## The phrase "Profinite Arithmetic Geometry" Red Lodge, Wednesday April 5

Part I. 1st Fratt. Principle and the group $M_{g}$
Part II. Inflation to $M_{g}=M_{g, p}$ and Lift Invariants
Part III. $p$-Poincaré duality gives sufficiency for $C_{G_{k+1}}\left(\boldsymbol{g}_{k}\right) \neq \emptyset$ Part IV. Basic Braid Orbit questions
Part V. g-p Cusps and 2nd Fratt. Princ.
App. A. Full limit group questions
App. $\mathrm{B}_{1}$. Higher Order $\mathrm{g}-p^{\prime}$ Cusps

## Set up for the phrase

Start with a space $\mathcal{H}$ (or a moduli problem): points $\boldsymbol{p} \Leftrightarrow$ objects $W_{p}$ with an attached group $G$ (not dependent on $\boldsymbol{p}$ ). Assume $G$ has a functorial profinite cover $G^{*}$ (determined by $\left.\mathcal{H}\right)$.
"Profinite arithmetic geometry:" Any quotient $H$ of $G^{*}$ mapping through $G$ gives a collection $C_{H}\left(W_{p}\right)$ of objects mapping to $W$. Expect this for the projective system of those $C_{H}\left(W_{p}\right)$, running over $H$ : Group cohomology of $G^{*}$ interprets significant properties of the projective system $\left\{C_{H}\left(W_{p}\right)\right\}_{H}$.

ModularTowers: Unramified extensions of a cover $\mathbb{P}_{z}^{1}=\mathbb{C}_{z} \dot{\cup}\{\infty\}$ is the project $z$-line; and $W_{p}$ is a compact Riemann surface (geometrically Galois) cover $\varphi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{z}^{1}$ with group $G$ (of order divisible by $p$ ). It has a Nielsen class $\operatorname{Ni}(G, \mathbf{C})^{\text {in }}$.

Extensions: Unramified extensions $\psi: Y \rightarrow X$ of $\varphi$, with $\varphi \circ \psi$ Galois (group $H$ ) as $\varphi$ varies.

If $H \rightarrow G$ splits, then $\varphi \circ \psi$ has the form $W \times_{\mathbb{P}_{z}^{1}} X$ $\left(W \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{z}^{1}\right.$ maybe not Galois). Unless $H \rightarrow G$ Frattini, there is a proper factorization of $\varphi: Y \rightarrow$ $Y^{\prime} \rightarrow X$, presenting $Y$ as $W \times_{\mathbb{P}_{z}^{1}} Y^{\prime}$.

Part I. 1st Fratt. Principle and the group $M_{g}$
Restrict to most mysterious part: Covers without such a factorization. Denote these $\mathcal{C}_{\varphi}$.

Assume $G$ is $p$-perfect. We'll show structure on $\mathcal{C}_{\varphi}$, based on cohomology of two groups:

- universal $p$-Frattini cover ${ }_{p} \tilde{G}$ of $G$; and a
- dim 2 p-Poincaré Dual group $M_{\varphi, p}$.

Both groups are virtually pro- $p$. Most significant is how much $M_{\varphi, p}$ depends on $\varphi$.

## Use Nielsen classes

$\mathrm{Ni}(G, \mathbf{C}) \stackrel{\text { in }}{\stackrel{\text { def }}{=}\left\{\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{r}\right) \mid\right.}$

$$
\left.g_{1} \cdots g_{r} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \Pi(\boldsymbol{g})=1, \boldsymbol{g} \in \mathbf{C},\langle\boldsymbol{g}\rangle=G\right\} .
$$

Given the Nielsen class association with a cover, $\varphi \leftrightarrow \boldsymbol{g} \in \mathrm{Ni}(G, \mathbf{C})^{\text {in }}$, characterize $C_{H}(\varphi)$ as
$\left\{\boldsymbol{g}_{H} \in \mathrm{Ni}(H, \mathbf{C})^{\text {in }}\right.$ with $\left.\boldsymbol{g}_{H} \bmod G=\boldsymbol{g}\right\}$.
FP1 -Why $p^{\prime}$ conjugacy classes $\mathbf{C}$ ?:
With $g \in G$ and $p^{u} \mid \operatorname{ord}(g), u \geq 1$,
then $g^{\prime} \in G_{1}$ over $g \Longrightarrow p^{u+1} \| \operatorname{ord}(g)$.

