SOME COUNTEREXAMPLES TO SOBOLEV REGULARITY FOR DEGENERATE MONGE-AMPERE EQUATIONS

CONNOR MOONEY

Abstract. We construct a counterexample to $W^{2,1}$ regularity for convex solutions to
\[ \det D^2 u \leq 1, \quad u|_{\partial \Omega} = \text{const.} \]
in two dimensions. We also prove a result on the propagation of Lipschitz/log(Lipschitz) singularities in two dimensions. This generalizes a classical result of Alexandrov and is optimal by example.

1. Introduction

In this paper we investigate the $W^{2,1}$ regularity of convex Alexandrov solutions to degenerate Monge-Ampère equations of the form
\[ (1) \quad \det D^2 u(x) = \rho(x) \leq 1 \text{ in } \Omega, \quad u|_{\partial \Omega} = \text{const.}, \]
where $\Omega$ is a bounded convex domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$.

In the case that $\rho$ also has a strictly positive lower bound, $W^{2,1}$ estimates were first obtained by De Philippis and Figalli in [DF2]. They showed that $\Delta u \log^k(2 + \Delta u)$ is integrable for any $k$. It was subsequently shown in [DFS] and [Sch] that $D^2 u$ is in fact $L^{1+\epsilon}$ for some $\epsilon$ depending on dimension and $\|1/\rho\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}$. These estimates are optimal in light of two-dimensional examples due to Wang [W] with the homogeneity
\[ u(\lambda x_1, \lambda^\alpha x_2) = \lambda^{1+\alpha} u(x_1, x_2). \]

These estimates fail when $\rho$ degenerates. In three and higher dimensions, it is not hard to construct solutions to (1) that have a Lipschitz singularity on part of a hyperplane, so the second derivatives concentrate (see Section 2). However, in two dimensions, a classical result of Alexandrov [A] shows that Lipschitz singularities of convex solutions to $\det D^2 u \leq 1$ propagate to the boundary. Thus, in two dimensions solutions to (1) are $C^1$ and $D^2 u$ has no jump part. However, this leaves open the possibility that $D^2 u$ has nonzero Cantor part.

The main result of this paper is the construction of a solution to (1) in two dimensions that is not $W^{2,1}$. This negatively answers an open problem stated in both [DF1] and [F], which was motivated by potential applications to the semigeostrophic equation. We also prove that, in two dimensions, singularities that are logarithmically slower than Lipschitz propagate. This result generalizes the theorem of Alexandrov and is optimal by example.

The $W^{2,1}$ estimates mentioned above have applications to the global existence of weak solutions to the semigeostrophic equation ([ACDF1], [ACDF2]). In this context, the density $\rho$ solves a continuity equation that preserves $L^\infty$ bounds. This
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is the only regularity property of $\rho$ that is globally preserved, due to nonlinear coupling between $\rho$ and the velocity field. It is therefore useful to obtain estimates that depend on $L^\infty$ bounds for $\rho$ but not on its regularity.

To apply the results in [DF2] and [DFS] one must assume that $\rho$ is supported in the whole space. However, in physically interesting cases, the initial density is compactly supported. It is thus natural to ask what one can show about solutions to (1). Our construction shows that, even in two dimensions, one must rely more on the specific structure of the semigeostrophic equation to obtain existence results for compactly supported initial data.

The idea of our construction is to start with a one-dimensional convex function of $x_2$ in the half-space $\{x_1 < 0\}$ whose second derivative has nontrivial Cantor part, and extend to a convex function on $\mathbb{R}^2$ which lifts from these values without generating too much Monge-Ampère measure. To accomplish this we start with a “building block” $v_1$ that agrees with $|x_2|$ in $\{|x_2| \geq (x_1)^\alpha\}$ for some $\alpha > 1$, and in the cusp $\{|x_2| < (x_1)^\alpha\}$ grows with the homogeneity

$$v_1(\lambda x_1, \lambda^\alpha x_2) = \lambda^\alpha v_1(x_1, x_2).$$

By superposing vertically-translated rescalings of (a smoothed version of) $v_1$ in a self-similar way, we obtain our example.

