

INTEGRAL MONSKY-WASHNITZER COHOMOLOGY AND THE OVERCONVERGENT DE RHAM-WITT COMPLEX

CHRISTOPHER DAVIS AND DAVID ZUREICK-BROWN

ABSTRACT. In their paper which introduced Monsky-Washnitzer cohomology, Monsky and Washnitzer described conditions under which the definition can be adapted to give *integral* cohomology groups. It seems to be well-known among experts that their construction always gives well-defined integral cohomology groups, but this fact also does not appear to be explicitly written down anywhere. In this paper, we prove that the integral Monsky-Washnitzer cohomology groups are well-defined, for any nonsingular affine variety over a perfect field of characteristic p . We then compare these cohomology groups with overconvergent de Rham-Witt cohomology. It was shown earlier that if the affine variety has small dimension relative to the characteristic of the ground field, then the cohomology groups are isomorphic. We extend this result to show that for any nonsingular affine variety, regardless of dimension, we have an isomorphism between integral Monsky-Washnitzer cohomology and overconvergent de Rham-Witt cohomology in degrees which are small relative to the characteristic.

1. INTRODUCTION

Monsky-Washnitzer cohomology is usually defined rationally, but cases in which it could be defined integrally were already given in Monsky and Washnitzer's original paper [7, Remark 3, p. 205]. The integral definition for arbitrary nonsingular affine varieties (and in particular the fact that it is well-defined) does not seem to be written down formally anywhere, and so we describe it below.

Overconvergent de Rham-Witt cohomology was studied in [1]. It was shown there that, rationally, it agreed with Monsky-Washnitzer cohomology. Conditions were given on the nonsingular affine variety \overline{X} under which the integral cohomology groups were isomorphic [1, Corollary 3.25(a)]. In this paper, we extend the result to show that certain of these cohomology groups are always isomorphic, without any conditions on the nonsingular affine variety \overline{X} . In particular, the integral cohomology groups H^0 and H^1 are always isomorphic.

Our main results are the following. The authors suspect that the first is already known to experts. (See the end of this section for our notation and conventions.)

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\overline{X} = \text{Spec } \overline{A}$ denote a nonsingular affine variety over a perfect field k of characteristic p . Let A^\dagger denote the weak completion of a nonsingular lift to $W(k)$.*

- (1) *The integral Monsky-Washnitzer cohomology groups $H^i(\Omega_{A^\dagger/W(k)}^\bullet)$ are well-defined. More precisely, given two lifts A and A' of \overline{A} , there exists an isomorphism*

$$H^i(\Omega_{A^\dagger/W(k)}^\bullet) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^i(\Omega_{A'^\dagger/W(k)}^\bullet).$$

- (2) *We have an isomorphism*

$$H^i(\Omega_{A^\dagger/W(k)}^\bullet) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^i(W^\dagger \Omega_{\overline{A}}^\bullet)$$

for all $i < p$.

Proof. The proof of (1) is given in [7, Remark 3, p. 205] in the special case that \overline{X} is a complete transversal intersection. For the proof in the general case, see Section 2 below. The key pieces to the proof are the local result of Monsky and Washnitzer just referenced, a result of Meredith concerning sheaf properties of the Monsky-Washnitzer complex, and a Čech spectral sequence argument.

The proof of (2) is given in Section 3. Again the strategy of the proof is to prove the result locally, which we do using results from [1], and then to deduce the result in general using a Čech spectral sequence argument. □

Acknowledgements. This paper answers a question asked by Liang Xiao at the first author's thesis defense. We thank Liang for his interest and for recognizing the opportunity to extend the previous results, and also for a careful reading of an earlier draft. The first author also thanks his earlier coauthors Andreas Langer and Thomas Zink for their support and encouragement. Thanks also to Kiran Kedlaya for many useful conversations and suggestions.

Notation. Let k denote a perfect field of characteristic p and let $W(k)$ denote the ring of p -typical Witt vectors with coefficients in k . By **variety** over k , we mean a separated and integral scheme of finite type over the (perfect but not necessarily algebraically closed) field k . Throughout this paper, let \overline{A} denote the coordinate ring of a nonsingular affine variety over k . We write $\overline{X} = \text{Spec } \overline{A}$.

