

the  
Proof of ~~Bubovskij's~~ lemma Verify the assumptions

of Bubovskij's lemma. Assume  $f$ : be a continuous map.

$$f(z) = \eta \Leftrightarrow M_{sw} \models \varphi(z, \eta)$$

Let  $N$  be a point on the  $M_{sw}$  system.

$$M_{sw} = N[g] \quad f(z) = \eta \text{ \& } \Leftrightarrow N[g] \models \varphi(z, \eta)$$

$$g_N(z) = \{ \eta \mid \exists p \in \mathbb{B}^N \text{ s.t. } \prod_{\mathbb{B}^N} \varphi(z, \eta) \text{ and there is only one such } \eta \text{ for } z \}$$

$$|g_N(z)| < \delta^N \text{ each } z.$$

$$g_{M_{sw}} = \pi_{N, \infty}(g_N) \quad g_{M_{sw}}(z) \text{ has the corresponding definition in } M_{sw}$$

$$|g_{M_{sw}}(z)| < \delta_{sw} = \epsilon^+$$

Define  $g$  with domain  $\Theta$

$$g(z) = \{ \eta \mid \eta^* \in g_{M_{sw}}(z^*) \} \quad g \in \mathcal{V}$$

Let  $\eta = f(z)$  Pick  $N$  in the system s.t.  $z^* = \pi_{N, \infty}(z)$

$$\eta^* = \pi_{N, \infty}(\eta) \quad \eta^* = \pi_{N, \infty}(\eta) \in \pi_{N, \infty}(g_N)(\pi_{N, \infty}(z)) = g_{M_{sw}}(z^*)$$

$\Rightarrow \eta \in g(z)$ . This verifies the assumptions of B. lemma.

Claim

- (a)  $M_{\infty}$  is fully iterable inside  $M_{sw}$   
and also inside any of its generic extensions
- (b)  $M_{\infty}$  is fully iterable inside  $V = L[M_{\infty}, p \mapsto p^*]$

Claim  $M_{\infty} = H^{M_{sw}}$

Let  $H = \text{HOD}^{M_{sw} \text{ Coll}(w, \omega)}$  fix  $g \in \text{Coll}(w, \omega)$

Claim  $L[M_{\infty}, p \mapsto p^*] \subseteq H$

Proof  $\mathcal{C} =$  the collection of all  $N$   
s.t.  $N$  has a wooden  $\delta^N < \omega^N$   
a strong, and  $N[h] = M_{sw}[g]$   
↗
↑  
 generic for  $\text{Coll}(w, \omega_N)$  above

and such that  $N$  is "pseudo-iterable".

These conditions allow to build  $M_{\infty}$  ~~inside~~ <sup>for</sup>  $N$ ,  $M_{\infty}^N$

Subclaim  $N \in \mathcal{C} \Rightarrow M_{\infty}^N = M_{\infty}$ .

This shows:  $M_{\infty} \in H$ .

To verify the subclaim: Show the two systems give rise to  $M_\infty^N, M_a$  resp. have (finitely) many points in common.

$$N = \kappa(N|\delta^N) = \kappa(N|\delta^N)^{N[h]} = \kappa(N|\delta^N)^{M_{sw}[g]} =$$

$$= \kappa(N|\delta^N)^{M_{sw}[g|z]} \quad \text{some } z \in k$$

So  $N \in M_{sw}[g|z]$  some  $z \in k$

$M_{sw} \in N[h|z]$  some  $z \in k$

$$H_{h^+} \text{Ult}(M_{sw}, E_1)[g] \xrightarrow{\uparrow} H_{h^+} M_{sw}[g] = H_{h^+} N[h] = H_{h^+} \text{Ult}(N, E_2)[h] \xrightarrow{\uparrow} H_{h^+}$$

has with cr. pt = h -||-

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \text{Ult}(M_{sw}, E_1)[g] &= \kappa(\bar{H})^{M_{sw}[g]} \\ \text{Ult}(N, E_2)[h] &= \kappa(\bar{H})^{N[h]} \end{aligned} \right\} \text{These are the same}$$

