

SINGULARITIES OF COMPLEX-VALUED SOLUTIONS TO LINEAR PARABOLIC EQUATIONS

CONNOR MOONEY

ABSTRACT. We construct examples of complex-valued singular solutions to linear, uniformly parabolic equations with complex coefficients in dimension $n \geq 2$, which are exactly as irregular as parabolic energy estimates allow.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we consider linear uniformly parabolic equations of the form

$$(1) \quad u_t - \operatorname{div}(A(x, t)\nabla u) = 0.$$

Here $u : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, and the coefficients are bounded measurable, complex-valued functions satisfying

$$(2) \quad \operatorname{Re}(A_{kl}(x, t)p_k\bar{p}_l) \geq \lambda|p|^2, \quad |A(x, t)p|^2 \leq \Lambda^2|p|^2$$

for some constants $\lambda, \Lambda > 0$, and for all $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and $p \in \mathbb{C}^n$. By a solution we mean that $u \in L^2_{loc,t}(H^1_{loc,x})$ solves (1) in the sense of distributions. We note that after writing u and A in terms of their real and imaginary parts as

$$u = v + iw, \quad A(x, t) = B(x, t) + iC(x, t),$$

equation (1) can be viewed as the system of (real) equations

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} \partial_t v - \operatorname{div}(B(x, t)\nabla v) - \operatorname{div}(-C(x, t)\nabla w) &= 0 \\ \partial_t w - \operatorname{div}(C(x, t)\nabla v) - \operatorname{div}(B(x, t)\nabla w) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

To motivate our results we first discuss the elliptic case

$$(4) \quad \operatorname{div}(A(x)\nabla u) = 0,$$

where $u : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Solutions to (4) are C^α when $n = 2$ by work of Morrey (see [Mo], Ch. 5.4). (Morrey in fact considers more general elliptic systems, where the solution can take values in \mathbb{R}^m for any $m \geq 1$.) Real-valued solutions to (4) are C^α by fundamental work of De Giorgi [DG1] and Nash [Na]. There are classical counterexamples to the continuity of solutions to elliptic systems in dimension $n \geq 3$ (see [DG2], [GM], [Ma]). Discontinuous solutions to (4) were first constructed in dimension $n \geq 5$ [MNP], and later in dimension $n \geq 3$ [F]. In general, the best regularity we have for (4) is $u \in W^{1,2+\delta}_{loc}$ for some $\delta(n, \lambda, \Lambda) > 0$, which is only slightly better than the energy class of the solutions (see [Gi], Ch. 5 and the references therein, in particular the higher-integrability results of Gehring [Ge], Meyers [Mey], and Meyers-Elcrat [MeyEl]). In fact, for each $\gamma > 2$ there are solutions to (4) that are not in $W^{1,\gamma}_{loc}$ (see [F]).

Interestingly, the parabolic problem (1) has resisted a similar understanding. Real-valued solutions to (1) are C^α by Nash's theorem [Na]. In general we have the higher-integrability results $\nabla u \in L^{2+\delta}_{loc}$ and $u \in L^\infty_{loc,t}(L^{2+\delta}_{loc,x})$ for some $\delta(n, \lambda, \Lambda) >$

0 (see [St], [NS]). There are also examples of discontinuity from smooth data for (1) when $n \geq 3$ (see [FM], and [SJM], [SJ2] for more general parabolic systems). However, all of these examples are in $L_{loc,t}^\infty(W_{loc,x}^{1,2+\delta})$ with $\delta > 0$, and are thus significantly more regular than the higher-integrability results predict. When $n = 2$ the known results don't imply continuity of solutions (unlike the elliptic case), which remained open for some time (see e.g. [SJM], [JS], [SJ1], [SJ2]). We recently settled this problem with a counterexample [M1]. Still, the example in [M1] is barely irregular enough to develop a discontinuity (it is in $L_{loc,t}^\infty(L_{loc,x}^p)$ for p large), so the regularity gap between theory and examples remained large.

The purpose of this paper is to complete the picture for (1) by constructing solutions in dimension $n \geq 2$ that are exactly as irregular as the parabolic higher-integrability results allow (see Theorem 2.2). We also prove some Liouville theorems which explain why previous approaches only produced “elliptic” discontinuities (see Theorems 2.4 and 2.5). Our results connect the regularity problem for (1) in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} , in parabolic geometry, to the regularity problem for the elliptic equation (4) in \mathbb{R}^{n+2} . We discuss this connection further in the next section.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we give precise statements of our main results, Theorems 2.2, 2.4 and 2.5. In Section 3 we prove Theorem 2.2. In Section 4 we prove the Liouville Theorems 2.4 and 2.5. Finally, in Section 5 we discuss a few open questions motivated by this work.