2. INTEGRAL MONSKY-WASHNITZER COHOMOLOGY

Let $\overline{X} = \text{Spec } \overline{A}$ denote a nonsingular affine variety over the perfect field k of characteristic p . We first reproduce Monsky and Washnitzer's construction of the Monsky-Washnitzer cohomology groups associated to \overline{A} , with the key difference that we never tensor with \mathbb{Q} . Monsky and Washnitzer showed in special cases that these *integral* Monsky-Washnitzer cohomology groups are well-defined; see [7, Remark 3, p. 205]. Here we combine Monsky and Washnitzer's argument with results of Meredith to prove that the integral Monsky-Washnitzer cohomology groups are well-defined in general, i.e., for any nonsingular affine variety \overline{X} , they do not depend on a choice of lift to characteristic 0.

Keep notation as in the previous paragraph. By [2, Theorem 6] we can lift $\text{Spec } \overline{A}$ (in many ways) to an affine variety which is smooth over $\text{Spec } W(k)$. For such a lift $\text{Spec } A$ we define \widehat{A} to be the p -adic completion of A . Following [4, Section 2.2], we define the **weak completion** (or alternatively the **integral dagger algebra**) A^\dagger as the smallest p -adically saturated subring of \widehat{A} containing A and all series of the form

$$\sum_{i_1, \dots, i_n \geq 0} c_{i_1, \dots, i_n} x_1^{i_1} \cdots x_n^{i_n},$$

where $c_{i_1, \dots, i_n} \in W(k)$ and $x_j \in pA^\dagger$. When $A = W(k)[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, A^\dagger is the set of series which converge on a p -adic polydisc of radius strictly greater than one, and in general, by [7, Theorem 2.2], we have the following alternative description of A^\dagger : any surjection $k[x_1, \dots, x_n] \rightarrow \overline{A}$ lifts to a map $W(k)\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle \rightarrow \widehat{A}$, and A^\dagger is the image of $W(k)\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle^\dagger$ (this is independent of the choice of surjection).

Proposition 2.1. *Let $\overline{\varphi}: \overline{A} \rightarrow \overline{B}$ denote a ring homomorphism. Choose A, B , two lifts to characteristic 0 as above, and let A^\dagger, B^\dagger denote the corresponding weak completions. Then there exists a (typically not unique) p -adically continuous ring homomorphism $\varphi: A^\dagger \rightarrow B^\dagger$ lifting $\overline{\varphi}$.*

Proof. First note that A^\dagger is flat over A by [6, Proposition 1.3]. The rest of the assertion is proven in [9, Theorem 2.4.4]. \square

We define the module of continuous relative differentials and the corresponding Monsky-Washnitzer complex as follows. First of all, if $A = W(k)[\overline{x}] := W(k)[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, then $\Omega_{A^\dagger}^1$ is the free A^\dagger -module generated by dx_1, \dots, dx_n . The Monsky-Washnitzer complex $\Omega_{W(k)\langle \overline{x} \rangle^\dagger}^\bullet = \Omega_{W(k)\langle \overline{x} \rangle^\dagger / W(k)}^\bullet$ is determined by the map

$$d: \sum_I c_I x^I \mapsto \sum_I \sum_{j=1}^n i_j c_I \left(\frac{x^I}{x_j} \right) dx_j.$$

In general, given $A \cong W(k)[\overline{x}]/\mathfrak{a}$, $\Omega_{A^\dagger}^1 := \Omega_{A^\dagger / W(k)}^1$ is the quotient of the A^\dagger -module

$$A^\dagger \otimes_{W(k)\langle \overline{x} \rangle^\dagger} \Omega_{W(k)\langle \overline{x} \rangle^\dagger / W(k)}^1$$

by the submodule generated by dr for $r \in \mathfrak{a}$. Define $\Omega_{A^\dagger}^i := \Lambda_{A^\dagger}^i \Omega_{A^\dagger}^1$. Define a complex $\Omega_{A^\dagger}^\bullet$ in the obvious way. Note that this is not the same as the usual deRham complex over the ring A^\dagger , because we have additional *continuity* conditions such as

$$d \left(\sum p^i x^i \right) = \left(\sum ip^i x^{i-1} \right) dx.$$

We now come to the definition of the integral Monsky-Washnitzer cohomology groups. The main result of this section is that they are independent of choice of nonsingular lift from $\text{Spec } \overline{A}$ to $\text{Spec } A$.