## Defining $M_{g}$ and related groups

Define $D_{\bar{\sigma}}$ : Presented as $\left\langle\bar{\sigma}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{\sigma}_{r}\right\rangle$ modulo the normal subgroup generated by

$$
\bar{\sigma} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}\left\{\bar{\sigma}_{i}^{\operatorname{ord}\left(g_{i}\right)}, i=1, \ldots, r, \text { and } \bar{\sigma}_{1} \cdots \bar{\sigma}_{r}\right\} .
$$

Define $M_{g}$ : Complete $D_{\bar{\sigma}}$ using $p$-power index subgroups of $\operatorname{ker}\left(D_{\bar{\sigma}} \rightarrow G\right)$, normal in $D_{\bar{\sigma}}$. Tacit: $M_{g}$ has distinguished generators $\bar{\sigma}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{\sigma}_{r}$.

## Define $\tilde{K}_{\bar{\sigma}^{*}}$ :

Remove relation $\bar{\sigma}_{1} \cdots \bar{\sigma}_{r}=1$ from $D_{\bar{\sigma}}$. Denote generators by $\bar{\sigma}_{1}^{*}, \ldots, \bar{\sigma}_{r}^{*}$ : $\left\{\left\langle\bar{\sigma}_{i}^{*}\right\rangle /\left(\bar{\sigma}_{i}^{*}\right)^{\operatorname{ord}\left(g_{i}\right)}\right\}_{i=1}^{r}$ freely generate $K_{\bar{\sigma}}$.

Complete $K_{\bar{\sigma}^{*}}$ using $p$-power index subgroups of $\operatorname{ker}\left(K_{\bar{\sigma}^{*}} \rightarrow G\right)$, normal in $K_{\sigma}$. Form a natural surjection $\psi_{\bar{\sigma}^{*}}: \tilde{K}_{\bar{\sigma}^{*}} \rightarrow M_{g}$.

## Geometric construction of $M_{g}$ and $K_{\bar{\sigma}^{*}}$

Suppose $\boldsymbol{g}_{k} \in \operatorname{Ni}\left(G_{k}, \mathbf{C}\right)$ lies over $\boldsymbol{g} \in \operatorname{Ni}(G, \mathbf{C})$.
Lemma 1. Mapping $M_{g}$ generators $\bar{\sigma}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{\sigma}_{r}$ to entries of ${ }_{k} \boldsymbol{g}$ gives a homomorphism $\mu_{k}: M_{g} \rightarrow G_{k}$.

If $h_{1}^{*}, \ldots, h_{r}^{*} \in \mathbf{C} \cap G_{k+1}^{r}$ lie (resp.) over entries of ${ }_{k} \boldsymbol{g}$, then $\mu_{k+1}: \tilde{K}_{\bar{\sigma}^{*}} \rightarrow G_{k+1}$ by $\bar{\sigma}_{i}^{*} \mapsto h_{i}^{*}, i=$ $1, \ldots, r$, extends $\mu_{k}$.

Part II.Inflation to $M_{g}=M_{g, p}$ and Lift Invariants
Main goal: Cohomological characterizations

1. When is each of the $C_{G_{k}}(\boldsymbol{g})$ nonempty.
2. When for each $g_{k} \in C_{G_{k}}(\boldsymbol{g})$ and $k^{\prime}>k$, the collection $C_{G_{k^{\prime}}}\left(\boldsymbol{g}_{k}\right)$ is nonempty.

One-one correspondence: $\quad M_{g} \rightarrow G$ factoring through $H \rightarrow G \Leftrightarrow \boldsymbol{g}_{H} \in C_{H}(\boldsymbol{g})$. Denote the corresponding map $M_{g} \rightarrow H$ by $\psi_{\boldsymbol{g}_{H}}$.

## Fundamental limit group questions

Let $\left.\left\{\boldsymbol{g}_{k} \in C_{G_{k}}(\boldsymbol{g})\right\}_{k=0}^{\infty}\right\}=\tilde{\boldsymbol{g}}$ be a projective sequence. Defines a cusp branch.