Our main theorem is:

**Theorem 1.1.** For all $n \geq 2$, there exist solutions to (1) that are not $W^{2,1}.$

**Remark 1.2.** It is obvious that solutions to (1) in one dimension are $C^{1,1}.$

**Remark 1.3.** In our examples, the support of $\rho$ is irregular. In particular, in the higher-dimensional examples, the support of $\rho$ is a cusp revolved around an axis, and in the two-dimensional example, the support of $\rho$ has a very irregular “fractal” geometry.

In e.g. [DS] and [G] the authors obtain interesting regularity results when $\rho$ degenerates in a specific way, motivated by applications to prescribed Gauss curvature.

Our second result concerns the behavior of solutions to (1) near a single line segment in $\mathbb{R}^2.$ Since Lipschitz singularities propagate, $D^2 u$ cannot concentrate on a line segment. (In our two-dimensional counterexample to $W^{2,1}$ regularity, $D^2 u$ concentrates on a family of horizontal rays.) On the other hand, by modifying an example in [W] one can construct, for any $\epsilon > 0,$ a solution to (1) that grows like $|x_2|/|\log x_2|^{1+\epsilon},$ with second derivatives not in $L \log^{1+\epsilon} L$ (see Section 4).

It is natural to ask whether one can take $\epsilon \leq 0.$ We show that this is not possible. Indeed, we construct a family of barriers that agree with $|x_2|/|\log x_2|$ away from arbitrarily thin cusps around the $x_1$ axis, where we can make the Monge-Ampère measure as large as we like. By sliding these barriers we prove that singularities of the form $|x_2|/|\log x_2|$ propagate. Our second theorem is:

**Theorem 1.4.** Assume that $u$ is convex on $\mathbb{R}^2$ and that $\det D^2 u \leq 1.$ Then if $u(0) = 0$ and $u \geq c|x_2|/|\log x_2|$ in a neighborhood of the origin for some $c > 0,$ then $u$ vanishes on the $x_1$ axis.

**Remark 1.5.** Note that we assume the growth in a neighborhood of 0. For a Lipschitz singularity it is enough to assume the growth at a point, which automatically extends to a neighborhood by convexity. (See e.g. [FL] for a short proof that Lipschitz singularities propagate.)
Remark 1.6. Theorem 1.4 shows that a solution to \( \det D^2 u \geq 1 \) in two dimensions cannot separate from a tangent plane more slowly than \( r^2 e^{-r^2/2} \) in any fixed direction. This quantifies the classical result that such functions are strictly convex. The idea is that if not, then after subtracting a tangent plane we have \( 0 \leq u \leq C|x_1| + \frac{e^{r^2}}{2r^2} \) near the origin. Taking the Legendre transform one obtains \( u^* \geq \frac{C|x_2|}{|\log x_2|} \) near the origin. Applying Theorem 1.4 to \( u^* \) gives a contradiction of the strict convexity of \( u \).

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we construct simple examples of solutions to (1) in the case \( n \geq 3 \) which have a Lipschitz singularity on a hyperplane. In Section 3 we construct a solution to (1) in two dimensions whose second derivatives have nontrivial Cantor part. This proves our main Theorem 1.1. In Section 4 we first construct examples showing that Theorem 1.4 is optimal. We then construct barriers related to these examples. Finally, we use the barriers to prove Theorem 1.4.

2. The Case \( n \geq 3 \)

In this section we construct simple examples of solutions to (1) in three and higher dimensions that have a Lipschitz singularity on a hyperplane. Denote \( x \in \mathbb{R}^n \) by \( (x', x_n) \) and let \( r = |x'| \). More precisely, we show:

**Proposition 2.1.** In dimension \( n \geq 3 \), for any \( \alpha \geq \frac{n}{n-2} \) there exists a solution to (1) that is a positive multiple of \( |x_n| \) in \( \{ |x_n| \geq (r-1)^{\alpha} \} \).

