

---

**ORDINARY  
DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS**

---

**A Description of Exponent Sets of a Solution  
of a Pfaffian Linear System  
with Trivial Characteristic Sets**

**E. N. Krupchik**

*Belarus State University, Minsk, Belarus*

Received December 31, 2003

We consider the Pfaffian linear system

$$\partial x / \partial t_i = A_i(t)x, \quad x \in R^n, \quad t = (t_1, t_2) \in R_{>1}^2, \quad i = 1, 2, \quad (1)$$

with bounded continuously differentiable matrix functions  $A_i(t)$  satisfying the complete integrability condition [1, pp. 43–44; 2, pp. 21–24]

$$\partial A_1(t) / \partial t_2 + A_1(t)A_2(t) = \partial A_2(t) / \partial t_1 + A_2(t)A_1(t), \quad t \in R_{>1}^2.$$

Let  $\Lambda_x = \{\lambda[x]\}$  and  $P_x = \{p[x]\}$  be the characteristic set [3] and the lower characteristic set [4], respectively, of a nontrivial solution  $x : R_{>1}^2 \rightarrow R^n \setminus \{0\}$  of system (1). On the basis of the definition of the Demidovich characteristic exponent [5] of a solution of an ordinary differential system, the upper characteristic exponent  $\bar{d} = \bar{d}_x(\lambda) \in R^2$  and the lower characteristic exponent  $\underline{d} = \underline{d}_x(p) \in R^2$  of the solution  $x$  were introduced in [6, 7] for a characteristic vector  $\lambda \in \Lambda_x$  and a lower characteristic vector  $p \in P_x$ ; they are given by the conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\ln}_x(\lambda, \bar{d}) &\equiv \overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln \|x(t)\| - (\lambda, t) - (\bar{d}, \ln t)}{\|\ln t\|} = 0, \\ \ln t &\equiv (\ln t_1, \ln t_2) \in R_+^2, \\ \bar{\ln}_x(\lambda, \bar{d} - \varepsilon e_i) &> 0 \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \\ \underline{\ln}_x(p, \underline{d}) &\equiv \underline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln \|x(t)\| - (p, t) - (\underline{d}, \ln t)}{\|\ln t\|} = 0, \\ \underline{\ln}_x(p, \underline{d} + \varepsilon e_i) &< 0 \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0, \quad i = 1, 2. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

In these papers, the individual upper exponent set  $\bar{D}_x(\lambda) = \{\bar{d}_x(\lambda)\}$  and lower exponent set  $\underline{D}_x(p) = \{\underline{d}_x(p)\}$  were also introduced.

By  $\lambda', \lambda'' \in \Lambda_x$ ,  $\lambda'_1 \leq \lambda_1 \leq \lambda''_1$  for all  $\lambda \in \Lambda_x$  (respectively,  $p', p'' \in P_x$ ,  $p'_1 \leq p_1 \leq p''_1$  for all  $p \in P_x$ ) we denote the left and right boundary points, respectively, of the characteristic set  $\Lambda_x$  (respectively, the lower characteristic set  $P_x$ ) of a solution  $x \neq 0$  of system (1).

In the case of a nontrivial characteristic set  $\Lambda_x$ ,  $\lambda' \neq \lambda''$ , and a nontrivial lower characteristic set  $P_x$ ,  $p' \neq p''$ , of a solution  $x \neq 0$  of system (1), the following results were obtained: the individual interior exponent set  $\bar{D}_x(\lambda)$ ,  $\lambda \in (\lambda', \lambda'')$ , and the lower exponent sets  $\underline{D}_x(p)$ ,  $p \in (p', p'')$ , of this solution were completely described in [7]; separate complete descriptions of the left boundary upper exponent set  $\bar{D}_x(\lambda')$ , the right boundary upper exponent set  $\bar{D}_x(\lambda'')$ , the left boundary lower exponent set  $\underline{D}_x(p')$ , and the right boundary lower exponent set  $\underline{D}_x(p'')$  were given in [8, 9]; a joint description of all boundary exponent sets of a solution  $x \neq 0$  of system (1) was obtained in [10, 11].

