

2016-07-19

9:30 SCOTT CRAMER (1)

I_0 (Woodin) There exists a λ and an elementary embedding $j: \mathcal{L}(V_{\lambda+1}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(V_{\lambda+1})$ with $\text{crit}(j) < \lambda$

Kunen (AC) There is no elementary embedding $j: V_{\lambda+2} \rightarrow V_{\lambda+2}$

$I_1: \exists j: V_{\lambda+1} \rightarrow V_{\lambda+1}$

Similarity: $L(\mathbb{R}) \sim L(V_{\lambda+1})$
AD $\quad \quad \quad \mathcal{I}_0$

First considered by Woodin.

Woodin: I_0 implies that λ^+ is measurable in $L(V_{\lambda+1})$.

Theorem (Cramer) (I_0 at λ). In $L(V_{\lambda+1})$ every subset of $V_{\lambda+1}$ has the λ -splitting perfect set property.

Woodin's AD-conjecture

Question Do there always exist strong determinacy models corresponding to very large cardinals

AD-conjecture Roughly: "Yes, there is evidence for this."

The AD-conjecture for I_0 says:

I_0 at $\lambda \Rightarrow$ every subset of $V_{\lambda+1}$ has a $U(\lambda)$ -representation

$U(\lambda)$ -representation is analogous to weakly homogeneously Suslin representation.

Def $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is n -Suslin iff $A = p[T]$ for some T on $\omega \times n$. A is n -homogeneously Suslin iff such a T is augmented by n -complete measures.

A is weakly homogeneously Suslin iff

A is a projection of a homogeneously ~~is~~ Suslin set.

Measures for $U(j)$ are found as follows.

Fix some n and consider

$$S = \langle J_\alpha \mid \alpha < \lambda \rangle$$

where for all $\alpha < \lambda$:

$$J_\alpha : L_n(V_{\lambda+1}) \rightarrow L_n(V_{\lambda+1})$$

Let

$$D^S = \{ a \in L_n(V_{\lambda+1}) \mid \forall \alpha < \lambda \ J_\alpha(a) = a \}$$

Partition $L_n(V_{\lambda+1})$ into $< \lambda$ many pieces on which the collection of sets of the form D^S generate ultrafilters.

Theorem (Cramer) AD conjecture for I_0 holds.

Theorem (Woodin) Assume the AD-conjecture for I_0 and let λ be a limit of supercompact, and also assume proper class of Woodin cardinals. Assume I_0 at λ .

Let $G \in \text{coll}(u, \lambda)$ be V -generic and let Γ_G^∞ be the set of all universally Baire sets in $L(V_{\lambda+1})[G]$. Then

$$(1) \ L(\Gamma_G^\infty) \models \text{LSA}$$

$$(2) \ \Theta^{L(\Gamma_G^\infty)} = \Theta^{L(V_{\lambda+1})}$$

Question What is the largest Suslin cardinal of $L(\mathbb{R}^{\omega})$?

Consequence Uniformization for $L(V_{\lambda+1})$ is unrelated to $U(j)$ -representation.

Question (Woodin) Does the relation

$$R = \left\{ (j, k) \mid \begin{array}{l} j, k : V_{\lambda} \rightarrow V_{\lambda} \text{ elementary} \\ \text{and they extend to elementary} \\ j^*, k^* : V_{\lambda+1} \rightarrow V_{\lambda+1} \\ \text{such that } k^*(k) = j \end{array} \right\}$$

Have a uniformization in $L(V_{\lambda+1})$?

Lemma If $j : L(V_{\lambda+1}) \rightarrow L(V_{\lambda+1})$ then for every $a, b \in V_{\lambda+1}$ there is a $k : V_{\lambda+1} \rightarrow V_{\lambda+1}$ s.t.

