Summer Session Instructor and Course Evaluation for Keti, Matt MATH 2E
LEC B (44200), Summer II 2014
Responses: 18/81 (22.22%)

Please mark the appropriate rating.
If you have no opinion on the question asked or if it does not apply, please mark “Not Applicable.”

1. The course instructor shows enthusiasm for and is interested in the subject.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14 9 (Excellent)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 6 (Good)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 3 (Fair)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 1 (Barely Satisfactory)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 0 (Unsatisfactory)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 Not Applicable</td>
<td>No Value</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean: 8.29
Median: 9.00
Std Dev: 2.14

2. The course instructor stimulates your interest in the subject.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10 9 (Excellent)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 6 (Good)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 3 (Fair)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 1 (Barely Satisfactory)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 0 (Unsatisfactory)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 Not Applicable</td>
<td>No Value</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean: 7.82
Median: 9.00
Std Dev: 2.20

3. The course instructor meets stated objectives of the course.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12 9 (Excellent)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 6 (Good)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 3 (Fair)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 1 (Barely Satisfactory)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 0 (Unsatisfactory)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 Not Applicable</td>
<td>No Value</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean: 8.12
Median: 9.00
Std Dev: 2.14

4. The course instructor is accessible and responsive.
5. The course instructor creates an open and fair learning environment.

Value: 9
Value: 8
Value: 7
Value: 6
Value: 5
Value: 4
Value: 3
Value: 2
Value: 1
Value: 0

8.50 Mean
9.00 Median
1.00 Std Dev

6. The course instructor encourages students to think in this course.

Value: 9
Value: 8
Value: 7
Value: 6
Value: 5
Value: 4
Value: 3
Value: 2
Value: 1
Value: 0

8.00 Mean
9.00 Median
2.14 Std Dev

7. The course instructor’s presentations and explanations of concepts were clear.
8. Assignments and exams covered important aspects of the course.

- 11 students rated assignments and exams as (Excellent) with a mean of 9.00.
- 3 students rated them as Good with a mean of 6.00.
- 2 students rated them as Fair with a mean of 5.00.
- 0 students rated them as Barely Satisfactory with a mean of 4.00.
- 0 students rated them as Unsatisfactory with a mean of 3.00.
- 0 students rated them as Not Applicable.

9. What overall evaluation would you give this instructor?

- 13 students rated the instructor as (Excellent) with a mean of 9.00.
- 1 student rated them as Good with a mean of 6.00.
- 2 students rated them as Fair with a mean of 5.00.
- 0 students rated them as Barely Satisfactory with a mean of 4.00.
- 0 students rated them as Unsatisfactory with a mean of 3.00.
- 0 students rated them as Not Applicable.

10. What overall evaluation would you give this course?


11. How helpful were the textbooks and/or readings to your overall learning experience?

- 3 Very
- 5 Adequately
- 5 Somewhat
- 2 Not at all
- 2 No comment

12. How challenging was this course?

- 5 Very
- 11 Adequately
- 1 Somewhat
- 0 Not at all
- 0 No comment

Please comment on the following areas and be as specific as possible.

13. What are the instructor’s teaching strengths?

- He’s responsive to student’s concerns, presents course material and shows how it is used in the real world. He’s enthusiastic and realistic about course expectations. He is the best teacher I remember having at UCI.
- He certainly understands what he teaches and explains very well.
- He is able to explain the material in a simple manner.
- He is very approachable and always willing to help. He accepts criticism and always ask us how difficult a quiz was, or how the class is after class. He is very flexible and really shows that he cares for his students.
- He is very patient with and understanding of the students. He is clearly eager for his students to learn and succeed in and beyond this course. He would take the time to show applications to the material in this course using videos, which made the course more interesting. Some questions on his quizzes and exams were tricky, but fair. His kindness made students feel comfortable in asking questions anytime during lecture.
- He knows the material very well and presents it in a highly accessible manner.
- interesting teaching style, clear explanation, teach patiently.
- Simple examples in the lecture while hard questions in exams. He nearly talks about aero in every lecture, which is totally not associated with the class. In the answer key that is provided in EEE, misstakes always appear.
- The instructor is very talented in conveying complicated information to a large number of students in a very clear and understandable way. He made this class as easy as multivariable
calculus can possibly be and is always very helpful in office hours for further clarification on any topic if it is needed. He was a wonderful professor for this course and I would definitely take another class with him if I had the opportunity.