As to the trivial characteristic set  $\Lambda_x$ ,  $\lambda' = \lambda'' \equiv \lambda^0$ , and the trivial lower characteristic set  $P_x$ ,  $p' = p'' \equiv p^0$ , of a solution  $x \neq 0$  of system (1), necessary properties of the upper exponent

set  $\bar{D}_x \equiv \bar{D}_x(\lambda^0)$  and the lower exponent set  $\underline{D}_x \equiv \underline{D}_x(p^0)$  were obtained in [8]; more precisely, it was shown that the nonempty upper exponent set  $\bar{D}_x$  (respectively, the lower exponent set  $\underline{D}_x$ ) of this solution is a continuous closed decreasing convex (respectively, concave) curve on the two-dimensional plane. In the present paper, we prove the sufficiency of these necessary properties and hence obtain a complete description of exponent sets of a solution  $x \neq 0$  of system (1) with trivial characteristic sets.

**Theorem 1.** *For each positive integer  $n$ , each continuous closed decreasing concave curve  $D$  on the two-dimensional plane, and each point  $p^0 \in R^2$ , there exists a completely integrable  $n$ -dimensional system (1) with infinitely differentiable bounded coefficients such that every solution  $x : R_{>1}^2 \rightarrow R^n \setminus \{0\}$  of this system has the trivial lower characteristic set  $P_x = \{p^0\}$  and the lower exponent set  $\underline{D}_x$  coincides with the curve  $D$ .*

**Proof.** Note that to prove this theorem, it suffices to construct a one-dimensional completely integrable Pfaffian equation

$$\partial x / \partial t_i = a_i(t)x, \quad x \in R, \quad t \in R_{>1}^2, \quad i = 1, 2, \tag{1_1}$$

with infinitely differentiable bounded coefficients  $a_i(t)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , and the desired lower characteristic and exponent sets of every nontrivial solution.

We construct the desired equation (1<sub>1</sub>) by constructing its nontrivial solution. We define the solution  $x$  by the relation  $x = \phi\psi$ , where  $\ln \phi(t) = (p^0, t)$ ,  $t \in R_{>1}^2$ . We construct the function  $\psi$  so as to ensure that the lower characteristic set  $P_x$  of the solution  $x$  coincides with the lower characteristic set  $P_\phi = \{p^0\}$  of the function  $\phi$  and the lower exponent set  $\underline{D}_x$  coincides with the curve  $D$ .

It follows from the properties of the curve  $D$  that it can have one of the following ten possible forms: it can be

- (1) unbounded on the left, on the right, and below and bounded above;
- (2) unbounded on the left, above, on the right, and below;
- (3) bounded on the left and above and unbounded on the right and below;
- (4) unbounded below, on the left, and above and bounded on the right;
- (5) bounded below and on the right and unbounded on the left and above;
- (6) bounded on the right, below, and above and unbounded on the left;
- (7) bounded above, on the left, and on the right and unbounded below;
- (8) bounded above and on the right and unbounded below and on the left;
- (9) bounded above, below, on the left, and on the right;
- (10) a singleton.

**1.** First, we suppose that the curve  $D$  has one of the forms (1)–(9). Then to construct a function  $\psi$  implementing the lower exponent set  $\underline{D}_x = D$ , we introduce the following partition of the curve  $D$ .