2. RESULTS

In this section we state our results. We will deal with “spiraling” self-similar solutions to (1) of the form

$$(5) \quad u(x, t) = (-t)^{-\frac{\mu}{2}} e^{-\frac{i}{2} \log(-t)} w \left(\frac{x}{(-t)^{1/2}} \right).$$

Remark 2.1. Motivation for this ansatz (in the elliptic case) can be found in [MNP], Ch. 10.6.1, where the approach is to consider equations with constant complex coefficients in a thin cone, and then flatten the boundary.

These are invariant under the rescalings $u \rightarrow \lambda^\mu e^{i \log \lambda} u(\lambda x, \lambda^2 t)$. We obtain a solution to (1) on $\mathbb{R}^n \times (-\infty, 0)$ with coefficients $A(x/(-t)^{1/2})$ if w solves the elliptic equation

$$(6) \quad \operatorname{div}(A(x)\nabla w) = \frac{1}{2}(iw + \mu w + x \cdot \nabla w)$$

on \mathbb{R}^n , and A satisfies (2) for some $\lambda, \Lambda > 0$. Furthermore, the solution defined by (5) is smooth up to $t = 0$ away from $x = 0$ and develops a “spiraling $-\mu$ -homogeneous” discontinuity at $t = 0$ provided $\mu \geq 0$ and

$$(7) \quad w = |x|^{-\mu} g(x/|x|) e^{-i \log |x|} (1 + \mathcal{E}(|x|^{-2})) \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_1.$$

Here $g \in C^\infty(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ and \mathcal{E} is a smooth function with $\mathcal{E}(0) = 0$. We can extend the solution to positive times e.g. by solving the heat equation with initial data $u(x, 0) := |x|^{-\mu} g(x/|x|) e^{-i \log |x|}$, provided $\mu < n$.

Our first result is:

Theorem 2.2. *For any $n \geq 2$ and $0 \leq 2\mu < n$, there exists a nontrivial solution w to a uniformly elliptic equation of the form (6) on \mathbb{R}^n , such that w satisfies (7).*

By taking μ arbitrarily close to $\frac{n}{2}$ we obtain as a consequence:

Corollary 2.3. *For any $n \geq 2$ and $\delta > 0$, there exists a solution u to a uniformly parabolic equation of the form (1) on \mathbb{R}^{n+1} such that u satisfies*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^-} \|u\|_{L_x^{2+\delta}(B_1 \times \{-t\})} = \infty, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^-} \|\nabla u\|_{L^{2+\delta}(B_1 \times (-1, -t))} = \infty.$$

(The ellipticity ratio λ/Λ degenerates as $\delta \rightarrow 0$, in accordance with the higher-integrability results). We conclude, as in the elliptic case, that solutions to parabolic systems are only slightly better than their energy class.

Our remaining results are Liouville theorems for (6). It is natural to ask whether one can construct solutions that decay any faster than we managed. Our first Liouville theorem shows this is not possible:

Theorem 2.4. *Assume that $w \in H_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ solves (6), with $|w| = O(|x|^{-\mu})$ and $2\mu \geq n$. Then $w \equiv 0$.*

There are nontrivial $-\mu$ -homogeneous solutions to elliptic systems of the form $\operatorname{div}(A(x)\nabla u) = 0$ in \mathbb{R}^n provided $2\mu < n - 2$, and there is a Liouville theorem for $-\mu$ -homogeneous solutions on $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ in the equality case (see [M2]). Thus, Theorems 2.2 and 2.4 mirror the elliptic results in dimension $n + 2$. This agrees with the observation that the parabolic energy $L_t^\infty(L_x^2) + L_t^2(H_x^1)$ in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} and the elliptic energy H^1 in \mathbb{R}^{n+2} are invariant under the matching rescalings

$$u \rightarrow \lambda^{n/2}u(\lambda x, \lambda^2 t), \quad \text{resp.} \quad u \rightarrow \lambda^{n/2}u(\lambda x).$$

Theorem 2.4 is a consequence of parabolic energy estimates. We can extend it to the ‘‘elliptic regime’’ $2\mu \geq n - 2$ when w has the monotonicity property

$$(8) \quad (2\mu + x \cdot \nabla)|w|^2 \geq 0 :$$

Theorem 2.5. *Assume that $w \in H_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ solves (6), with $|w| = O(|x|^{-\mu})$ and $2\mu \geq n - 2$. If in addition w satisfies (8), then $w \equiv 0$.*

It is straightforward to check that previous examples ([FM], and [SJM], [SJ2] for more general systems) satisfy condition (8), which explains why they have ‘‘elliptic’’ discontinuities (that is, $n \geq 3$ and $2\mu < n - 2$).