Denote by  $\kappa(\bar{H})$

$$\Rightarrow i_{E_1}^N(u) = i_{E_2}^N(u)$$

Let  $k$  be coll( $u, \tilde{u}, i_{E_1}^N(u)$ ) - generic /  $\kappa(\bar{H})$ .

$$M_{sw}[g] \xrightarrow{\tilde{u}_{E_1}} \kappa(\bar{H})[k] = \text{Ult}(M_{sw}, E_1)[g^*k]$$

$$N[h] \xrightarrow{\tilde{u}_{E_2}} \kappa(\bar{H})[k] = \text{Ult}(N, E_2)[h^*k]$$

$$i_{E_1}^N(u) = i_{E_1}^N(\kappa(N|\delta^N)^{M_{sw}[g]}) = \kappa(N|\delta^N)^{\kappa(\bar{H})[k]} = \text{Ult}(N, E_2)$$

$$\tilde{u}_{E_1} : M_{sw}[g] \rightarrow \text{Ult}(M_{sw}, E_1)[g^*k] = \kappa(\bar{H})$$

We have arbitrarily large  $\eta < n$  s.t.  $\eta$  is a cutpoint of both  $M_{sw}$  and  $N$  s.t. setting  $H = H_{\eta}^{M_{sw}[g|\eta]}$

$$M_{sw} = P^{M_{sw}[g|\eta]} (M_{sw} |_{\eta} + M_{sw})$$

$\uparrow$   
 generic reduction  $g^{\eta} | h$   
"

Note:  $Ult(M_{sw}, E_1) = P^{Ult(M_{sw}, E_1)[g]} (M_{sw} |_{\eta} +)$

$$N = P^{N[h|\eta]} (N |_{\eta} + N)$$

$\downarrow$   
 $h(H)$

Note:  $Ult(N, E_2) = P^{Ult(N, E_2)[h]} (N |_{\eta} +)$

"  
 $(E_2(N))$

Fix  $M$  suitable in  $M_{sw}$ .  
 $M'$  suitable in  $N$

want to find  $M^*$  in both systems.

$$N \cap M_{sw} [g|\eta] \subseteq N[h|\eta] \text{ some } \eta' < \eta$$

$N, M_{sw}$  are both grounds of  $M_{sw}[g|\eta]$ .

Pick  $P \subseteq N \cap M_{sw}$  which is a ground of  $M_{sw}[g|\eta]$ .

$P^{Cell} \ni \begin{matrix} \vdots \\ M \\ \vdots \end{matrix} \left. \vphantom{\begin{matrix} \vdots \\ M \\ \vdots \end{matrix}} \right\} \begin{matrix} \text{Candidates for} \\ M \text{ inside } P^{Cell} \end{matrix}$

$P^{Cell} \ni \begin{matrix} \vdots \\ M' \\ \vdots \end{matrix} \left. \vphantom{\begin{matrix} \vdots \\ M' \\ \vdots \end{matrix}} \right\} \begin{matrix} \text{Candidates} \\ \text{for } M' \end{matrix}$

$$P \begin{matrix} \text{Cell} \\ \vdots \\ m \end{matrix} \} \xrightarrow{\sigma} M_{sw}$$

$$P \begin{matrix} \text{Cell} \\ \vdots \\ m' \end{matrix} \} \xrightarrow{\sigma'} N$$

Each board is a joint computer which makes at the same time  $M_{sw}$  queue ( $\sigma$ ) and  $N$  queue ( $\sigma'$ ).