**Proof.** Let \( h(r) = (r-1)^{+} \). We search for a convex function \( u = u(r, x_n) \) in \( \{ |x_n| < h(r)^{\alpha} \} \), with \( \alpha > 1 \), that glues "nicely" across the boundary to \( |x_n| \). To that end we look for a function with the homogeneity

\[
u_1 + \lambda t, \lambda^\alpha x_n = \lambda^\alpha u(1 + t, x_n),
\]

so that \( \partial_n u \) is invariant under the rescaling. Let

\[
u(r, x_n) = \begin{cases} h(r)^\alpha + h(r)^{-\alpha} x_n^2, & |x_n| < h(r)^\alpha \\ 2|x_n|, & |x_n| \geq h(r)^\alpha. \end{cases}
\]

Then \( \nabla u \) is continuous on \( \partial \{ |x_n| < h(r)^\alpha \} \backslash \{ r = 1, x_n = 0 \} \). Furthermore, \( \partial u |_{\{ r = 1, x_n = 0 \}} \) is the line segment between \( \pm 2e_n \), which has measure zero. Thus, in the Alexandrov sense, \( \det D^2 u \) can be computed piecewise. In the cylindrical coordinates \( (r, x_n) \) one easily computes

\[
\det D^2 u = \begin{cases} 2\alpha^{n-1(1-\alpha)} h(r)^{\alpha(n-2)-n} \left( 1 - \frac{x_n}{h(r)^{\alpha}} \right)^{n-1}, & |x_n| < h(r)^{\alpha} \\ 0, & |x_n| \geq h(r)^{\alpha}. \end{cases}
\]

For \( \alpha \geq \frac{n}{n-2} \) the right hand side is locally bounded. \( \square \)

**Remark 2.2.** The bound on \( \alpha \) can be understood by looking at the gradient map of \( u \), which takes a “ring” of volume like \( h(r)^{1+\alpha} \) to a “football” of length of order 1 and radius of order \( h(r)^{\alpha-1} \) (see Figure 1). Then impose that it decreases volume.

**Remark 2.3.** Observe that \( \det D^2 u \) grows like \( \text{dist}^{-n-2+\frac{n}{\alpha}} \) from its zero set. This is in a sense optimal; if \( \det D^2 u < C|x_n|^{n-2} \) then one can modify Alexandrov’s two-dimensional argument to show that the singularity has no extremal points.
Figure 1. The gradient map of $u$ decreases volume if $\alpha \geq \frac{n}{n-2}$.

3. The Case $n = 2$

In this section we prove the main Theorem 1.1. We construct our example in several steps.

First, let $g(t)$ be a smooth, convex function such that $g(t) = 1/2$ for $t \leq 0$ and $g(t) = t^\alpha$ for $t \geq 1$, where $\alpha > 1$. Then define

$$v_1(x_1, x_2) = \begin{cases} g(x_1) + \frac{1}{g(x_1)} x_2^2, & |x_2| < g(x_1), \\ 2|x_2|, & |x_2| \geq g(x_1). \end{cases}$$

It is easy to check that $v_1$ is a $C^{1,1}$ convex function, and in the Alexandrov sense,

$$\det D^2 v_1(x_1, x_2) = \begin{cases} 2 g'(x_1) \left(1 - \frac{x_2^2}{g(x_1)^2}\right), & |x_2| < g(x_1), \\ 0, & |x_2| \geq g(x_1). \end{cases}$$

In particular, $\det D^2 v_1$ is bounded, and decays like $x_1^{-2}$ for $x_1$ large. Let $v_\lambda$ be the rescalings defined by

$$v_\lambda(x_1, x_2) = \frac{1}{\lambda^{1+\alpha}} v_1(\lambda x_1, \lambda^\alpha x_2).$$