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 2.2

In this section we prove Theorem 2.2. We exploit the useful observation from [F] that if $\operatorname{Im}(A)$ is symmetric, then the ellipticity condition (2) is satisfied provided $\operatorname{Re}(A)$ is uniformly positive definite and $|A|$ is bounded.

Remark 3.1. Heuristically, this structure allows strong coupling between equations when we view (1) as the system (3). The example in [M1] has skew-symmetric imaginary coefficients (which corresponds to the symmetry of the system coefficients). In that case it is important to estimate the size of $\operatorname{Im}(A)$, because it affects the ellipticity condition.

3.1. Reduction to ODE System. We first reduce (6) to an ODE system. Let $r = |x|$ and let $\nu = r^{-1}x$ be the radial unit vector. We search for solutions of the form

$$(9) \quad w = \varphi(r)g(\nu)e^{-i \log r},$$

where g is a smooth function on \mathbb{S}^{n-1} . For our calculations, it will be convenient to use the gradient and Laplace operators $\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}$ and $\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}$ on the sphere. When

we view g as a zero-homogeneous function on $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ (that is, $g(x) = g(\nu)$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$), the spherical operators and the corresponding operators on $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ are related by

$$(10) \quad \nabla g(x) = r^{-1} \nabla_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} g(\nu), \quad \Delta g(x) = \operatorname{div}(\nabla g)(x) = r^{-2} \Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} g(\nu),$$

and the vector $\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} g(\nu)$ is orthogonal to ν .

Using these relations we first compute

$$(11) \quad \nabla w = g e^{-i \log r} (\varphi'(r) - i r^{-1} \varphi) \nu + \varphi(r) e^{-i \log r} r^{-1} \nabla_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} g.$$

Now let

$$B = f(r) \nu \otimes \nu + h(r) (I - \nu \otimes \nu).$$

Since $B\nu = f(r)\nu$ and $B\tau = h(r)\tau$ for τ orthogonal to ν , it follows that

$$B\nabla w = g e^{-i \log r} r^{n-1} (f\varphi' - i r^{-1} f\varphi) \frac{\nu}{r^{n-1}} + h\varphi e^{-i \log r} r^{-1} \nabla_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} g.$$

We will choose φ such that φ' and $r^{-1}\varphi$ are bounded. Taking the divergence of the above identity and using that $\frac{\nu}{r^{n-1}}$ is divergence-free away from the origin, that $\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} g$ is orthogonal to ν , and the relations (10), we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{div}(B\nabla w) \\ &= \frac{g}{r^{n-1}} \left[e^{-i \log r} r^{n-1} (f\varphi' - i r^{-1} f\varphi) \right]' + (h\varphi e^{-i \log r}) \operatorname{div}(r^{-1} \nabla_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} g) \\ &= g e^{-i \log r} \left[\frac{(r^{n-1} f\varphi')'}{r^{n-1}} - \left(f - \frac{\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} g}{g} h \right) \frac{\varphi}{r^2} - i \left(\frac{(r^{n-2} f\varphi)'}{r^{n-1}} + \frac{f\varphi'}{r} \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Let g be an eigenfunction of $\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}$ with eigenvalue $-\lambda_g < 0$. Then the previous expression becomes

$$\operatorname{div}(B\nabla w) = g e^{-i \log r} \left[\frac{(r^{n-1} f\varphi')'}{r^{n-1}} - (f + \lambda_g h) \frac{\varphi}{r^2} - i \frac{(r^{n-2} f\varphi^2)'}{r^{n-1} \varphi} \right].$$

