

Question Let E be an equiv relation on \mathbb{R} . Is there a Δ_1^1 set C so that $E \cap C$ is Δ_1^1 ?

Soln If E is Σ_1^1 equiv relation then either E has countably many equiv classes or a perfect set of E -inequivalent elements.

Def I a σ -ideal on \mathbb{R}

$$\mathbb{R}_I = (\Delta_1^1 \setminus I, \subseteq)$$

Fact There is a \mathbb{R}_I -name for a real x_{gen} st. if $g \subseteq \mathbb{R}_I$ and B is a Δ_1^1 -set coded in V then

$$V[g] \models x_{gen}^g \in B \iff B \in g$$

Fact x_{gen}^g is not in any ground model coded I -small set.

Def $M \prec V_{\mathbb{R}}$.

$x \in \mathbb{R}$ is \mathbb{R}_I -generic / M iff

$\{B \in \Delta_1^1 \cap M \mid x \in B\}$ is a \mathbb{R}_I -generic filter / M .

Fact (Zapletal) TFAE

(1) \mathbb{R}_I is proper.

(2) all $\exists M \prec V_{\mathbb{R}}$

B an I^+ Δ_1^1 set

$\{x \in B \mid x \text{ is } \mathbb{R}_I\text{-generic / } M\}$ is I^+ Δ_1^1

Question Let E be a Σ_1^1 eq. rel. $B \in \mathcal{I}^+ \Delta_1^1$ set

\mathbb{P}_E proper. Is there a $C \subseteq B$, $\mathcal{I}^+ \Delta_1^1$ s.t. $E \upharpoonright C \in \Delta_1^1$.

Fact (Kanovei-Sabok-Zaphthal) N.

Fact (K-S-Z) If E is Σ_1^1 with all classes c.t.t. or E is Δ_1^1 -reducible to the orbit eq. rel. of a Polish group action then yes.

Qest Let E be Σ_1^1 (or Π_1^1) eq. rel. with all classes Δ_1^1 .

$B \in \mathcal{I}^+ \Delta_1^1$. \mathbb{P}_E proper. Is there $C \subseteq B \in \mathcal{I}^+ \Delta_1^1$ s.t. $E \upharpoonright C \in \Delta_1^1$.

Example $x \equiv_{E_{w_1}} y \Leftrightarrow w_1^x = w_1^y$

$E \in \Sigma_1^1$, classes Δ_1^1 , unctd. may classes, is thin.

Let I be the σ ideal generated by F_w classes.

Thm (Cham, Magidor indep.) If there is a measurable cardinal then yes.

Fact (ZFC) If E is thin Σ_1^1 eq. rel. then there is a set $C \in \mathcal{I}^+ \Delta_1^1$ s.t. $E \upharpoonright C$ has a single class.

Fact Let κ be a reneadable cardinal in L . $g \in \text{Coll}(\omega, \kappa)$.

In $L[g]$: If I is s.t. \mathbb{P}_E is proper, E is Π_1^1 eq. rel. with countable eqiv. classes then there is $C \in \mathcal{I}^+ \Delta_1^1$ s.t. $E \upharpoonright C \in \Delta_1^1$.

Question What if E is projective s.t. all equivalence classes

Σ_1^1 or Π_1^1 . Can you find an $\mathcal{I}^+ \Delta_1^1$ C s.t. $E \upharpoonright C \in \Sigma_1^1$ or Π_1^1 ?

Fact (Indep Dmder) In L there is thin equiv rel $E_L \Rightarrow$
s.t. for all it has all ctbl classes and the \mathbb{Q} has
negative answer for all σ -ideals

$x E_L y \Leftrightarrow x, y$ appear first at the same admissible
level of L

Question: What about all equiv relations \approx with Σ_1^1
(or Π_1^1) equiv classes?

If reals can be w. ordered: No

Open: Is a negative answer for Σ_1^1 (or Π_1^1) with Δ_1^1 -classes
consistent?

REM All ~~the~~ negative answers rely on Silver Dichotomy

GENERAL FRAMEWORK FOR POSITIVE ANSWER (Joint with
Magidor)

- Background on homogeneous trees.