**1.1. Partition of the curve  $D$ .** If the curve  $D$  has the form (1) or (2), then its  $l$ th partition  $D_l$ ,  $l \in N$ , consists of points  $\Delta(i, l) \in D$  with the first components

$$\Delta_1(i, l) = (i \times 2^{1-l} - l) \gamma, \quad i \in \{1, 2, \dots, l \times 2^l\} \equiv I_l;$$

in the case of a curve  $D$  of the form (3) with the left boundary point  $\Delta' \in D$ , its  $l$ th partition  $D_l$  contains only points  $\Delta(i, l) \in D$  with the first components  $\Delta_1(i, l) = \Delta'_1 + i\gamma \times 2^{-l}$ ,  $i \in I_l$ .

But if the curve  $D$  has the form (4), then the  $l$ th partition  $D_l = \bigcup_{i \in I_l} \{\Delta(i, l)\} \subset D$  consists of the points  $\Delta(i, l) \in D$  with the second components  $\Delta_2(i, l) = (i \times 2^{1-l} - l) \gamma$ ,  $i \in I_l$ .

In cases (5) and (6) of the curve  $D$  with right boundary point  $\Delta'' \in D$ , as well as in case (8) of the curve  $D$  with the vertical asymptote  $d_1 = \Delta''_1$ , the  $l$ th partition  $D_l$  of this curve consists of points  $\Delta(i, l) \in D$  with the first components  $\Delta_1(i, l) = \Delta''_1 - i\gamma \times 2^{-l}$ ,  $i \in I_l$ .

In case (7) of the curve  $D$  with the left boundary point  $\Delta' \in D$ , its  $l$ th partition  $D_l$  contains only points  $\Delta(i, l) \in D$  with second components  $\Delta_2(i, l) = \Delta'_2 - i\gamma \times 2^{-l}$ ,  $i \in I_l$ .

If the curve  $D$  has one of the forms (1)–(8), then we set  $i_l \equiv l \times 2^l$  for the last element of the set  $I_l$ .

In case (9) of the curve  $D$  with the left boundary point  $\Delta' \in D$  and the right boundary point  $\Delta'' \in D$ , its  $l$ th partition  $D_l$  consists of points  $\Delta(i, l) \in D$  with the first components  $\Delta_1(i, l) = \Delta'_1 + (\Delta''_1 - \Delta'_1) i \times 2^{-(l+1)}$ ,  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, 2^{l+1} - 1\}$ . In this case, the set  $I_l$  is defined as  $\{1, 2, \dots, 2^{l+1} - 1\}$ , and we set  $i_l \equiv 2^{l+1} - 1$ .

In each case, by continuing the partition of the curve  $D$  infinitely, we obtain a countable set  $D_\infty = \bigcup_{l \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{i \in I_l} \{\Delta(i, l)\} \subset D$ , which is dense everywhere on the curve  $D$ .

Note that the partitions  $D_l$  of the curve  $D$  satisfy the inclusion  $D_l \subset D_{l+1}$ ,  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**1.2. Construction of the solution.** At each  $i$ th point  $\Delta(i, l) \in D$ ,  $i \in I_l$ , of the  $l$ th partition,  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ , we draw some support line  $d_2 - \Delta_2(i, l) = k(i, l) (d_1 - \Delta_1(i, l))$ ,  $k(i, l) \in (-\infty, 0)$ ,  $(d_1, d_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ , to  $D$  that does not lie below  $D$ . The existence of such a support line follows from the concavity of  $D$ , its decay, and the fact that, by definition, all points  $\Delta(i, l)$  of each  $l$ th partition  $D_l$  are interior points of this curve. At each point  $d \in D_\infty$  of an arbitrary finite partition of  $D$ , we draw the same support line to prove the existence of a sequence implementing the limit  $\underline{\ln}_x(p^0, d)$  occurring in the definition of the lower characteristic exponent.