Definition 2.2. Let $\text{Spec } \bar{A}$ denote a nonsingular affine variety over k , and let $A^\dagger, \Omega_{A^\dagger/W(k)}^\bullet$ be as above. Define the (integral) Monsky-Washnitzer cohomology groups to be $H_{\text{MW}}^i(\text{Spec } \bar{A}) := H^i(\Omega_{A^\dagger/W(k)}^\bullet)$.

Definition 2.3. Following [7, Definition 3.3], we say that $\text{Spec } \bar{B} \rightarrow \text{Spec } \bar{A}$ is a complete transversal intersection if $\bar{B} \cong \bar{A}[x_1, \dots, x_n]/(\bar{F}_1, \dots, \bar{F}_s)$, where the $s \times s$ subdeterminants of the matrix (\bar{F}_{i,x_j}) generate the unit ideal in \bar{B} .

Proposition 2.4. Let $\text{Spec } \bar{A}$ denote a complete transversal intersection. Let $\text{Spec } A, \text{Spec } A'$ denote two nonsingular lifts of $\text{Spec } \bar{A}$, and let A^\dagger, A'^\dagger denote the corresponding dagger algebras. Let $\varphi: A^\dagger \rightarrow A'^\dagger$ denote a map lifting the identity on \bar{A} , as in Proposition 2.1. Then the induced map

$$\varphi: \Omega_{A^\dagger/W(k)}^\bullet \rightarrow \Omega_{A'^\dagger/W(k)}^\bullet$$

is a quasi-isomorphism.

Proof. See [7, Remark 3, p. 205]. □

Our goal is to prove well-definedness of integral Monsky-Washnitzer cohomology. Proposition 2.4 proved the result in a special case. We will check now that this can be reinterpreted as a local result. In order to “glue”, we will need certain sheaf properties which are provided by the work of Meredith.

Lemma 2.5. Let \bar{X} denote a nonsingular affine variety over k . Then \bar{X} can be covered by finitely many complete transversal intersections in such a way that all finite intersections are also complete transversal intersections.

Proof. We can cover \bar{X} by complete transversal intersections by the Jacobian criterion. It is well-known (often under the name Nike’s Lemma) that we can cover \bar{X} by open affines which are distinguished opens in both \bar{X} and in the complete transversal intersections. The result now follows from the fact that a distinguished open within a complete transversal intersection is itself a complete transversal intersection. □

Lemma 2.6. Let $\text{Spec } \bar{A}$ denote a nonsingular affine variety over k and let A^\dagger be an associated dagger algebra as above. Then $\Omega_{A^\dagger/W(k)}^i$ is a finitely generated A^\dagger -module for any i .

Proof. See [7, Theorem 4.5]. □

Definition 2.7. Let $\mathcal{F}_{A^\dagger}^i$ denote the presheaf on $\text{Spec } \bar{A}$ associated to $\Omega_{A^\dagger/W(k)}^i$ as in [6, Definition 4].

Remark 2.8. Note that the presheaf $\mathcal{F}_{A^\dagger}^i$ defined in Definition 2.7 depends on A^\dagger , not only on \bar{A} .

Lemma 2.9. The presheaf $\mathcal{F}_{A^\dagger}^i$ defined above is a sheaf. For any i and for any $j > 0$, the sheaf cohomology $H^j(\text{Spec } \bar{A}, \mathcal{F}_{A^\dagger}^i) = 0$.

Proof. This follows from Lemma 2.6 and [6, Theorem 14]. □

Lemma 2.10. For any nonsingular $\text{Spec } \bar{A}$, we have an isomorphism between the cohomology groups of the Monsky-Washnitzer complex $H^i(\Omega_{A^\dagger/W(k)}^\bullet)$ and the hypercohomology groups $\mathbb{H}^i(\text{Spec } \bar{A}, \mathcal{F}_{A^\dagger}^\bullet)$.

Proof. By Lemma 2.9, $H^j(\text{Spec } \bar{A}, \mathcal{F}_{A^\dagger}^i) = 0$; the result thus follows from the hypercohomology spectral sequence [10, Application 5.7.10]. □

Lemma 2.11. Fix $n \geq 0$. Let $f: E \rightarrow \tilde{E}$ be a morphism of first quadrant spectral sequences such that the induced map $f: E_2^{p,q} \rightarrow \tilde{E}_2^{p,q}$ is an isomorphism for all p and all $q \leq n$. Then the induced map $E_\infty^{p+q} \rightarrow \tilde{E}_\infty^{p+q}$ is an isomorphism for $p+q \leq n$.