These define a component branch: $\left\{\mathrm{Ni}_{k}^{\prime}\right\}_{k=0}^{\infty}, \mathrm{Ni}_{k}{ }_{k}$ the braid orbit of $\boldsymbol{g}_{k}$ (p.12).
Definition 2. Then, $\tilde{\boldsymbol{g}}$ defines a homomorphism $\psi_{\tilde{g}}: M_{g} \rightarrow{ }_{p} \tilde{G}$. This $\psi_{\tilde{g}}$ (up to braid equivalence) gives ${ }_{p} \tilde{G}$ as a limit group of $\psi_{g}$.

Expression (1) (resp. (2)) means ${ }_{p} \tilde{G}$ is a (resp. the only) isomorphism class of limit groups for the standard component tree.

## Cohomology start:

With $M_{k}=\operatorname{ker}\left(G_{k+1} \rightarrow G_{k}\right)$, $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Z} / p}\left(H^{2}\left(G_{k}, M_{k}\right)\right)=1$ [Fr95, Lem. 2.3].
Lemma 3. [Fr05c, Lem. 4.15], [We05, Prop. 3.2] For $\boldsymbol{g}_{k} \in C_{G_{k}}(\boldsymbol{g})$, the obstruction to finding $\boldsymbol{g}_{k+1} \in C_{G_{k+1}}\left(\boldsymbol{g}_{k}\right)$ is inflation $\inf _{G_{k+1}, G_{k}}\left(\psi_{\boldsymbol{g}_{k}}\right)$ to $H^{2}\left(M_{g}, M_{k}\right)$ of a generator of $H^{2}\left(G_{k}, M_{k}\right)$.

Denote maximal quotient of $M_{k}$ on which $G_{k}$ acts trivially by $\mathrm{Sc}_{p, k}=\mathrm{Sc}_{k}$ : exponent $p$ quotient of Schur multiplier of $G_{k}$.

The lifting invariant $s_{k}$
Kernel of natural cover $R_{k} \rightarrow G_{k}$ identifies withSc ${ }_{k}$. Lemma 4. Over $\boldsymbol{g}^{\prime}=\left(g_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, g_{r}^{\prime}\right) \in \mathrm{Ni}\left(G_{k}, \mathbf{C}\right)$ is a unique $\boldsymbol{g}^{\prime \prime} \in\left(R_{k}\right)^{r} \cap \mathbf{C}$. This defines

$$
\begin{gathered}
s_{k}\left(\boldsymbol{g}^{\prime}\right) \in \operatorname{ker}\left(R_{k} \rightarrow G_{k}\right) \text { as } \Pi\left(\boldsymbol{g}^{\prime \prime}\right) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} g_{1}^{\prime \prime} \cdots g_{r}^{\prime \prime} \\
C_{G_{k+1}}\left(\boldsymbol{g}^{\prime}\right) \text { nonempty } \xlongequal{\Longrightarrow} s_{k}\left(\boldsymbol{g}^{\prime}\right)=0 \text { (add. not.). }
\end{gathered}
$$

[Ser90a] defines $s_{k}$ when $G_{k}=A_{n}$ and $R_{k} \rightarrow G_{k}$ is the Spin cover of $A_{n}$. I give examples later of computing this and higher cases.

Part III. p-Poincaré duality gives sufficiency for

$$
C_{G_{k+1}}\left(\boldsymbol{g}_{k}\right) \neq \emptyset
$$

Proposition 5. Lem. 4 condition is sufficient:

$$
\tilde{\alpha} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \inf _{G_{k+1}, G_{k}}\left(\psi_{\boldsymbol{g}_{k}}\right) \neq 0 \Longrightarrow s_{k}\left(\boldsymbol{g}_{k}\right) \neq 0
$$

Use $\mu_{k+1}$ of Lem. 1 for an explicit obstruction to lifting $M_{g} \rightarrow G$. For $\bar{g} \in M_{g}$ choose $h_{\bar{g}} \in G_{k}$ as the image in $G_{k}$ of a lift to $\tilde{K}_{\bar{\sigma}^{*}} \cap \mathbf{C}$ over $\bar{g}$.