We introduce the following notation:

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_{i,l} &\equiv 1/|k(i, l)|, & i \in I_l, & \quad \Theta_l \equiv \max_{i \in I_l} \{\Theta_{i,l}\}, & \quad \Omega_l \equiv \min_{i \in I_l} \{\Theta_{i,l}\}, \\ \Delta_1(l) &\equiv \max_{i \in I_l} \{|\Delta(i, l)|\}, & \Delta_2(l) &\equiv 2^{-l} \|\Delta(i_l, l) - \Delta(1, l)\|^{-1}, & \quad l \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned}$$

To match different infinitely differentiable functions with the preservation of their infinite differentiability, we use the infinitely differentiable functions

$$\begin{aligned} e_{101}(\tau; \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3) &= e_{01}(\tau; \alpha_2, \alpha_3) + [1 - e_{01}(\tau; \alpha_1, \alpha_2)], \\ e_{0110}(\tau; \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4) &= e_{01}(\tau; \alpha_1, \alpha_2) (1 - e_{01}(\tau; \alpha_3, \alpha_4)), \end{aligned}$$

$\alpha_1 < \alpha_2 < \alpha_3 < \alpha_4$ ,  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ , constructed on the basis of the function [12]

$$e_{01}(\tau; \tau_1, \tau_2) = \begin{cases} \exp \left\{ -(\tau - \tau_1)^{-2} \exp \left[ -(\tau_2 - \tau)^{-2} \right] \right\} & \text{for } \tau \in (\tau_1, \tau_2) \\ [1 + \operatorname{sgn}(\tau - 2^{-1}(\tau_1 + \tau_2))]/2 & \text{for } \tau \notin (\tau_1, \tau_2), \\ -\infty < \tau_1 < \tau_2 < +\infty. \end{cases}$$

We introduce the functions

$$\begin{aligned} \ln \psi_{i,l}(t) &\equiv (\Delta(i, l), \ln t) e_{0110} \left( \frac{\ln t_2}{\ln t_1}; \Theta_{i,l} - \frac{5\tau_l}{4}, \Theta_{i,l} - \tau_l, \Theta_{i,l} + \tau_l, \Theta_{i,l} + \frac{5\tau_l}{4} \right) \\ &\quad + \|\ln t\|^2 e_{101} \left( \frac{\ln t_2}{\ln t_1}; \Theta_{i,l} - \tau_l, \Theta_{i,l}, \Theta_{i,l} + \tau_l \right), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}_{>1}^2, \quad i \in I_l, \quad l \in \mathbb{N}, \\ \tau_l &\equiv \min \left\{ \frac{1}{2}; \frac{\Omega_l}{2}, \Delta_2(l) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from the form of the function  $\psi_{i,l}$  that there exists a number  $T_l \geq 1$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \ln \psi_{i,l}(t) - (d, \ln t) &\geq 0, & t \in \mathbb{R}_{>1}^2 \setminus S(i, l), \\ S(i, l) &\equiv \left\{ t \in \mathbb{R}_{>1}^2 : \left| \frac{\ln t_2}{\ln t_1} - \Theta_{i,l} \right| \leq \tau_l \right\}, \\ \|t\| &\geq T_l, & d \in D_l, \quad i \in I_l. \end{aligned}$$

We split the domain of the solution  $x : R_{>1}^2 \rightarrow R \setminus \{0\}$  to be constructed into disjoint strips by the lines  $\zeta(t) \equiv t_1 + t_2 = \text{const}$ . To this end, on the basis of some values  $\eta_l \geq T_1$  and  $c \geq \exp(100)$ , we introduce the numbers

$$\nu_l = c (\Theta_l^6 + \Omega_l^{-2}) (\Delta_1^2(l) + 1) \exp(c\tau_l^{-2}), \quad \alpha_{i,l} = \left( \eta_l + \nu_l^{4(\Theta_l + \Omega_l^{-1})} \right) \exp(\exp i),$$

$$\beta_{i,l} = e^2 \alpha_{i,l}, \quad i \in I_l, \text{ and } \eta_{l+1} = \beta_{i,l} + T_{l+1} + 2^l, \quad l \in N.$$