Proof. For each i and $p+q \leq n$, the hypotheses and an inspection of the domain and codomain of the differentials imply that the map $E_i^{p,q} \rightarrow \tilde{E}_i^{p,q}$ is an isomorphism. □

Remark 2.12. The condition in Lemma 2.11 concerning bounds on the index q will not be needed until Section 3.

To deduce a global result from our local results, we will need the following Čech spectral sequence for computing the hypercohomology of a complex of sheaves.

Proposition 2.13. *Let X denote a topological space, let \mathcal{U} denote an open covering of X , and let \mathcal{F}^\bullet denote a complex of sheaves. Let \mathcal{H}^q denote the presheaf on X for which $\mathcal{H}^q(U) := \mathbb{H}^q(U, \mathcal{F}^\bullet)$. Then there is a first quadrant spectral sequence with*

$$E_2^{p,q} := \check{H}^p(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{H}^q)$$

and converging to $\mathbb{H}^{p+q}(X, \mathcal{F}^\bullet)$.

Proof. See [8, Tag 01GY]. □

We now come to the proof that integral Monsky-Washnitzer cohomology is well-defined.

Proof of Theorem 1.1(1). By Proposition 2.1, there exists a map $\varphi: A^\dagger \rightarrow A'^\dagger$ lifting the identity on \bar{A} . Fix a covering \mathcal{U} of $\text{Spec } \bar{A}$ as in Lemma 2.5. Let E (resp., E') denote the spectral sequence in Proposition 2.13 corresponding to the covering \mathcal{U} and the complex of sheaves $\mathcal{F}_{A^\dagger}^\bullet$ (resp., $\mathcal{F}_{A'^\dagger}^\bullet$). By Proposition 2.4, the map φ induces a map of spectral sequences and isomorphisms $E_2^{p,q} \xrightarrow{\sim} E'_2{}^{p,q}$ for all p, q . Then by Lemma 2.11 the map φ induces an isomorphism $E_\infty^{p+q} \xrightarrow{\sim} E'_\infty{}^{p+q}$. Hence the induced map

$$\varphi: \mathbb{H}^{p+q}(X, \mathcal{F}_{A^\dagger}^\bullet) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^{p+q}(X, \mathcal{F}_{A'^\dagger}^\bullet)$$

is an isomorphism. We are then finished by Lemma 2.10. □

3. COMPARISON WITH OVERCONVERGENT DE RHAM-WITT COHOMOLOGY

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.1(2). As in Section 2, let $\bar{X} = \text{Spec } \bar{A}$ denote a nonsingular affine variety over a perfect field k of characteristic p . We will first define a (non-canonical) comparison morphism between the integral Monsky-Washnitzer complex $\Omega_{A^\dagger/W(k)}^\bullet$ and the overconvergent de Rham-Witt complex $W^\dagger \Omega_{\bar{A}}^\bullet$. We will then find a covering of \bar{X} over which this comparison morphism induces an isomorphism of certain cohomology groups. Lastly we will use Proposition 2.13 and Lemma 2.11 to deduce the result for \bar{X} .

Let $\text{Spec } \bar{A}$ denote a nonsingular affine variety, and let A^\dagger denote a weakly complete lift of \bar{A} , as in Section 2. By Proposition 2.1, there exists a lift of Frobenius $F: A^\dagger \rightarrow A^\dagger$.

Proposition 3.1. *Let \bar{A} , A^\dagger , F be as above. There exists a unique ring homomorphism*

$$s_F: A^\dagger \rightarrow W(A^\dagger)$$

such that, for any $a \in A^\dagger$, the ghost components of $s_F(a)$ are $(a, F(a), F^2(a), \dots)$. This map is functorial in the sense that if we have \bar{A}' , A'^\dagger , F' as above and a map φ such that the left-hand square in the following diagram commutes, then the right-hand square also commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A^\dagger & \xrightarrow{F} & A^\dagger & \xrightarrow{s_F} & W(A^\dagger) \\ \downarrow \varphi & & \downarrow \varphi & & \downarrow W(\varphi) \\ A'^\dagger & \xrightarrow{F'} & A'^\dagger & \xrightarrow{s_{F'}} & W(A'^\dagger) \end{array}$$