## Compute the 2-cocycle

$$
\tilde{\alpha}\left(\bar{g}_{1}, \bar{g}_{2}\right)=h_{\bar{g}_{1}} h_{\bar{g}_{2}}\left(h_{\overline{g_{1} g_{2}}}\right)^{-1}, \bar{g}_{1}, \bar{g}_{2} \in M_{g}
$$

describing the obstruction.
As $\psi_{\bar{\sigma}^{*}}$ is a homomorphism, the discrepancy between $\alpha\left(\bar{g}_{1}, \bar{g}_{2}\right)$ and 1 is the leeway in reps. for $h_{\overline{g_{1} g_{2}}}$ lying over $\overline{g_{1} g_{2}}$.

## When the cocycle $\tilde{\alpha}\left(\bar{g}_{1}, \bar{g}_{2}\right)$ vanishes

Each $\tilde{\alpha}\left(\bar{g}_{1}, \bar{g}_{2}\right)$ is a word in $\operatorname{ker}\left(K_{\bar{\sigma}^{*}} \rightarrow M_{g}\right)$, products of conjugates of $h_{1}^{*} \cdots h_{r}^{*}$. It vanishes if you can choose $\left(h_{1}^{*}, \ldots, h_{r}^{*}\right.$ ) (as in Lem. 1) so $h_{1}^{*} \cdots h_{r}^{*}=1$. Recall: Dual of $M_{k}^{*}$ as an $M_{g}$ module is $\operatorname{Hom}\left(M_{k}, \mathbb{Q}_{p} / \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)=\operatorname{Hom}\left(M_{k}, \mathbb{Z} / p\right),\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p} / \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right.$ is the duality module for $M_{g}$ ).

## Apply p-Poincaré duality:

$$
\left(\mathrm{D}^{2,0}\right) H^{2}\left(M_{g}, M_{k}\right) \times H^{0}\left(M_{\boldsymbol{g}}, M_{k}^{*}\right) \rightarrow H^{2}\left(M_{g}, \mathbb{Z} / p\right)
$$ is a perfect pairing (apply $\beta \in H^{0}\left(M_{\boldsymbol{g}}, M_{k}^{*}\right)$ to values of a 2-cycle in $H^{2}\left(M_{g}, M_{k}\right)$ ).

Identify $H^{0}\left(M_{g}, M_{k}^{*}\right)$ with

$$
H_{0}\left(M_{\boldsymbol{g}}, D \otimes M_{k}\right) \simeq D \otimes_{\mathbb{Z} / p\left[M_{\boldsymbol{g}}\right]} M_{k},
$$

with $D=\mathbb{Z} / p$ (as $\mathbb{Z} / p\left[M_{g}\right]$ module).

## $\mathrm{Sc}_{p, k}$ appears (p. 7):

So, the tensor product $D \otimes_{\mathbb{Z} / p\left[M_{g}\right]} M_{k}$ is the maximal quotient of $M_{k}$ on which $M_{g}$ (and so $G_{k}$ ) acts trivially. So, Identify $D \otimes_{\mathbb{Z} / p\left[M_{g}\right]} M_{k}$ with $\mathrm{Sc}_{p, k}$.

Now pair $\tilde{\alpha}(\bullet, \bullet) \in H^{2}\left(M_{g}, M_{k}\right)$ against
$\beta \in H^{0}\left(M_{g}, M_{k}^{*}\right)$. Further, regard $\beta \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \beta_{R}$ as the linear functional on $M_{k}$ from the kernel of the induced $\operatorname{map} G_{k+1} \rightarrow R$, with $R \rightarrow G_{k}$ a central extension with $\mathbb{Z} / p=\operatorname{ker}\left(R \rightarrow G_{k}\right)$.

## Conclusion of the result

Let $\boldsymbol{g}$ be the image of $\left(h_{1}^{*}, \ldots, h_{r}^{*}\right)$ in $\mathrm{Ni}\left(G_{k}, \mathbf{C}\right)$. So, $\beta_{R}(\tilde{\alpha})=s_{R}(\boldsymbol{g})$, the lifting invariant value.

The pairing is perfect. Conclude: Obstruction to extend $M_{g} \rightarrow G_{k}$ to $M_{g} \rightarrow G_{k+1}$ is trivial if and only if $s_{R}(\boldsymbol{g})$ is trivial over all such $R \rightarrow G_{k}$.