We introduce the “basic” strips

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi(i, l) &= \{t \in R_{>1}^2 : \beta_{i,l} \leq \zeta(t) \equiv t_1 + t_2 \leq \alpha_{i+1,l}\}, \quad i \in I_l \setminus \{i_l\} \equiv I_l^1, \\ \Pi(i, l) &= \{t \in R_{>1}^2 : \beta_{i,l} \leq \zeta(t) \leq \alpha_{1,l+1}\}, \quad l \in N, \end{aligned}$$

the “transition” strips  $P(i, l) = \{t \in R_{>1}^2 : \alpha_{i,l} < \zeta(t) < \beta_{i,l}\}$ ,  $i \in I_l$ ,  $l \in N$ , and the triangle  $T = \{t \in R_{>1}^2 : \zeta(t) \leq \alpha_{1,1}\}$ .

Let us proceed to the construction of the function  $\psi$  used in the implementation of the desired lower exponent set  $\underline{D}_x = D$ . We first introduce the auxiliary function  $\tilde{\psi}$  given by the relations

$$\begin{aligned} \ln \tilde{\psi}(t) &= \ln \psi_{i,l}(t) + [\ln \psi_{i+1,l}(t) - \ln \psi_{i,l}(t)] e_{01}(\ln \zeta(t); \ln \alpha_{i+1,l}, \ln \beta_{i+1,l}), \\ &\quad t \in \Pi(i, l) \cup P(i+1, l) \cup \Pi(i+1, l), \quad i \in I_l^1, \quad l \in N, \\ \ln \tilde{\psi}(t) &= \ln \psi_{i,l}(t) + [\ln \psi_{1,l+1}(t) - \ln \psi_{i,l}(t)] e_{01}(\ln \zeta(t); \ln \alpha_{1,l+1}, \ln \beta_{1,l+1}), \\ &\quad t \in P(1, l+1), \quad l \in N, \\ \ln \tilde{\psi}(t) &= \ln \psi_{1,1}(t) e_{01}(\ln \zeta(t); \ln \alpha_{1,1}, \ln \beta_{1,1}), \quad t \in T \cup P(1, 1). \end{aligned}$$

In the case of the curve  $D$  of one of the forms (1), (2), (4), and (8), we set  $\psi(t) = \tilde{\psi}(t)$ ,  $t \in R_{>1}^2$ . In the case of the curve  $D$  of the form (3) or (7) with the left boundary point  $\Delta' \in D$ , we define the function  $\psi$  by the formula

$$\ln \psi(t) = \ln \tilde{\psi}(t) + \left[ (\Delta', \ln t) - \ln \tilde{\psi}(t) \right] e_{01} \left( \frac{\ln t_2}{\sqrt[3]{t_1} \ln t_1}; 1, 3 \right), \quad t \in R_{>1}^2. \tag{3}$$

In the case of the curve  $D$  of the form (5) or (6) with the right boundary point  $\Delta'' \in D$ , we set

$$\ln \psi(t) = \ln \tilde{\psi}(t) + \left[ (\Delta'', \ln t) - \ln \tilde{\psi}(t) \right] e_{01} \left( \frac{\ln t_1}{\sqrt[3]{t_2} \ln t_2}; 1, 3 \right), \quad t \in R_{>1}^2.$$

Finally, in the case of the curve  $D$  of the form (9) with the left boundary point  $\Delta' \in D$  and the right boundary point  $\Delta'' \in D$ , we define the function  $\psi$  by the formula

$$\begin{aligned} \ln \psi(t) &= \ln \tilde{\psi}(t) + \left[ (\Delta', \ln t) - \ln \tilde{\psi}(t) \right] e_{01} \left( \frac{\ln t_2}{\sqrt[3]{t_1} \ln t_1}; 1, 3 \right) \\ &\quad + \left[ (\Delta'', \ln t) - \ln \tilde{\psi}(t) \right] e_{01} \left( \frac{\ln t_1}{\sqrt[3]{t_2} \ln t_2}; 1, 3 \right), \quad t \in R_{>1}^2. \end{aligned}$$