Thus, if we take coefficients

$$(12) \quad A = \alpha I + i(\beta(r)\nu \otimes \nu + \gamma(r)(I - \nu \otimes \nu))$$

with $\alpha > 0$ constant, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div}(A\nabla w) &= g e^{-i \log r} \left[\alpha \left(\frac{(r^{n-1} \varphi')'}{r^{n-1}} - (1 + \lambda_g) \frac{\varphi}{r^2} \right) + \frac{(r^{n-2} \beta \varphi^2)'}{r^{n-1} \varphi} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + i \left(\frac{(r^{n-1} \beta \varphi')'}{r^{n-1}} - (\beta + \lambda_g \gamma) \frac{\varphi}{r^2} - \alpha \frac{(r^{n-2} \varphi^2)'}{r^{n-1} \varphi} \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$i w + \mu w + x \cdot \nabla w = g e^{-i \log r} (\mu \varphi + r \varphi'),$$

the equation (6) becomes the ODE system

$$(13) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{(r^{n-2} \beta \varphi^2)'}{r^{n-1} \varphi} = \frac{1}{2} (\mu \varphi + r \varphi') + (1 + \lambda_g) \alpha \frac{\varphi}{r^2} - \alpha \frac{(r^{n-1} \varphi')'}{r^{n-1}}, \\ \lambda_g \gamma \frac{\varphi}{r^2} = -\alpha \frac{(r^{n-2} \varphi^2)'}{r^{n-1} \varphi} + \frac{(r^{n-1} \beta \varphi')'}{r^{n-1}} - \beta \frac{\varphi}{r^2}. \end{cases}$$

Below we will fix an eigenfunction g of $\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}$ and fix φ and α depending on μ , such that $\varphi \sim r^{-\mu}$ for r large and $\alpha > 0$. Then the first equation determines β , and the second one γ . By the remark at the beginning of the section, the point is to make choices such that β and γ are bounded.

3.2. Solving the ODE System. To begin we fix g to be any linear function restricted to the sphere, so that

$$\lambda_g = n - 1.$$

Integrating the first equation in (13) we obtain

$$(14) \quad \begin{aligned} \beta &= \frac{1}{4} \left(r^2 + \frac{2\mu - n}{r^{n-2}\varphi^2} \int_0^r \varphi^2(s)s^{n-1} ds \right) \\ &+ \frac{n\alpha}{r^{n-2}\varphi^2} \int_0^r \varphi^2(s)s^{n-3} ds \\ &+ \frac{\alpha}{r^{n-2}\varphi^2} \int_0^r \varphi'^2(s)s^{n-1} ds - \alpha \frac{r\varphi'}{\varphi}. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 3.2. It follows easily that if $2\mu \geq n$ and $\varphi = O(r^{-\mu})$, then β is unbounded (compare to Theorem 2.4).

We define

$$(15) \quad \varphi(r) = \begin{cases} r, & 0 \leq r < 3/4 \\ r^{-\mu} + C_\mu r^{-\mu-2}, & r > 1 \\ \text{positive and smooth,} & 1/2 < r < 3/2 \end{cases}$$

where $C_\mu \geq 0$ will be chosen later.

Remark 3.3. By Theorem 2.5 it will be necessary to take $C_\mu > 0$ when $2\mu \geq n - 2$ (and in particular, to generate discontinuities in the case $n = 2$).

For $r < 3/4$ it is easy to check that β and γ are of the form $c_1(n, \alpha) + c_2(n, \mu)r^2$ (with c_i linear in α and μ) so we only need to analyze the solutions for r large. We divide into three cases.

Case 1: $2\mu < n - 2$. We take $C_\mu = 0$ and $\alpha = 1$. It is easy to check that β and γ have the form $c_1 + c_2 r^{2-n+2\mu}$ for $r > 1$, which is bounded.

Case 2: $n - 2 < 2\mu < n$. Now the quantities

$$D := \int_0^\infty (\varphi^2 - s^{-2\mu})s^{n-1} ds, \quad E := \int_0^\infty \varphi^2 s^{n-3} ds, \quad F := \int_0^\infty \varphi'^2 s^{n-1} ds$$

are bounded, for any fixed $C_\mu \geq 0$. The solution (14) becomes

$$\beta = \left(-\frac{n-2\mu}{4} D + \alpha(nE + F) \right) r^{2\mu-n+2} + \mathcal{R}(1).$$