## Part IV. Basic Braid Orbit questions

Hurwitz monodromy group $H_{r}=\left\langle q_{1}, \ldots, q_{r-1}\right\rangle$ acts on $\left\{M_{g}\right\}_{\boldsymbol{g} \in \mathrm{Ni}(G, \mathbf{C})}$ and on

$$
\left\{M_{\tilde{g}}\right\}_{\left\{\tilde{\boldsymbol{g}} \in\left\{\lim _{\leftarrow k} C_{G_{k}}(\boldsymbol{g})\right\}\right.} .
$$

Here's $q_{i}$ on distinguished generators: $\left(\bar{\sigma}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{\sigma}_{r}\right)$

$$
\mapsto\left(\bar{\sigma}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{\sigma}_{i-1}, \bar{\sigma}_{i} \bar{\sigma}_{i+1} \bar{\sigma}_{i}^{-1}, \bar{\sigma}_{i}, \bar{\sigma}_{i+2}, \ldots, \bar{\sigma}_{r}\right) .
$$

## Projective sequence of spaces:

Any $M_{\tilde{g}}$ gives a braid orbit $\{(\tilde{\boldsymbol{g}}) q\}_{q \in H_{r}} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \tilde{O}$ : For $\tilde{\boldsymbol{g}} \in \tilde{O}$, all the $M_{\tilde{g}}$ are isomorphic.
$\tilde{O}$ defines a projective sequence of reduced Hurwitz space components $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\tilde{O}} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}\left\{\mathcal{H}_{\tilde{O}, k}\right\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$.

Abelianization: Replacing ${ }_{p} \tilde{G}$ by its abelianization ${ }_{p} \tilde{G} /\left(\operatorname{ker}_{0}, \operatorname{ker}_{0}\right)$ produces corresponding spaces. Let $R \rightarrow G_{0}$ be the maximal central $p$ extension of $G_{0}$. Analog for abelianization in Prop. 5 for projective sequence of components requires just one test, $s_{R}\left(\boldsymbol{g}_{0}\right)=0$, but $\operatorname{ker}\left(R \rightarrow G_{0}\right)$ may not have exponent $p$. Resulting spaces like Shimura varieties.

## The Main Conjecture and $\ell$-adic points

1. For a braid orbit $O$ in a Nielsen class $\mathrm{Ni}(G, \mathbf{C})$, how to assure there is such a $\tilde{O}$ extending $O$ ?
2. Given $\tilde{O}$ from (1), when can you guarantee some number field is a definition field for all levels of $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\tilde{O}}: \tilde{O}$ defines a $\mathrm{PSC}_{K}$ ?
3. Given (2), could all levels have $K$ points?

Results to questions have come entirely through properties of projective systems of cusps!!
This approach -non-obviously -generalizes aspects of modular curves.
Proposition 6. Assume $\tilde{O}$ satisfies (3). With $K^{\prime}$ a completion of $K$ at a prime not dividing $|G|$, there is a projective system of $K^{\prime}$ cusps.

An outline, based on generalizing [DEm04], says the conclusion of Prop. 6 implies a special projective system $\left\{\boldsymbol{g}_{k} \in \operatorname{Ni}(G, \mathbf{C})^{\mathrm{in}}\right\}_{k=0}^{\infty}: g-p^{\prime}$ cusp branch.