- Very clear and informative
- Very good at making concepts in this class clear (I was able to understand all of the concepts conceptually and mathematically). Good at getting us engaged in the class by providing real-world relevance to the class. Kerbal Space Program example was a nice touch. Always very helpful and accommodating for students. I am confident he will be an excellent teaching professor one day.
- Very helpful and enthusiastic.
- You get to the point.

14. How can this instructor improve as a teacher?

- actually no
- Giving examples that have same level difficulty with the exams.
- He could go over problems with the same level of difficulty as the midterms problems during the lecture.
- He is one of the finest instructor in UCI mathematics departments I’ve seen so far.
- He is sometimes too flexible and sometimes students can easily abuse this system.
- He should teach all my future classes.
- I really don’t see anything wrong with his teaching. A++.
- I think the only thing that was bothersome in this course was that the answers to the homework questions were not posted. The homework is not for credit, simply self improvement, and it is pointless to do the problems if we do not know if we are doing them right. The answers that were posted by the TA’s had far too many errors in the calculations to be trusted. I think that for future classes the instructor should do the homework problems himself (preferably by hand because doing math on a computer is difficult to read and follow) and post those at the same time the problems are posted. This way we can check our work as we are going.
- 10 blank answer(s).

15. Any other comments about this course?

- I think the questions that you write on the exams are harder than what we practice in class and in homework.
- I would definitely take this instructor again.
- N/A.
- no
- Overall, I believe that this course was challenging because of the material itself, but having this professor guide us through it made it much easier to deal with.
- The midterms were difficult compared to the worksheet and lecture problems.
- The TA Mariano cannot explain exercises clearly, and sometimes he even cannot find the way to solve the questions. Bad quality.
- 11 blank answer(s).

16. How much academic dishonesty seemed to occur in this course? If applicable, please describe the type of academic dishonesty that occurred (not the particular students involved).
1. 
   1 A lot 
   0 Some 
   1 A little 
   14 None I could discern 

2. Examples: 
   * Some people were starting the test before we were told to do so. 
   * 17 blank answer(s). 

17. What school do you normally attend? 
   15 UCI 
   1 Other UC Campus 
   0 Other College or University 
   1 Community College 
   0 UCI Extension 
   0 High School 
   0 Not Applicable 

18. What is the PRIMARY reason you enrolled in Summer Session? 
   12 To accelerate progress toward my degree 
   1 To enroll in a course impacted during Fall, Winter or Spring 
   4 To retake a course 
   0 For personal development or professional enrichment 

19. What is your preference for the time of day to take Summer classes? 
   4 Early morning 
   7 Late morning 
   4 Early afternoon 
   1 Late afternoon 
   0 Evening 
   1 No preference 

20. What is your preference for the frequency of meetings for a Summer class? 
   0 5 times/week 
   0 4 times/week 
   12 3 times/week 
   4 2 times/week 
   0 Once a week 
   1 No preference 

21. How did you find out about UCI Summer Session? 
   3 Academic Advisor 
   1 Summer Session Banner 
   0 Summer Session Booth on Ring Road 
   0 Summer Session Facebook 
   0 Summer Session Flyer 
   2 Summer Session Website 
   3 Campus Email 
   0 Placement Testing Brochure 
   8 Word of mouth 

22. What courses would you like to see offered in the Summer here at UCI? 
   * Classes purely for learning tools for effective job searching, resume, and interviewing skills. 
   * course that teaches proE
it is really good. it really offer students who need study in summer a quiet place.
More BME classes
14 blank answer(s).

23. UCI Summer Session seeks to create meaningful new Summer Special Programs (a set of courses outside of the regular academic curriculum). What Program would you like to see offered in the Summer here at UCI?

BME program.
dance!!
See # 22.
15 blank answer(s).