Proof. See [3, (0.1.3.16)]. □

Definition 3.2. Keep notation as above. Let $W^\dagger \Omega_{\bar{A}}^\bullet$ denote the overconvergent de Rham-Witt complex, as in [1, Definition 1.1] and let $W^\dagger \Omega_{\bar{X}}^\bullet$ denote the associated complex of Zariski sheaves on X as in [1, Corollary 1.6]. Write t_F for the composition $A^\dagger \xrightarrow{s_F} W(A^\dagger) \rightarrow W^\dagger(\bar{A})$, as in [1, Proposition 3.2]. Also write t_F for the induced map $\Omega_{A^\dagger/W(k)}^\bullet \rightarrow W^\dagger \Omega_{\bar{A}}^\bullet$.

Proposition 3.3. *Let \bar{A} , A^\dagger , F be as above and let $\mathcal{F}_{A^\dagger}^\bullet$ be as in Definition 2.7. Then the map t_F defined in Definition 3.2 induces a map on complexes of Zariski sheaves*

$$t_F: \mathcal{F}_{A^\dagger}^\bullet \rightarrow W^\dagger \Omega_{\bar{X}}^\bullet.$$

Proof. Because the maps on complexes are determined by the maps in degree zero, it suffices to prove this in degree zero. For any $f \in A^\dagger$, let $A_{[f]}^\dagger$ denote the weak completion of the localization A_f^\dagger , as in [6, Definition 2.1]. The p -adically continuous ring homomorphism $F: A^\dagger \rightarrow A^\dagger$ extends uniquely to a p -adically continuous ring homomorphism $A_{[f]}^\dagger \rightarrow A_{[f]}^\dagger$. Hence we are finished by the functoriality assertion in Proposition 3.1. \square

Our goal is to show that the comparison map t_F defined above induces an isomorphism between (certain) integral Monsky-Washnitzer cohomology groups and (certain) overconvergent de Rham-Witt cohomology groups. This result will generalize [1, Corollary 3.25(a)], which states that if the dimension of $\text{Spec } \bar{A}$ is small relative to the characteristic p , then these comparison maps induce isomorphisms between (all) integral Monsky-Washnitzer cohomology groups and (all) overconvergent de Rham-Witt cohomology groups.

Our strategy for showing that these comparison maps induce isomorphisms in certain degrees will be to prove the result locally, and then deduce the result in general using the Čech spectral sequence. The Čech covering of \bar{X} we use will typically be finer than the covering used in Section 2.

Definition 3.4. An affine variety is called **special** if it is a distinguished open inside a scheme which is finite étale over affine space.

Proposition 3.5. *Let \bar{X} denote a nonsingular affine variety over k . Then \bar{X} can be covered by finitely many special affines in such a way that all finite intersections are also special.*

Proof. The fact that \bar{X} can be covered by special affines comes from [5]. Note that a distinguished open inside of a special affine is also a special affine. We are now finished as in the proof of Lemma 2.5. \square

Lemma 3.6. *Let \bar{X} denote a nonsingular affine variety over k . Then \bar{X} can be covered by finitely many open affines which are both special and complete transversal intersections, and in such a way that any finite intersection is also both special and a complete transversal intersection.*

Proof. Begin by finding two separate coverings, one as in Proposition 3.5 and one as in Lemma 2.5. These are both coverings by distinguished opens; in one case the distinguished opens are special affines and in the other case they are complete transversal intersections. Because distinguished opens of special affines (resp., complete transversal intersections) are special affines (resp., complete transversal intersections), intersecting these two coverings completes the proof. \square

The following proposition asserts that integral Monsky-Washnitzer cohomology is isomorphic to overconvergent de Rham-Witt cohomology in the particular case that $\bar{X} = \text{Spec } \bar{A}$ is a special affine. It makes use of a certain comparison map σ defined in [1]; the definition depends on a choice of presentation of \bar{A} .