Here and below, $\mathcal{R}(1)$ denotes any smooth function on $(1, \infty)$ whose j^{th} derivative is $O(r^{-j})$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ for each $j \geq 0$. Using the definition of φ we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} D &\geq - \int_0^1 s^{n-1-2\mu} ds + 2C_\mu \int_1^\infty s^{n-3-2\mu} ds \\ &= -\frac{1}{n-2\mu} + \frac{2C_\mu}{2\mu-n+2}. \end{aligned}$$

We conclude that

$$-\frac{n-2\mu}{4} D \leq \frac{1}{4} - \frac{n-2\mu}{2(2\mu-n+2)} C_\mu < 0$$

provided we choose C_μ large. We may then choose $\alpha > 0$ small so that

$$-\frac{n-2\mu}{4}D + \alpha(nE + F) = 0,$$

hence

$$\beta = \mathcal{R}(1).$$

Solving the second equation in (13) for γ gives

$$\gamma = \mathcal{R}(1),$$

which completes this case.

Case 3: $2\mu = n - 2$. This case is similar to the case $2\mu > n - 2$, except to leading order β grows logarithmically. Computing (14) gives

$$\beta = \left(-C_\mu + \alpha \left(n + \frac{1}{4}(n-2)^2 \right) \right) \log r + \mathcal{R}(1).$$

Choosing C_μ and α to satisfy the relation

$$C_\mu = \left(n + \frac{1}{4}(n-2)^2 \right) \alpha$$

we arrive at the same conclusion as in Case 2, completing the construction.

3.3. Proof of Theorem 2.2. For $0 \leq 2\mu < n$, by taking w and A as constructed above we obtain a nontrivial solution to the equation (6) on \mathbb{R}^n , such that A has the desired ellipticity properties and w has the desired asymptotics (7). This proves Theorem 2.2. More precisely:

Proof of Theorem 2.2: For $0 \leq 2\mu < n$, take φ , g , α , β , γ as constructed above. Then the function

$$w = \varphi(r)g(\nu)e^{-i \log r}$$

solves the equation (6) in \mathbb{R}^n with bounded coefficients

$$A = \alpha I + i(\beta(r)\nu \otimes \nu + \gamma(r)(I - \nu \otimes \nu))$$

and by the choice (15) of φ , w has the asymptotics (7). Since $\alpha > 0$ is constant and $\text{Im}(A)$ is symmetric, the coefficients satisfy the ellipticity condition (2), completing the proof. \square

Remark 3.4. In our construction, w is Lipschitz but no better at 0, and smooth but not analytic away from 0. This is a consequence of choices we made for computational convenience. It is not hard to modify the construction so that w is analytic on all of \mathbb{R}^n , e.g. by taking $w = \varphi(r)g(\nu)e^{-\frac{1}{2} \log(1+r^2)}$ with g as above and

$$\varphi = r \left((1+r^2)^{-\frac{\mu+1}{2}} + C_\mu(1+r^2)^{-\frac{\mu+3}{2}} \right).$$

The coefficients $A(x)$ also become analytic on all of \mathbb{R}^n with these modifications.

4. LIOUVILLE THEOREMS

In this section we prove the Liouville theorems Theorem 2.4 and Theorem 2.5.

4.1. Proof of Theorem 2.4. Theorem 2.4 says that if $w \in H_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ solves the uniformly elliptic equation (6) on \mathbb{R}^n , namely,

$$\operatorname{div}(A(x)\nabla w) = \frac{1}{2}(iw + \mu w + x \cdot \nabla w),$$

and $|w| = O(|x|^{-\mu})$ and $2\mu \geq n$, then $w \equiv 0$. We prove it here.

Proof of Theorem 2.4. Let $\psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be real-valued. Multiplying (6) by $\bar{w}\psi^2$ we obtain

$$(16) \quad 2\operatorname{Re}(\operatorname{div}(A\nabla w)\bar{w}\psi^2) = \frac{1}{2}(2\mu|w|^2 + x \cdot \nabla|w|^2)\psi^2.$$

Integrating by parts and using the ellipticity condition (2) we get

$$(17) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (-\lambda|\nabla w|^2\psi^2 + C(\lambda, \Lambda)|w|^2|\nabla\psi|^2) dx \\ \geq \frac{2\mu - n}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |w|^2\psi^2 dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |w|^2 x \cdot \nabla(\psi^2) dx.$$

Since $2\mu \geq n$, the first term on the right side is non-negative.