Let S be a homogeneous tree on $\omega \times \omega \times \gamma$ and $E_S = p[S]$.

Let I be a σ -ideal on \mathbb{R} with \mathbb{P}_I proper

(ZFC+DC) $\Vdash \dot{S}$ is a homogeneous tree

Define $D(x, T) \Leftrightarrow T$ is a tree on $\omega \times \omega$ and

$\forall y \ x E_S y \Leftrightarrow T \not\perp y$ illfounded

$$p(\tau) = [x]_{E_S}$$

(B) $\forall x \exists T D(x, T)$ and $\neg \forall x \exists T D(x, T)$

(C) There is a tree \cup on $w \times w \times \mathbb{Z}$ s.t.

$$p(\cup) = \{ (x, T) \mid D(x, T) \}$$

$$\neg_{\mathbb{R}_I} p(\cup) = \{ (x, T) \mid D(x, T) \}$$

Then if A, B, C hold, B is $\mathbb{I}^+ \Delta_1^1$ then $\exists C \subseteq B \mathbb{I}^+ \Delta_1^1$ s.t. $E_S(C) \in \Sigma_1^1$.

Pf Fix \cup on $w \times w \times \mathbb{Z}$ satisfying (C). Fix $M \prec V_\theta$ containing everything needed.

Claim If $g \in \mathbb{R}_I$ -generic over M and $x, T \in M[g]$ and $M[g] \models D(x, T)$ then $V \models D(x, T)$.

Pf By (C) $M[g] \models D(x, T)$

$$M[g] \models (x, T) \in p(\cup), \quad V \models (x, T) \in p(\cup), \quad V \models D(x, T)$$

Fix $g \in \mathbb{R}_I$ -gen / M . $B(B)$

$M[g] \models \forall x \exists T D(x, T)$. So $\exists T \in M[g]$ s.t. $M[g] \models D(g, T)$

$$[g]_{E_S} = p[T]$$

\mathbb{Q}^{gen} :

I	$m_0 m_0$	$m_1 m_1$...
II	a_0	a_1	

Rules:

- (1) I plays indices m_i, n_i ; II plays d_i, c_i
- (2) $(m_0, \dots, m_{k-1}, m_0, \dots, m_{k-1}) \in T$
- (3) $(g \in k, m_0, \dots, m_{k-1}, d_0, \dots, d_{k-1}) \in S$

The first who ~~is~~ violates rules, loses. With the play runs for w steps, II wins.

Claim In $M(\Gamma_g)$ II has a w.s.

Pf If I has a w.s., $\exists g \in T^* \cdot B_g(A)$
 $M(\Gamma_g) \neq S$ is homogeneous.

Fix μ a homogeneity system for S .

We construct $(a_k | k \in \omega)$ $(b_k | k \in \omega)$, $(A_k | k \in \omega)$

$$(a_0, b_0) = \sigma^*(\phi) \quad A_0 = \phi$$

Suppose $a_0, \dots, a_{k-1}, b_0, \dots, b_{k-1}, A_0, \dots, A_{k-1}$ defined. Let

$$h_k: S^{g \in k, \vec{a}} \rightarrow \omega \times \omega$$

$$(i_0, \dots, i_{k-1}) \mapsto \tau^k(a_0, b_0, \dots, a_{k-1}, b_{k-1})$$

$\mu_{g \in k, \vec{a}}$ concentrates on $\sum_{g \in k, \vec{a}} + \sigma$ σ -epld.

So there is unique (a_k, \dots, b_k) s.t.

$$\underbrace{h_k(a_k, \dots, b_k)}_{A_k} \in \mu_{g \in k, \vec{a}}$$

Let $L: \omega \rightarrow \omega \times \omega \quad L(k) = (a_k, b_k)$

Claim that $L \in T$

If not: least k s.t. $L \upharpoonright (k+1) \in T$.

Denote $\mu_i = \mu_{g \upharpoonright i, \vec{a}}$. If $i \leq j$ let μ_j extend μ_i .