Proposition 3.7. *Let $\bar{X} = \text{Spec } \bar{A}$ denote a special affine. Fix both a weakly complete lift A^\dagger and a comparison map*

$$\sigma: \Omega_{A^\dagger/W(k)}^\bullet \rightarrow W^\dagger \Omega_{\bar{A}}^\bullet$$

as in [1, (3.5)]. For all i , the induced map

$$\sigma: H^i(\Omega_{A^\dagger}^\bullet) \rightarrow H^i(W^\dagger \Omega_{\bar{A}}^\bullet)$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. See [1, Theorem 3.19]. \square

Note that Proposition 3.7 asserts an isomorphism between the cohomology groups in all degrees, but it requires a particular choice of comparison map σ . For \bar{X} arbitrary, not necessarily special affine, there is not an obvious analogue of σ . For this reason, below we will take for our comparison map t_F , as in Definition 3.2. Upon restricting to a special affine, we are only able to prove that the maps induced on cohomology by σ and t_F agree in suitably small degrees. This explains the restriction on degree in Theorem 1.1(2).

Proposition 3.8. *Let $\bar{X} = \text{Spec } \bar{A}$ denote a special affine. Fix a weakly complete lift A^\dagger as in Section 2, and fix a lift of Frobenius $F: A^\dagger \rightarrow A^\dagger$. Let*

$$t_F: \Omega_{A^\dagger/W(k)}^\bullet \rightarrow W^\dagger \Omega_{\bar{A}}^\bullet$$

be the comparison morphism from Definition 3.2. Then for all $i < p$, the induced map

$$t_F: H^i(\Omega_{A^\dagger/W(k)}^\bullet) \rightarrow H^i(W^\dagger\Omega_{\bar{A}}^\bullet)$$

is the same as the isomorphism σ from Proposition 3.7. In particular, the maps on cohomology induced by t_F are independent of the choice of Frobenius lift F .

Proof. We deduce this from the proof of [1, Proposition 3.27] as follows. Let

$$\phi_1, \phi_2: \Omega_{A^\dagger/W(k)}^\bullet \rightarrow W^\dagger\Omega_{\bar{A}}^\bullet$$

denote two morphisms of differential graded algebras which induce the identity map on \bar{A} ; we will apply this to the two maps σ and t_F . Choose $i < p$ and let $\omega \in \Omega_{A^\dagger/W(k)}^i$ denote a cocycle. We would like to show that $\phi_1(\omega) - \phi_2(\omega)$ is a coboundary in $W^\dagger\Omega_{\bar{A}}^i$.

We claim that for $i < p$ and for the maps φ, L defined in the proof of [1, Proposition 3.27], the map

$$L \circ \varphi: \Omega_{A^\dagger/W(k)}^i \rightarrow W^\dagger\Omega_{\bar{A}}^{i-1}$$

is such that

$$d(L \circ \varphi(\omega)) = \phi_1(\omega) - \phi_2(\omega).$$

By the proof of [1, Proposition 3.27], we need only to check that the map $L \circ \varphi$ does indeed have image in $W^\dagger\Omega_{\bar{A}}^{i-1}$. (The proof in [1] shows this only after multiplying by p^κ , for $\kappa = \lfloor \log_p \dim \bar{X} \rfloor$.) By [1, Lemma 3.31(i)] and the definition of L , we are reduced to proving that if $\omega_j \in W^\dagger\Omega_{\bar{A}}^{i-1}$ is divisible by $p^{\max(j-i+1, 0)}$ for some $j \geq 0$, then

$$\frac{\omega_j}{j+1} \in W^\dagger\Omega_{\bar{A}}^{i-1}.$$

If $\max(j-i+1, 0) = 0$, then $j+1 \leq i < p$, and the result is trivial, since $j+1$ is a unit in $W(k)$. So it remains to consider the case $\max(j-i+1, 0) = j-i+1 > 0$. The first case in which this occurs is $j = i$. Recalling that $i < p$, we are finished in this case because $i-i+1 = 1 \geq \log_p(i+1)$. The remaining cases are also easy, because $j-i+1$ grows faster with j than $\log_p(j+1)$. \square

We are now ready to prove the second half of our main theorem.