We now fix our choice of ψ . Let ψ_1 be a smooth, radially decreasing function supported in B_2 with $\psi_1 \equiv 1$ in B_1 , and let $\psi_R := \psi_1(R^{-1}x)$. Take $\psi = \psi_R$. Then the second term on the right side of (17) is non-negative, so the right side is non-negative. Using that $|w|^2|\nabla\psi|^2 = O(R^{-2\mu-2})$ in $B_{2R} \setminus B_R$ we conclude that

$$\int_{B_R} |\nabla w|^2 dx = O(R^{n-2\mu-2}) = O(R^{-2}).$$

By taking $R \rightarrow \infty$ we conclude that w is constant, and by the equation (6) this constant is zero. \square

4.2. Proof of Theorem 2.5. Theorem 2.5 says that if $w \in H_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ solves (6) on \mathbb{R}^n , with $|w| = O(|x|^{-\mu})$ and $2\mu \geq n-2$, and in addition w satisfies the monotonicity property (8):

$$(2\mu + x \cdot \nabla)|w|^2 \geq 0,$$

then $w \equiv 0$. We prove it here.

Proof of Theorem 2.5. We start again with the identity (16). By (8) the right side of (16) is non-negative. Integrating by parts gives the Caccioppoli inequality

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla w|^2\psi^2 dx \leq C(\lambda, \Lambda) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |w|^2|\nabla\psi|^2 dx.$$

Choosing ψ as before, we recover the inequality

$$\int_{B_R} |\nabla w|^2 dx = O(R^{n-2\mu-2}),$$

which proves the theorem when $2\mu > n-2$. In the critical case $2\mu = n-2$, use instead

$$\psi = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{in } B_1, \\ 1 - \log(r)/\log(R) & \text{in } B_R \setminus B_1, \\ 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_R \end{cases}$$

to obtain

$$\int_{B_{\sqrt{R}}} |\nabla w|^2 dx = O\left(\frac{1}{\log R}\right).$$

Again, taking $R \rightarrow \infty$ we conclude that w is constant, and by the equation (6) this constant is zero. \square

5. SOME QUESTIONS

Our results motivate several questions about the regularity of solutions to parabolic systems. First, the coefficients in our examples allow for strong coupling between equations. It is natural to ask if there are structure conditions on the coefficients that give positive regularity results, and our first two questions address this issue. Second, our examples exhibit blowup at a single point. The third question below concerns the possibility of constructing solutions with larger singular sets. Finally, parabolic systems with quasilinear structure arise naturally, and it would be interesting to construct singular solutions to systems of that type. Our last question addresses this problem.

- (1) Our examples have coefficients with symmetric imaginary part. Similar constructions might be possible with skew-symmetric imaginary coefficients, using techniques from [M1]. In this setting the imaginary coefficients play a role in ellipticity.
- (2) For elliptic systems there is a sharp condition on the spectrum of the coefficients that guarantees continuity of solutions [Ko]. Sufficient conditions are known in the parabolic case ([Ko], [Ka]). It would be interesting to investigate how closely our counterexamples match these conditions. Similarly, it would be interesting to optimize in our examples the dependence of the higher-integrability exponent δ on the ellipticity ratio λ/Λ .
- (3) Solutions to parabolic systems in dimension $n \geq 3$ can be discontinuous on very large sets [SJ1]. It is natural to ask how large the discontinuity set can be when $n = 2$. Known results imply spatial continuity at almost every time, which is false when $n \geq 3$ by elliptic examples.
- (4) Parabolic systems with the quasilinear structure

$$(18) \quad u_t - \operatorname{div}(A(u)\nabla u) = 0$$

have a well-developed partial regularity theory and are important in applications [GS]. Here the coefficients depend smoothly on u . Constructing solutions to (18) becomes easier when $u : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ for m large because there is more room to “disperse u .” [M1] contains examples of discontinuity formation for (18) when $n = 2$, $m = 4$. One can improve to $n = 2$, $m = 3$ using similar techniques [M3]. Continuity for solutions to (18) in the case $n = m = 2$ (in particular, the \mathbb{C} -valued scalar case) remains open. It seems possible in view of Theorem 2.5 that the restrictive geometry of the target could play in favor of regularity (see the discussion in [M3]).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author is grateful to John Ball and Jan Kristensen for discussions, to the Oxford Mathematical Institute for its generous hospitality, and to the anonymous referees for their helpful comments which improved the exposition. This research was supported by NSF grant DMS-1854788.