$\tau_{\mu_i}^{-1} [A_i] \in \mu_k$ so the induction $\in \mu_k$

$(\beta \dots \beta_{k-1})$ in that set

Play G^{PI} when PI uses τ^* and II uses

$\beta_0 \dots \beta_{k-1}$, $(\beta \dots \beta_i) \in A_i = h_i^{-1} [S^{g \upharpoonright i, \vec{a}}]$

II does not lose at k . But $a_{k/2} \dots a_{k/2}$ is $L \upharpoonright (k+1) \notin T$

So I is the first to lose. \square

We showed: $L \in T = [g]_{E_S}$

Let $\vec{a} = (a_0, \dots)$. This means $g \upharpoonright_S \vec{a}$ i.e. $(g, \vec{a}) \in P[S]$.

$\exists: w \rightarrow w \times w$

$\exists(n) = (g \upharpoonright (k/2), a_k)$

$(\mu_{\tau(n)})_i$ a w.f.T $A_i \in \mu_{\tau(n)}$ all $i \in w$

There is $\Phi: w \rightarrow \gamma$ s.t. $\Phi \upharpoonright k \in A_k$ all k

Play G^{PI} . I uses τ^* II uses Φ

No one loses at finite stage, so II wins. \square Claim 2

Claim Fix $\tau \in MC[g]$ for II . Then τ is a w.s. for II in V .

Pf ~~of τ~~ . B/C the game is closed.

Claim 4 If $y \in \mathbb{R}^V$ then $g E_s y \Leftrightarrow (S \cap M)^{(g, y)}$ illf.

Pf By Claim 1: $M(g) \neq D(g, T) \Rightarrow V \notin D(g, T)$

So $[g]_{E_s} = P(T) \Rightarrow y \in P(T)$ so $(g, y) \in (T)$ some x

τ winning in U . Play $(in V) \in \mathbb{R}^T$ s.t. I uses y and τ uses T . Since $(g, y) \in \mathbb{R}(T)$, I does not lose. τ cannot lose as τ is winning. Let $\phi(k) = \alpha_k =$ the τ 's k -th response according to k .

Since $T \in M(g) : \phi(k) \in M(g)$. Since P_I is proper: M and $M(g)$ have same ordinals so $\phi(k) \in M$.

$g E_s y \Leftrightarrow (S \cap M)^{(g, y)}$ illf.

By properness: Let $C \subseteq B$ be Δ_1^1 I^+ $\frac{\text{game for } M}{g, h \in C}$

$g E_s h \Leftrightarrow (S \cap M)^{(g, h)}$ illfd.

$E_s \upharpoonright C \in \Sigma_1^1$

(A) $\mathbb{R} \upharpoonright S$ is homogeneous

$|R_{\pm}| = 2^{\aleph_0}$

(B) $\forall x \exists T D(x, T) \quad \mathbb{R} \upharpoonright P \dots$

(C) $P(U) = \{ \dots D(x, T) \}$

Then (with Magidor) P_{\pm} proper. Assume infinity may Woodin with a measurable above.

$E \in L(\mathbb{R})$ all classes Σ_1^1 $(\Omega_1^{\mathbb{R}}, \Delta_1^{\mathbb{R}})$ then

there is $C \in I^+ \Delta_1^1 \upharpoonright \mathbb{R}$. $E \upharpoonright C \in \Sigma_1^1$ $(\Omega_1^{\mathbb{R}}, \Delta_1^{\mathbb{R}})$.

(Martin) $AD_{\mathbb{R}}$. All trees on $\omega \times \mathbb{R}$, $\mathbb{R} \subseteq \Theta$ are weakly homogeneous

(Martin) $AD+DC$. $\forall A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ ~~is~~ A is homogenous $\iff A$ is Suslin co-Suslin.

(+ Woodin) $AD_{\mathbb{R}}$ all $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ are Suslin

In $AD_{\mathbb{R}} + DC$ all sets are homogenous

(Neeman - Normwood) $ZF + DC + AD_{\mathbb{R}} + V=L(P(\mathbb{R}))$

\mathbb{R} proper $H \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ generic

$$j: L(\mathbb{R}^V) \longrightarrow L(\mathbb{R})^{V(H)}$$

does not move reals or ordinals