Observe that

$$\det D^2 v_\lambda(x_1, x_2) = \det D^2 v_1(\lambda x_1, \lambda^\alpha x_2),$$

and we have

$$v_\lambda = \frac{1}{\lambda} (x_1^\alpha + x_1^{-\alpha} x_2^2) \quad \text{in} \quad \{x_1 \geq \lambda^{-1}\} \cap \{|x_2| \leq x_1^\alpha\}. \tag{2}$$

In the following key lemma we show that any superposition of $\lambda$ vertically-translated copies of $v_\lambda$ has bounded Monge-Ampère measure in $\{x_1 > 1/2\}$, and separates from its tangent planes when we step away from the $x_2$ axis.
Lemma 3.1. Let \( \{x_{2,i}\}_{i=1}^{N} \) be fixed numbers with \( |x_{2,i}| \leq 1 \) for all \( i \), where \( N \) is any positive integer. Let

\[
    w(x_1, x_2) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} v_N(x_1, x_2 - x_{2,i}).
\]

Then

\[
    (3) \quad \det D^2 w < C(\alpha) \quad \text{in} \quad \{x_1 > 1/2\}
\]

for some \( C(\alpha) \) independent of \( N \) and the choice of \( \{x_{2,i}\} \), and

\[
    (4) \quad w(2, x_2) > w(0, x_2) + \mu(\alpha) \quad \text{for all} \quad |x_2| < 1,
\]

for some \( \mu(\alpha) > 0 \) independent of \( N \) and the choice of \( \{x_{2,i}\} \).

Proof. Proof of (3): Since \( \det D^2 v_1 \) is bounded we may assume that \( N \geq 2 \). Let \( p = (p_1, p_2) \in \{x_1 > 1/2\} \). Since \( w \) is \( C^{1,1} \), the curves \( p_2 = x_{2,i} \pm p_1^2 \) don’t contribute anything to \( \det D^2 w \), so we may assume that \( p_2 \neq x_{2,i} \pm p_1^2 \) for any \( i \).

Then in a neighborhood of \( p \), a subset of \( M \leq N \) of the translates are not linear, and all are linear if in addition \( |p_2| > 1 + p_1^2 \). Up to relabeling the indices and subtracting a linear function of \( x_2 \), by (2) we can write

\[
    w = \frac{M}{N} \left( x_1^{\alpha} + x_1^{-\alpha} \left( x_2^{-2} - 2x_2 \sum_{i=1}^{M} x_{2,i} \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{M} x_{2,i}^2 \right)
\]

in a neighborhood of \( p \). Since \( |x_{2,i}| \leq 1 \), one easily computes that

\[
    \det D^2 w(p) \leq 2\alpha \frac{M^2}{N^2} (\alpha - 1 + (\alpha + 1)p_1^{-2} (p_2^{-2} + 2|p_2| + 1)) \]

and \( \det D^2 w(p) = 0 \) if \( |p_2| > 1 + p_1^2 \). We conclude that

\[
    \det D^2 w(p) < C(\alpha),
\]

where \( C(\alpha) \) does not depend on \( N \).

Proof of (4): Since \( v_1 \) is monotone increasing in the \( e_1 \) direction, we have for \( |x_2| \leq 2 \) that

\[
    v_1(2, x_2) - v_1(0, x_2) \geq v_1(2, x_2) - v_1(2^{1/\alpha}, x_2) \geq 2^{-\alpha} (2^\alpha - 2)^2.
\]

Since \( \alpha > 1 \), the lower bound \( \mu := 2^{-\alpha} (2^\alpha - 2)^2 \) is strictly positive.

By (2) the same argument gives

\[
    v_N(2, x_2) - v_N(0, x_2) > \frac{\mu}{N}
\]

for \( |x_2| \leq 2 \). Finally, since \( |x_{2,i}| \leq 1 \), we have for \( |x_2| < 1 \) that

\[
    \sum_{i=1}^{N} [v_N(2, x_2 - x_{2,i}) - v_N(0, x_2 - x_{2,i})] \geq \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\mu}{N} = \mu > 0,
\]

completing the proof.