(1) $k(k \upharpoonright V_{\lambda}) = j \upharpoonright V_{\lambda}$

(2) $k(a) = j(a)$

(3) $b \in \text{rng}(k)$

$$\text{Fix } j: L_3(V_{x+1}) \rightarrow L_3(V_{x+1})$$

$$\text{RT } \{ (j', k) \mid j': L_2(V_{x+1}) \rightarrow L_2(V_{x+1}) \text{ and } \}$$

$$j(j'V_x) = j'V_x \text{ and } k \in \text{rng}(j') \}$$

$$\text{Note } R \in L_2(V_{x+1})$$

$$\text{Fix } k \text{ s.t. } (j, k) \in R.$$

$$U = \{ (j', (j')^{-1}(k)) \mid j' \in A \}$$

set of all liftings
of maps in field(R)

$$(j, k) \in R = j(R) \quad , \quad j_0 \in A$$

$$(j_0^{-1}(j), j_0^{-1}(k)) \in j_0^{-1}(j(R))$$

$$(j_0 V_x, j_0^{-1}(k)) \in R$$

Fact If $j_0(j_0) = j$ and $a \in V_{x+1}$ is s.t. $a \in \text{rng}(j_0)$
then $j_0(a) = j(a)$

Then U is an unification for RTA

Other consequences of AD-conjecture for I_0

- (1) Genuine absoluteness result for I_0 (Woodin, Cramer)
- (2) New proof of λ -splitting Perfect Set Property for I_0 (Woodin-Shi)
- (3) $\text{Con}(I_0 \text{ at } \lambda + \neg \text{SCH at } \lambda)$ follows from $\text{Con}(I_0^\#)$

Let M_w be the w -th iterate of $L(V_{\lambda+1})$ by J .
 and let $J_{0,w} : L(V_{\lambda+1}) \rightarrow \text{~~some model~~} M_w$

Then (Woodin) let j be an I_0 -embedding

$$L_\lambda(V_{\lambda+1} \cap M_w[\vec{w}]) \prec L_\lambda(V_{\lambda+1})$$

where \vec{w} is the critical sequence of j . It is cofinal in λ and it is Prikry generic over M_w .

Then (Woodin) Suppose $P \in J_{0,w}(V_\lambda)$ and $g \in V$ is P -generic over M_w and $\text{cf}(\lambda)^{M_w[g]} = \omega$.

Then

$$L_\lambda(V_{\lambda+1} \cap M_w[g]) \prec L_\lambda(V_{\lambda+1})$$

Theorem (C.) Assume $I_0^\#$ at λ and let $P \in M_w$ and $g \in L(V_{\lambda+1})$ is P -generic over M_w and $\text{cf}(\lambda)^{M_w[g]} = w$. Then there is an elementary embedding

$$\pi: L(V_{\lambda+1} \cap M_w[g]) \rightarrow L(V_{\lambda+1})$$

and $\pi \upharpoonright \lambda = \text{id}$. Also note $V_\lambda^{M_w} = V_\lambda$ (as \vec{u} is cofinal in λ).

New theorem involves a representation called a j -Suslin representation; these representations are not augmented by measures.

Def $A \subseteq V_{\lambda+1}$ has a (j, κ) -Suslin representation T if the following hold: For some ordinal \vec{x} in λ :

(1) T is a tree on $V_\lambda \times L_n(V_{\lambda+1}) \subseteq 1$.

$$\forall (s, a) \in T:$$

$$s = (s_0, \dots, s_n), s_i \in V_{\lambda_i}, s_i = s_{i+1} \cap V_{\lambda_i}$$

(2) " $A = p[T]$ "

(3) $\forall (s, a) \in T$ there is an n such that

$$j_n(s, a) = (s, a)$$

where n is the n -th iterate of j ,

a fixed I_0 -embedding in advance.

(4) $\forall s \in V_x \exists m$ such that

$$J_m(T_s) = T_s$$

where

$$T_s = \{ a \mid (s, a) \in T \}$$

Remark

Since J is iterable, a could be a sequence of ordinals