**1.3. Construction of the equation. Boundedness of the coefficients.** The function  $x > 0$  constructed above is a solution of Eq. (1<sub>1</sub>) with coefficients given by the relation

$$a_k(t) = x^{-1}(t) \partial x(t) / \partial t_k = \partial \ln x(t) / \partial t_k, \quad t \in R_{>1}^2, \quad k = 1, 2. \tag{4}$$

Since  $\ln x$  is infinitely differentiable in  $R_{>1}^2$ , it follows that these coefficients satisfy the complete integrability condition. To prove their boundedness, it suffices to show that the derivatives  $\partial \ln \phi(t) / \partial t_k$  and  $\partial \ln \psi(t) / \partial t_k$ ,  $k = 1, 2$ , are bounded. Obviously, the derivatives  $\partial \ln \phi(t) / \partial t_k$ ,  $k = 1, 2$ , are

bounded; by using the construction of the partition of the quadrant  $R_{>1}^2$  and considerations similar to the proof in [9, item 5], one can show that the derivatives  $\partial \ln \psi(t)/\partial t_k, k = 1, 2$ , are bounded.

**1.4. Construction of the lower characteristic set.** Following item 3 in [9], one can show that the lower characteristic set  $P_x$  of the solution  $x$  of Eq. (1<sub>1</sub>) coincides with the lower characteristic set  $P_\phi = \{p^0\}$  of the function  $\phi$ .

**1.5. Proof of the coincidence of the lower exponent set with the given curve  $D$ .** We take an arbitrary point  $d$  of the everywhere dense partition  $D_\infty$  of the curve  $D$  and show that it belongs to the lower exponent set  $\underline{D}_x$  of the solution  $x$ .

By  $\beta(d) \equiv \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} [(\ln \psi(t) - (d, \ln t))/\|\ln t\|]$  we denote the limit occurring in definition (2) of the lower characteristic exponent of a solution  $x$  and show that  $\beta(d) = 0$ .

Since the point  $d$  belongs to the countable partition  $D_\infty$  of the curve  $D$  and the inclusion  $D_l \subset D_{l+1}, l \in N$ , is valid for each finite partition  $D_l$ , it follows that there exists an index  $l(d) \in N$  such that  $d \in D_l$  for all  $l \geq l(d)$  and  $d \notin D_l$  for all  $l < l(d)$ . Let  $d$  be the  $i_m$ th point of the  $(l(d) + m)$ th partition; i.e.,  $d = \Delta(i_m, l(d) + m), m \in N$ . Then in each strip  $\Pi(i_m, l(d) + m), m \in N$ , we take a point  $\tau(m)$  on the curve  $(\ln t_2)/\ln t_1 = 1/|k(d)|$ . The sequence  $\{\tau(m)\} \uparrow \infty$  thus defined satisfies the relation  $\ln \psi(\tau(m)) = (d, \ln \tau(m))$  for sufficiently large  $m \in N$  and  $\beta(d) \leq 0$ .

Let us now prove the inequality  $\beta(d) \geq 0$ . By  $\{t(m)\} \uparrow \infty$  we denote a sequence realizing the limit  $\beta(d)$ . Without loss of generality, we assume that all elements  $t(m)$  of this sequence belong to strips on  $R_{>1}^2$  with different indices  $l_m$ , i.e.,  $t(m) \in P(i_m, l_m) \cup \Pi(i_m, l_m)$  with some  $i_m \in I_{l_m}$  and  $1 < l_m < l_{m+1} \rightarrow \infty$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ ; moreover,  $d \in D_{l_m}, m \in N$ . By performing considerations similar to those in [9, item 4], we obtain the estimate

$$\ln \tilde{\psi}(t(m)) - (d, \ln t(m)) \geq -2^{-l_m} \|\ln t(m)\|, \quad m \in N, \tag{5}$$

since the condition  $p' \neq p''$  as well as the inequality  $k(i, l) \geq -1$  for the angular coefficient of the support line at a point of the partition  $\Delta(i, l), i \in I_l, l \in N$ , of the curve  $D$  were not used in the proof of this estimate [9]; these relations were valid in Theorem 1 in [9] but fail in the present theorem.