We can now complete the construction. Roughly, at stage \( k \) we superset \( 2^{k+1} \)
vertical translations of \( v_{2^{k+1}} \), starting at the endpoints of the intervals removed up
to the \( k^{th} \) stage in the construction of the Cantor set.
The function $u_1$ is a piecewise linear function of $x_2$ outside of the four equally spaced cusps between $x_2 = -1$ and $x_2 = 1$.

**Proof of Theorem 1.1.** Fix

$$\alpha := \frac{\log 3}{\log 2},$$

and define

$$u_1(x_1, x_2) = \sum_{i=0}^{3} v_4(x_1, x_2 - 1 + 2i/3).$$

Then $u_1$ is a piecewise linear function of $x_2$ outside of four equally spaced cusps in $\{x_1 > 0\}$ connected to thin strips in $\{x_1 < 0\}$ (see Figure 2).

Define $u_k$ inductively by

$$u_{k+1}(x_1, x_2) = \frac{1}{2^{1+\alpha}} \left[ u_k(2x_1, 3(x_2 + 2/3)) + u_k(2x_1, 3(x_2 - 2/3)) \right].$$

We first claim that $\det D^2 u_k$ are uniformly bounded (in $k$) in $\{x_1 > 1/2\}$. Indeed, each $u_k$ is a sum of $2^{k+1}$ vertical translates of $v_{2k+1}$ by values in $[-1, 1]$, so this follows from (3).

Next we show that $\det D^2 u_k$ are uniformly bounded in $\mathbb{R}^2$. Note that $u_k$ are linear functions of $x_2$ in $\{x_1 \leq 1\} \times \{|x_2| > 2\}$, so in $\{x_1 \leq 1/2\}$, the rescaled copies of $u_k$ in the definition of $u_{k+1}$ are linear where the other is nontrivial (the determinants “don’t interact”, see Figure 3). Since the rescaling $2^{-(1+\alpha)} u_k(2x_1, 3x_2)$
Figure 3. The function $u_2$ is obtained by superposing two rescaled copies of $u_1$, whose Hessians don’t affect each other in $\{x_1 \leq 1/2\}$.

preserves Hessian determinants, we conclude that

$$\det D^2 u_{k+1}|_{\{x_1 \leq 1/2\}} \leq \sup_{x_1 \geq 0} \det D^2 u_k.$$  

One easily checks that $\det D^2 u_1$ is bounded, so the claim follows by induction.

Since $|v_1|, |\nabla v_1| < CR^{\alpha}$ in $B_R$, the functions $u_k$ are locally uniformly Lipschitz and bounded and thus converge locally uniformly to some $u_\infty$. The right hand sides $\det D^2 u_k$ converge weakly to $\det D^2 u_\infty$ (see [Gut]), so

$$\det D^2 u_\infty < \Lambda < \infty$$

in all of $\mathbb{R}^2$.

Finally, let

$$u(x_1, x_2) = u_\infty((|x_1| - 1)_+, x_2)$$

be the function obtained by translating $u_\infty$ to the right and reflecting over the $x_2$ axis.

It is clear that $u$ is even in $x_1$ and $x_2$, and is a one-dimensional function $f(x_2)$ in the strip $\{|x_1| < 1\}$. It is easy to show that $f'$ is the standard Cantor function (appropriately rescaled), so $f''$ has a nontrivial Cantor part. Indeed, $\partial_2 u_k(0, \cdot)$ jumps by $2^{1-k}$ over each of $2^{k+1}$ intervals of length $3^{-(k+1)}$ centered at the endpoints
of the sets removed in the construction of the Cantor set. By (4) we also have
\[ u(\pm 2, 0) > u(0, 0) + \mu. \]
Since \( u \) is even over both axes we conclude that
\[ \{ u < u(0, 0) + \mu \} \subset [-2, 2] \times [-C, C]. \]
By convexity, \( u \) has bounded sublevel sets, completing the proof. \( \square \)

4. A Propagation Result

In \( \mathbb{R}^2 \), the second derivatives of a solution to (1) cannot concentrate on a single line segment, since Lipschitz singularities propagate. (Compare to the example above, where the second derivatives concentrate on a family of horizontal rays.) In this section we investigate more closely how solutions to (1) can behave near a single line segment in \( \mathbb{R}^2 \).