We proved that  $L[M_{sw}, \rho \mapsto \rho^*] \subseteq H = \text{HOD}^{M_{sw}, \text{Cell}(u, u)}$

Claim  $L[M_{sw}, \rho \mapsto \rho^*] = H$

Pf Let  $X \subseteq O_n$

$$\exists \in X \Leftrightarrow \parallel \begin{matrix} M_{sw} \\ \text{Cell}(u, u) \end{matrix} \varphi(\gamma, d_0, \dots, d_k)$$

Let  $N \in \text{System}$   $N[\gamma] = M_{sw}$ . Hence

$$\exists \in X \Leftrightarrow \parallel \begin{matrix} \text{Cell}(u, u) \\ N \end{matrix} \varphi(\gamma, d_0, \dots, d_k)$$

Prod  $N$  s.t.  $\pi_{N, N'}(\gamma, d_0, \dots, d_k) = d_0, \dots, d_k$

then  $\pi_{N, N'}(X) = X$ .

$X \in \cap$  all points in the system

Given  $z^*$  s.t.  $\pi_{N, \mathbb{A}}(z) = z^*$

Want to compute  $X$  in  $L[M_{\mathbb{A}}, \rho \mapsto \rho^*]$ . Fix  $z$

$$z \in X \Leftrightarrow z^* = \pi_{N, \mathbb{A}}(z) \in \pi_{N, \mathbb{A}}(X) = \pi_{N, \mathbb{A}}(X) = \bar{X} \in M_{\mathbb{A}}$$

$$X = (\rho \mapsto \rho^*)^{-1}[\bar{X}] \in L[M_{\mathbb{A}}, \rho \mapsto \rho^*].$$

Claim  $H_{\delta_{\mathbb{A}}} \cap H = H_{\delta_{\mathbb{A}}} \cap M_{\mathbb{A}}$

Claim  $M_{\mathbb{A}} = K^{M_{\text{sur}}}$

$$K^{M_{\text{sur}}} = K^{\mathbb{D}[g]} = K^{\mathbb{D}}$$

$j: K \rightarrow M_{\mathbb{A}}$  in  $\mathcal{V}$  and ~~also~~ <sup>Assume</sup>  $j \neq \text{id}$ . Set  $\lambda = \text{cut}(j)$

•  $j(\lambda) < \delta_{\mathbb{A}}$  then  $j \upharpoonright X^{\lambda}$  is cofinal in  $j(\lambda) + M_{\mathbb{A}}$

$$\text{So } \text{cf}(j(\lambda) + M_{\mathbb{A}}) < j(\lambda) \quad \S$$



then  $\lambda$  not wooden in the target, but then  $K$  is missing the  $\mathcal{Q}$ -structure  $\square$

• In fact: ~~if  $j(\lambda) > \delta$  then  $\lambda$  is a limit~~

•  $j(\lambda) > \delta_{\mathbb{A}}$ . So  $\lambda > \delta_{\mathbb{A}}$ . So  $j: K \rightarrow M_{\mathbb{A}}$  is an evaluation map.  $\S$

Claim  $L[M_{\aleph_0}, p \mapsto p^*]$  is the mantle  
 (Hence the smallest ground and a bedrock.)

Pf let  $N(\mathcal{G}) = M_{\text{str}}$

$$\mathcal{G} = L[M_{\aleph_0}, p \mapsto p^*] \approx \subset N \quad \square$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \parallel \\ & \varkappa^{M_{\text{str}}} = \varkappa^N \end{aligned}$$

Claim  $\delta_{\aleph_0}$  is a Woodin cardinal of  $L[M_{\aleph_0}, p \mapsto p^*]$

(Proof pending) □ □

More generally

Theorem: For a cone of  $x$ :

$M_S(x)$  = the least model over  $x$  with a strong cardinal

$M_S(x)$  has a 2-small core model  $\varkappa$  s.t.

the mantle of  $M_S(x)$  is equal to

$$L[\varkappa, p \mapsto p^*].$$

$$L[\varkappa, \Sigma]$$

$\wedge$  I.S. for  $\varkappa^{M_S(x)}$