In the case of a curve  $D$  of one of the forms (1), (2), (4), and (8), the relation  $\psi(t(m)) = \tilde{\psi}(t(m))$  and inequality (5) imply the estimate  $\beta(d) \geq \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (-2^{-l_m}) = 0$ . We have thereby proved the first condition  $\underline{\ln}_x(p^0, d) = 0$  in definition (2) of the lower characteristic exponent for a point  $d \in D_\infty$ . The second condition in this definition can be proved on the basis of the above-constructed sequence  $\{\tau(m)\}$ . We have thereby proved the inclusion  $D_\infty \subset \underline{D}_x(p^0) = \underline{D}_x$ , which, together with the density of the set  $D_\infty$  everywhere on the curve  $D$  and the continuity of the curves  $D$  and  $\underline{D}_x$ , implies that the curve  $D$  belongs to the set  $\underline{D}_x$ . From the form of the curve  $D$  and necessary properties of the lower exponent set  $\underline{D}_x$ , we obtain the relation  $\underline{D}_x = D$ .

Now let the curve  $D$  have the form (3) or (7). Then, without loss of generality, we restrict our considerations to the following three possibilities:

- (1)  $\ln t_2(m) \leq \sqrt[3]{t_1(m)} \ln t_1(m)$  for all  $m \in N$ ;
- (2)  $\ln t_2(m) > \sqrt[3]{t_1(m)} \ln t_1(m)$  and  $(\Delta', \ln t(m)) - \ln \tilde{\psi}(t(m)) \geq 0$  for all  $m \in N$ ;
- (3)  $\ln t_2(m) > \sqrt[3]{t_1(m)} \ln t_1(m)$  and  $(\Delta', \ln t(m)) - \ln \tilde{\psi}(t(m)) < 0$  for all  $m \in N$ .

In the case of the first and second possibilities, from relation (3) and inequality (5), we obtain the estimates

$$\beta(d) \geq \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln \tilde{\psi}(t(m)) - (d, \ln t(m))}{\|\ln t(m)\|} \geq \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (-2^{-l_m}) = 0.$$

Let us consider the third possibility. Without loss of generality, for the sequence  $\{t(m)\}$ , we assume that either  $t_1(m) \rightarrow \alpha, \alpha \in R$ , as  $m \rightarrow \infty$  or  $t_1(m) \rightarrow \infty$  and  $m \rightarrow \infty$  and

$$\left( \Delta' - d, \left( 1, \sqrt[3]{t_1(m)} \right) \right) \geq 0$$

for all  $m \in N$ . If  $t_1(m) \rightarrow \alpha, \alpha \in R$ , as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , then, by using the inequality  $\Delta'_2 - d_2 \geq 0$  and

relation (3), we obtain the estimates

$$\beta(d) \geq \liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(\Delta'_1 - d_1) \ln t_1(m) + (\Delta'_2 - d_2) \ln t_2(m)}{\|\ln t(m)\|} \geq \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(\Delta'_1 - d_1) \ln t_1(m)}{\|\ln t(m)\|} = 0;$$

otherwise, we have the estimate

$$\beta(d) \geq \liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left( \Delta' - d, \left( 1, \sqrt[3]{t_1(m)} \right) \right) \ln t_1(m)}{\|\ln t(m)\|} \geq 0.$$

Therefore, if the curve  $D$  has the form (3) or (7), then the inclusion  $D \subset \underline{D}_x$  is valid. Let us show that the lower exponent set  $\underline{D}_x$  coincides with  $D$ . We choose an arbitrary point  $d$  of the lower exponent set  $\underline{D}_x$  of the solution  $x$ . Then, by virtue of the relation  $\ln \psi(t) = (\Delta', \ln t)$ , we have the inequality  $\Delta'_2 - d_2 \geq 0$  in the direction  $t = (t_1, t_1^{\sqrt[3]{t_1}}) \in R_{>1}^2$ ,  $t_1 \rightarrow \infty$ . This inequality, together with the necessary properties of the lower exponent set, implies that  $\underline{D}_x = D$ .