We first construct, for any \( \epsilon > 0 \), examples that grow from the origin like \( |x_2|/|\log x_2|^{1+\epsilon} \), with \( D^2 u \) not in \( \log^{1+\epsilon} L \). We then construct a family of barriers related to these examples in the case \( \epsilon = 0 \). Finally, we use these barriers to prove that singularities of the form \( |x_2|/|\log x_2| \) propagate.

4.1. Examples that Grow Logarithmically Slower than Lipschitz.

**Proposition 4.1.** For any \( \alpha > 0 \) there exists a solution to (1) in two dimensions that vanishes at 0 and lies above \( c|x_2|/|\log x_2|^{1+1/\alpha} \), and whose Hessian is not in \( \log^{1+1/\alpha} L \).

**Proof.** Let \( \Omega_1 = \{ |x_2| < h(x_1)e^{-1/x_1^\alpha} \} \) for some positive even function \( h \) to be determined. (By \( x^\gamma \) we mean \( |x|^\gamma \).) In \( \Omega_1 \), define
\[ u_0(x_1, x_2) = x_1^{\alpha+1}e^{-1/x_1^\alpha} + x_2^{\alpha+1}e^{1/x_1^\alpha}x_2^2. \]
We would like to glue this to a function of \( x_2 \) on \( \Omega_2 = \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \Omega_1 \), which imposes the condition \( \partial_1 u_0 = 0 \) on the boundary. Computing, we find that
\[ h^2(t) = \frac{1 + (\alpha + 1)t^\alpha/\alpha}{1 - (\alpha + 1)t^\alpha/\alpha} = 1 + 2\frac{\alpha + 1}{\alpha}t^\alpha + O(t^{2\alpha}). \]
In this way we ensure that \( u_0 \) glues in a \( C^1 \) manner across \( \partial \Omega_1 \) to some function \( g(|x_2|) \) in \( \Omega_2 \) defined by
\[ g(h(t)e^{-1/t^\alpha}) = t^{\alpha+1}(1 + h^2(t))e^{-1/t^\alpha}. \]
The agreement of derivatives on \( \partial \Omega_1 \) gives
\[ g'(h(t)e^{-1/t^\alpha}) = 2t^{\alpha+1}h(t), \]
which upon differentiation and using the formula for \( h \) gives
\[ g''(h(t)e^{-1/t^\alpha}) = 2(1 + 1/\alpha + o(1))e^{1/t^\alpha}t^{2\alpha+1}. \]
For \( |z| \) small it follows that
\[ g''(z) \geq \frac{1}{|z||\log z|^{2+1/\alpha}}, \]
giving the non-integrability claimed (after, say, replacing \( x_1 \) by \( (|x_1| - 1)^+ \)).

It remains to show that \( \det D^2 u_0 \) is positive and bounded. One computes for
\[ x_2^2 = s^2 h(x_1)^2 e^{-2/x_1^\alpha}, \quad s^2 < 1 \]
that
\[
\det D^2 u_0(x_1, x_2) = 2\alpha^2 \left\{ (1 - s^2) + (\alpha + 1)x_1^\alpha(1 + s^2)/\alpha \right\} + O(x_1^{2\alpha}),
\]
completing the proof. \(\square\)

4.2. Barriers. We now construct barriers that agree with \(|x_2|/|\log x_2|\) except for in very thin cusps around the \(x_1\) axis where the Monge-Ampère measure is as large as we like. Let
\[
h_\alpha(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & t \leq 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} e^{-1/\alpha}, & t > 0 \end{cases}
\]
where \(\alpha > 0\) is large. Let \(\Omega_{1,\alpha} = \{|x_2| < h_\alpha(x_1)\}\) be a thin cusp around the positive \(x_1\) axis and let \(\Omega_{2,\alpha}\) be its complement. Our barrier is
\[
b_\alpha(x_1, x_2) = \begin{cases} x_1^\alpha e^{-1/x_1^\alpha} + x_1^\alpha e^{1/x_1^\alpha} x_2^2 & \text{in } \Omega_{1,\alpha}, \\ \frac{5}{2} |x_2|/|\log 2x_2| & \text{in } \Omega_{2,\alpha}. \end{cases}
\]

