A,B)$$

and

$$\tau_2(A,B) = E_{12}f(E_{21}A,B) + E_{22}f(E_{22}A,B)$$

#### LEMMA 2

$$au_1(A,B) = A au_1(I,B)$$
 and  $au_2(A,B) = A au_2(I,B)$ 

We only need  $\tau_1$  or  $\tau_2$ , not both. We'll go with  $\tau_1$ .

#### **PROOF OF LEMMA 2**

For B fixed, let  $\rho(A) = f(A, B)$  and apply LEMMA 1 to this  $\rho$ . Namely, set  $\sigma(A) = E_{11}\rho(E_{11}A) + E_{21}\rho(E_{12}A)$ . Then  $\sigma(A) = \tau_1(A, B)$ . By LEMMA 1,  $\sigma(A) = A\sigma(1)$  and  $\tau_1(A, B) = \sigma(A) = A\sigma(1) = A\tau_1(1, B)$ . Q.E.D.

# **THEOREM 2**

Let f be a 2-cocycle: f is bilinear and

 $T_2f(A, B, C) = Af(B, C) - f(AB, C) + f(A, BC) - f(A, B)C = 0$ 

for all A, B, C in  $M_2$ . Then there exists a linear transformation  $\xi$  on  $M_2$  such that  $T_1\xi = f$ , that is, f is a 2-coboundary.

#### **COROLLARY** 1

 $H^2(M_2,M_2)=0$ 

#### **COROLLARY 2**

It *E* is any associative algebra containing an ideal *J* such that E/J is isomorphic to  $M_2$  (*E* is then said to be an **extension** of  $M_2$ ), then there is a subalgebra *B* of *E* such that  $E = B \oplus M_2$  (*E* is a **split extension**) <sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>There is always a subspace B such that  $E = B \oplus M_2$ 

T

Define a bilinear map  $\tau(A, B) = E_{11}f(E_{11}A, B) + E_{21}f(E_{12}A, B)$  and then define a linear map  $\xi(B) = \tau(1, B)$ . Now just verify that  $T_1(\xi) = f$ . Q.E.D.

#### DETAILS

$$\begin{aligned} {}_{1}\xi(A,B) &= A\xi(B) - \xi(AB) + \xi(A)B \\ &= A\tau(1,B) - \tau(1,AB) + \tau(1,A)B \\ &= \tau(A,B) - \tau(1,AB) + \tau(1,A)B \\ &= E_{11}f(E_{11}A,B) + E_{21}f(E_{12}A,B) \\ &- E_{11}f(E_{11},AB) - E_{21}f(E_{12},AB) \\ &+ E_{11}f(E_{11},A)B + E_{21}f(E_{12},A)B \end{aligned}$$

 $T_2f(E_{11}, A, B) = E_{11}f(A, B) - f(E_{11}A, B) + f(E_{11}, AB) - f(E_{11}, A)B = 0$ 

 $T_2f(E_{12}, A, B) = E_{12}f(A, B) - f(E_{12}A, B) + f(E_{12}, AB) - f(E_{12}, A)B = 0$ 

 $0 = E_{11}T_2f(E_{11}, A, B) + E_{21}T_2f(E_{12}, A, B)$ 

$$0 = E_{11}[E_{11}f(A, B) - f(E_{11}A, B) + f(E_{11}, AB) - f(E_{11}, A)B] + E_{21}[E_{12}f(A, B) - f(E_{12}A, B) + f(E_{12}, AB) - f(E_{12}, A)B]$$

## FROM THE PRECEDING PAGE

$$T_{1}\xi(A,B) = E_{11}f(E_{11}A,B) + E_{21}f(E_{12}A,B) - E_{11}f(E_{11},AB) - E_{21}f(E_{12},AB) + E_{11}f(E_{11},A)B + E_{21}f(E_{12},A)B$$

Add these two equations to get

$$T_1\xi(A,B) = E_{11}f(A,B) + E_{22}f(A,B) = f(A,B)$$
 Q.E.D. (again)