Proof of Theorem 1.1(2). Fix a weakly complete lift A^\dagger of A , and a lift of Frobenius $F: A^\dagger \rightarrow A^\dagger$. Let $\mathcal{F}_{A^\dagger}^\bullet$ denote the complex of sheaves associated to A^\dagger as in Definition 2.7. Let

$$t_F: \mathcal{F}_{A^\dagger/W(k)}^\bullet \rightarrow W^\dagger\Omega_{\bar{A}}^\bullet$$

denote the morphism of sheaves, as in Proposition 3.3. Now choose a distinguished open covering \mathcal{U} of $\text{Spec } \bar{A}$ as in Lemma 3.6. Let E (resp., E') denote the spectral sequence in Proposition 2.13 corresponding to the covering \mathcal{U} and the complex of sheaves $\mathcal{F}_{A^\dagger}^\bullet$ (resp., $W^\dagger\Omega_{\bar{X}}^\bullet$). Let U_I denote an arbitrary finite intersection of opens $U_\alpha \in \mathcal{U}$ and consider the induced map on cohomology

$$t_F: \mathbb{H}^i(U_I, \mathcal{F}_{A^\dagger}^\bullet) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^i(U_I, W^\dagger\Omega_{\bar{A}}^\bullet).$$

By Lemma 2.9 (resp., [1, Proposition 1.2(b)]) the individual sheaves in the complex $\mathcal{F}_{A^\dagger}^\bullet$ (resp., $W^\dagger\Omega_{\bar{X}}^\bullet$) have trivial sheaf cohomology. Hence by Lemma 2.10 and Proposition 3.8, the maps t_F above are isomorphisms for $i < p = \text{Char } \bar{A}$. (Our reason to avoid the letter p will be clear in the next paragraph.)

Thus t_F induces a map of spectral sequences and isomorphisms $E_2^{p,q} \xrightarrow{\sim} E_2'^{p,q}$ for all p and all $q < \text{Char } \bar{A}$. Then by Lemma 2.11 the map t_F induces an isomorphism $E_\infty^{p+q} \xrightarrow{\sim} E_\infty'^{p+q}$ for $p+q < \text{Char } \bar{A}$. Hence the induced map

$$t_F: \mathbb{H}^{p+q}(X, \mathcal{F}_{A^\dagger}^\bullet) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^{p+q}(X, W^\dagger\Omega_{\bar{X}}^\bullet)$$

is an isomorphism for $p+q < \text{Char } \bar{A}$. We are finished by Lemma 2.10. \square

Remark 3.9. It would be interesting to have an example in which there is not an integral isomorphism between Monsky-Washnitzer cohomology and overconvergent de Rham-Witt cohomology (or to prove that no such example exists). By Theorem 1.1, the dimension of $\text{Spec } \bar{A}$ in such an example would have to be at least the characteristic p . Perhaps the first class to consider when seeking such an example would be the two-dimensional affine varieties over \mathbb{F}_2 .

REFERENCES

- [1] Christopher Davis, Andreas Langer, and Thomas Zink. Overconvergent de Rham-Witt cohomology. *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4)*, 44(2):197–262, 2011. 1, 1, 3.2, 3, 3, 3.7, 3, 3
- [2] Renée Elkik. Solutions d'équations à coefficients dans un anneau hensélien. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 6:553–603 (1974), 1973. 2
- [3] Luc Illusie. Complexe de de Rham-Witt et cohomologie cristalline. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 12(4):501–661, 1979. 3
- [4] Kiran S. Kedlaya. Computing zeta functions via p -adic cohomology. In *Algorithmic number theory*, volume 3076 of *Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci.*, pages 1–17. Springer, Berlin, 2004. 2
- [5] Kiran S. Kedlaya. More étale covers of affine spaces in positive characteristic. *J. Algebraic Geom.*, 14(1):187–192, 2005. 3
- [6] David Meredith. Weak formal schemes. *Nagoya Math. J.*, 45:1–38, 1972. 2, 2.7, 2, 3
- [7] P. Monsky and G. Washnitzer. Formal cohomology. I. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 88:181–217, 1968. 1, 1, 2, 2.3, 2, 2
- [8] The Stacks Project Authors. *Stacks Project*. <http://stacks.math.columbia.edu>. 2
- [9] Marius van der Put. The cohomology of Monsky and Washnitzer. *Mém. Soc. Math. France (N.S.)*, (23):4, 33–59, 1986. *Introductions aux cohomologies p -adiques* (Luminy, 1984). 2
- [10] Charles A. Weibel. *An introduction to homological algebra*, volume 38 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994. 2

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, IRVINE, DEPT OF MATHEMATICS, IRVINE, CA 92697
E-mail address: davis@math.uci.edu

DEPT. OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, EMORY UNIVERSITY, ATLANTA, GA 30322
E-mail address: dz@mathcs.emory.edu