Note that \(b_\alpha\) is convex and bounded by 1 on \(\Omega_{2,\alpha} \cap \{|x_2| < \frac{1}{2}\}\), and \(b_\alpha\) is continuous across \(\partial \Omega_{1,\alpha}\). Furthermore, on \(\partial \Omega_{1,\alpha}\) one computes (from inside \(\Omega_{1,\alpha}\)) that
\[
\partial_t b_\alpha(x_1, x_2) = \alpha e^{-1/x_1^\alpha} \left( \frac{3}{4} x_1^{-1} + \frac{5}{4} x_1^{-\alpha-1} \right) \geq 0,
\]
so the derivatives have positive jumps across \(\partial \Omega_{1,\alpha}\).

Denote \(x_2^2 e^{2/x_1^\alpha} = a\). One computes in \(\Omega_{1,\alpha}\) (where \(a \leq 1/4\)) that
\[
\det D^2 b_\alpha = 2\alpha^2 x_1^{-2} \left( (1 - a) + \frac{\alpha - 1 + a(3\alpha + 1)}{\alpha} x_1^\alpha + \frac{\alpha - 1 - a(\alpha + 1)}{\alpha} x_1^{-\alpha} \right)
\geq \frac{3}{2} \alpha^2 x_1^{-2}.
\]

Finally, let \(\Omega := (-\infty, 1/2] \times [-1/4, 1/4]\). We conclude that \(b_\alpha\) are convex in \(\Omega\), with
\[
\det D^2 b_\alpha \geq 6\alpha^2 \quad \text{in } \Omega_{1,\alpha} \cap \Omega,
\]
and furthermore
\[
b_\alpha < \frac{5}{4} 2^{-\alpha} e^{-2\alpha} \quad \text{in } \Omega_{1,\alpha} \cap \Omega.
\]

4.3. Propagation. We prove Theorem 1.4 by sliding the barriers \(b_\alpha\) from the right.

**Proof of Theorem 1.4.** By rescaling and multiplying by a constant, we may assume that
\[
u \geq \frac{5}{2} |x_2|/|\log 2x_2| \quad \text{in } \{|x_2| < 1/4\} \cap B_1,
\]
with \(u(0) = 0\) and \(\det D^2 u < \Lambda\) for some large \(\Lambda\). Choose \(\alpha\) so large that \(\alpha^2 > \Lambda\). Slide the barriers \(b_\alpha(-t e_1)\) from the right. Since \(u \geq b_\alpha(-t e_1)\) on \(\partial (\Omega_{1,\alpha} + te_1) \cap \Omega\) for all \(|t|\) small, it follows from the maximum principle that
\[
u(1/2, x_2) \leq b_\alpha(1/2, x_2)
\]
for some \((1/2, x_2) \in \overline{\Omega_{1,\alpha}} \cap \Omega\). (Indeed, if not, we can take \(t = -\epsilon\) small and obtain \(\{u < b_\alpha(\cdot + \epsilon e_1)\} \subset (\Omega_{1,\alpha} - \epsilon e_1) \cap \Omega\), which contradicts the Alexandrov maximum principle; see Figure 4). Taking \(\alpha \to \infty\), we conclude that \(u(e_1/2) = 0\).

By convexity, near each point on the \(x_1\) axis where \(u\) is zero, there is a singularity of the same type as near the origin. We can apply the above argument at all such points to complete the proof. \(\square\)
Figure 4. If \( u > b_\alpha \) on the right edge of \( \Omega_{1,\alpha} \cap \Omega \), then we get a contradiction by sliding \( b_\alpha \) to the left.
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