## Part V. g- $p^{\prime}$ Cusps and 2nd Fratt. Princ.

Definition 7 ( $\mathrm{g}-p^{\prime}$ cusps). Let $p$, prime, divide $|G|, p^{\prime}$ classes $\mathbf{C}, \boldsymbol{g}=\in \mathrm{Ni}(G, \mathbf{C})$. Then, $\boldsymbol{g}$ defines a (first order) $g-p^{\prime}$ cusp if it partitions as $\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{i_{1}}, g_{i_{1}+1}, \ldots, g_{i_{2}}, \ldots, g_{i_{t}}\right)$ so:
[ $p^{\prime}$ part.] $\left\langle g_{i_{j}+1}, \ldots, g_{i_{j+1}}\right\rangle=G_{j}$ is a $p^{\prime}$ group; and
[ $p^{\prime}$ gen. $]\left\langle\Pi\left(g_{i_{j}+1}, \ldots, g_{i_{j+1}}\right), j=1, \ldots, t\right\rangle$ is also a $p^{\prime}$ group.
App. $\mathrm{B}_{1}$ has higher order (inductive) g-p $p^{\prime}$ cusps.
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2nd Fratt. Princ: For $\boldsymbol{g} \in \mathrm{Ni}(G, \mathbf{C})$ a g- $p^{\prime}$ cusp, there is a $\tilde{O}$ extending its braid orbit $O_{g}$ (as in (1)).
$A_{n}$ examples of two braid orbits from lifting inv.
Example 8 ( $A_{n}$ and 3-cycles). For each pair ( $n, r$ ) with $r \geq n$, there are exactly two braid orbits on $\mathrm{Ni}\left(A_{n}, \mathbf{C}_{3^{r}}\right)$. One contains a g-2 ${ }^{\prime}$ representative and the other is obstructed at level 0 . Braid orbit reps for $n=r=4$ (see App. $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ in Talk 2):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \boldsymbol{g}_{4,+}=((134),(143),(123),(132)), \\
& \boldsymbol{g}_{4,-}=((123),(134),(124),(124))
\end{aligned}
$$

## Nonbraidable, isomorphic $M_{\tilde{g}}$

Suppose two extensions $M_{g_{i}} \rightarrow G$, arise from $\boldsymbol{g}_{i} \in \mathrm{Ni}(G, \mathbf{C}), i=1,2$. Assume they are isomorphic. Still might not be braidable.

The Nielsen class $\mathrm{Ni}\left(G_{1}\left(A_{4}\right), \mathbf{C}_{ \pm 3^{2}}\right)$ has six braid orbits. Two extensions correspond to the two H-M components called $\mathcal{H}_{1}^{+, \beta}, \mathcal{H}_{1}^{+, \beta^{-1}}$. An outer automorphism of $G_{1}\left(A_{4}\right)$ takes $\boldsymbol{g}_{1}$ to $\boldsymbol{g}_{2}$, giving elements in different braid orbits. These are H M components, so FP2 gives isomorphic extensions $M_{g_{i}} \rightarrow{ }_{p} \tilde{G}, i=1,2$ in distinct braid orbits.

## App. $A_{1}$ : Full limit group questions

Consider all quotients $H$ of ${ }_{p} \tilde{G}$ (rather than just $G_{k} \mathrm{~s}$ ). You get a much bigger world of limit groups: limit group over $\boldsymbol{g} \in \mathrm{Ni}(G, \mathbf{C})$ is a maximal projective sequence of such $H \mathrm{~s}$ with $\mathcal{C}_{H}(\boldsymbol{g})$ not the emptyset. Proposition 9. Akin to Prop. 5, if $G^{*}$ is a full limit group, it has this property:
$\mathbb{Z} / p$ extension: There is only one possible Frattini extension $R^{*} \rightarrow G^{*}$ of $G^{*} \rightarrow G$ with kernel $a \mathbb{Z} / p$ module. Then, $\operatorname{ker}\left(R^{*} \rightarrow G^{*}\right)=\mathbb{Z} / p$, and $s_{G^{*}}$ gives the obstruction.

Revisiting nonelementary modular curves: For each odd $p, \mathrm{Ni}\left((\mathbb{Z} / p)^{2} \times^{s}\{ \pm 1\}, \mathbf{C}_{2^{4}}\right)$ has exactly one limit group, $\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)^{2} \times^{s}\{ \pm 1\}$. This is an alternate description of all modular curves. A universal Heisenberg group gives the obstruction running over all odd $p$ [Fr05c, App. A.2].

## App. $\mathrm{B}_{1}$ : Higher Order $\mathrm{g}-p^{\prime}$ Cusps

Def.: (possibly higher order) g-p' cusps. (Darren Semmen): Some rooted planar tree, has elements of $G$ labeling its vertices, and these hold.

1. The root has label 1 .
2. The leaves of the tree have labels $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{r}$ in clockwise order.
3. Labels of vertices one level up and adjacent to vertex $x$ generate a $p^{\prime}$-group with their product (in clockwise order) the label of $x$.

Harder to detect, but includes more possibilities than 1st order $g$ - $p^{\prime}$ reps. FP2 says such a $\boldsymbol{g}$ has a braid orbit whose $p$-Nielsen limit is ${ }_{p} \tilde{G}$.
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