In a similar way, one can show that the lower exponent set  $\underline{D}_x$  of the solution  $x$  coincides with  $D$  if  $D$  has the form (5), (6), or (9).

**2.** In the case of the curve  $D$  of the form (9), consisting of an only point  $\Delta$  of the plane  $R^2$ , we define the function  $\psi$  by the relation  $\ln \psi(t) = (\Delta, \ln t)$ ,  $t \in R_{>1}^2$ . Obviously, in this case, the function  $x$  is a solution of the completely integrable equation (1<sub>1</sub>) with infinitely differentiable bounded coefficients given by relation (4), its lower characteristic set  $P_x$  coincides with the lower characteristic set  $P_\phi = \{p^0\}$  of the function  $\phi$ , and  $\underline{D}_x = \{\Delta\}$ . The proof of Theorem 1 is complete.

The following assertion provides a complete description of the exponent sets of a solution  $x \neq 0$  of system (1) with trivial characteristic sets.

**Theorem 2.** *The set  $D$  is the lower exponent set  $\underline{D}_x$  (respectively, the upper exponent set  $\bar{D}_x$ ) of some nontrivial solution  $x$  with trivial lower characteristic set  $P_x$  (respectively, trivial characteristic set  $\Lambda_x$ ) of some completely integrable Pfaffian system (1) with bounded continuously differentiable coefficients if and only if this set either can be represented in the form of a continuous closed decreasing concave (respectively, convex) curve on a two-dimensional plane or is empty.*

## REFERENCES

1. Gaishun, I.V., *Vpolne razreshimye mnogomernye differentsial'nye uravneniya* (Completely Solvable Multidimensional Differential Equations), Minsk, 1983.
2. Gaishun, I.V., *Lineinye uravneniya v polnykh proizvodnykh* (Linear Equations in Total Derivatives), Minsk, 1989.
3. Grudo, E.I., *Differents. Uravn.*, 1976, vol. 12, no. 12, pp. 2115–2128.
4. Izobov, N.A., *Differents. Uravn.*, 1997, vol. 33, no. 12, pp. 1623–1630.
5. Demidovich, B.P., *Mat. Sb.*, 1965, vol. 66, no. 3, pp. 344–353.
6. Lasyi, P.G., A Remark on the Theory of Characteristic Vector Exponents of Solutions of Linear Pfaffian Systems, *Preprint IM AS BSSR*, Minsk, 1982, no. 14.
7. Krupchik, E.N., *Differents. Uravn.*, 1999, vol. 35, no. 7, pp. 899–908.
8. Izobov, N.A. and Krupchik, E.N., *Differents. Uravn.*, 2001, vol. 37, no. 5, pp. 616–627.
9. Izobov, N.A. and Krupchik, E.N., *Differents. Uravn.*, 2002, vol. 38, no. 10, pp. 1310–1321.
10. Izobov, N.A. and Krupchik, E.N., *Differents. Uravn.*, 2003, vol. 39, no. 3, pp. 308–319.
11. Izobov, N.A. and Krupchik, E.N., *Differents. Uravn.*, 2003, vol. 39, no. 4, pp. 453–464.
12. Gelbaum, B. and Olmsted, J., *Counterexamples in Analysis*, San Francisco, 1964. Translated under the title *Kontrprimery v analize*, Moscow: Mir, 1967.