REFERENCES

- [DG1] De Giorgi, E.: Sulla differenziabilità e l'analicità delle estremali degli integrali multipli regolari. *Mem. Accad. Sci. Torino cl. Sci. Fis. Fat. Nat.* 3, 25-43 (1957).
- [DG2] De Giorgi, E.: Un esempio di estremali discontinue per un problema variazionale di tipo ellittico. *Boll. UMI* 4, 135-137 (1968).
- [F] Frehse, J.: An irregular complex valued solution to a scalar uniformly elliptic equation. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* 33, 263-266 (2008).
- [FM] Frehse, J., Meinel, J.: An irregular complex-valued solution to a scalar linear parabolic equation. *Int. Math. Res. Not.* 2008, Art. ID rnn074 (2008).
- [Ge] Gehring, F. W.: The L^p -integrability of the partial derivatives of a quasi-conformal mapping. *Acta Math.* 130, 265-277 (1973).
- [Gi] Giaquinta, M.: *Multiple Integrals in the Calculus of Variations and Nonlinear Elliptic Systems*. Annals of Mathematics Studies, 105. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ (1983).
- [GS] Giaquinta, M., Struwe, M.: On the partial regularity of weak solutions of nonlinear parabolic systems. *Math. Z.* 179, 437-451 (1982).
- [GM] Giusti, E., Miranda, M.: Un esempio di soluzione discontinua per un problema di minimo relativo ad un integrale regolare del calcolo delle variazioni. *Boll. Un. Mat. Ital.* 2, 1-8 (1968).
- [JS] John, O., Stará, J.: On the regularity of weak solutions to parabolic systems in two spatial dimensions. *Comm. PDE* 23, 1159-1170 (1998).
- [Ka] Kalita, E.: On the Hölder continuity of solutions of nonlinear parabolic systems. *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin.* 35, 675-680 (1994).
- [Ko] Koshelev, A.: *Regularity problem for quasilinear elliptic and parabolic systems*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1614. Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1995).
- [Ma] Maz'ya, V. G.: Examples of nonregular solutions of quasilinear elliptic equations with analytic coefficients. *Funkcional. Anal. i. Pril.* 2, 53-57 (1968).
- [MNP] Maz'ya, V. G., Nasarow, S. A., Plamenewski, B. A.: *Asymptotische Theorie Elliptischer Randwertaufgaben in Singulär Gestörten Gebieten*. Akademie-Verlag, Berlin (1991).
- [Mey] Meyers, N. G.: An L^p -estimate for the gradient of solutions of second order elliptic divergence equations. *Ann. Sc. Norm. Sup. Pisa (3)* 17, 189-206 (1963).
- [MeyEl] Meyers, N. G., Elcrat, A.: Some results on regularity for solutions of nonlinear elliptic systems and quasiregular functions. *Duke Math. J.* 42, 121-136 (1975).
- [M1] Mooney, C.: Finite time blowup for parabolic systems in two dimensions. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 223, 1039-1055 (2017).
- [M2] Mooney, C.: Singularities in the Calculus of Variations. In *Contemporary Research in Elliptic PDEs and Related Topics* (Ed. Serena Dipierro), Springer INdAM Series **33** (2019), 457-480.
- [M3] Mooney, C.: Some remarks on "Finite time blowup for parabolic systems in two dimensions." Unpublished note, available at <https://www.math.uci.edu/~mooneycr/>.
- [Mo] Morrey, C. B.: *Multiple Integrals in the Calculus of Variations*. Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, NY (1966).
- [Na] Nash, J.: Continuity of solutions of parabolic and elliptic equations. *Amer. J. Math.* 80, 931-954 (1958).
- [NS] Nečas, J., Šverák, V.: On regularity of solutions of nonlinear parabolic systems. *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4)* 18, 1-11 (1991).
- [SJ1] Stará, J., John, O.: On some regularity and nonregularity results for solutions to parabolic systems. *Le Matematiche* 55, 145-163 (2000).
- [SJ2] Stará, J., John, O.: Some (new) counterexamples of parabolic systems. *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin.* 36, 503-510 (1995).
- [SJM] Stará, J., John, O., Malý, J.: Counterexample to the regularity of weak solution of the quasilinear parabolic system. *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin.* 27, 123-136 (1986).
- [St] Struwe, M.: Some regularity results for quasi-linear parabolic systems. *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin.* 26, 129-150 (1985).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UC IRVINE
 E-mail address: mooneycr@math.uci.edu