

PRODUCING $M_m^\#$ FROM OPTIMAL DETERMINACY HYPOTHESESJOINT WITH R. SCHINDLER AND W. H. WOODIN

Theorem (Martin, Harrington, Neeman, Woodin) Let $n \geq 0$ and assume there is no Σ_{n+2}^1 -definable ω_1 -sequence of pairwise distinct reals. TFAE

- (1) Π_n^1 -det & Π_{n+1}^1 -det
- (2) $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}$ $M_{n-1}^\#(x)$ exists and is ω_1 -iterable and $M_n^\#$ exists and is ω_1 -iterable.
- (3) $M_n^\#$ exists and is ω_1 -iterable

Corollary Let $n \geq 0$. TFAE

- (1) Π_{n+1}^1 -det
- (2) $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}$ $M_n^\#(x)$ exists

Lemma Let $n \geq 1$. Assume $M_{n-1}^\#(x)$ exists for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\text{Det}(\Sigma_{n+1}^1)$. Then for a Turing cone of y , $M_{n-1}(y) \upharpoonright \delta_y \models \text{OD-det}$

where $\delta_y = \begin{cases} \text{the least Woodin of } M_{n-1}(y), & n > 1 \\ \text{least } y\text{-indiscernible in } L[y] = M_0(y) & \forall n = 1 \end{cases}$

($n=1$ is due to Kechris + Solovay)

Corollary Under the same hypothesis, $w_1^{M_{m-1}(z)}$ is measurable in $\text{HOD}^{M_{m-1}(y)} \upharpoonright \delta_y$ for a cone of reals y .

Theorem Let $m \geq 1$. Same hypothesis as in the lemma. Then there is a proper class model with m Woodin cardinals.

Pf Fix x in the cone above.

Case 1 $(\mathbb{R}^c)^{M_{m-1}(x)} \upharpoonright \delta_x$ has no Woodin cardinals and is fully iterable.

Isolate $\mathbb{R}^{M_{m-1}(x)} \upharpoonright \delta_x$. Fix singular α s.t. in $M_{m-1}(x) \upharpoonright \delta_x \not\models \alpha + \aleph = \alpha^+$. Let g be cell (w, α) -generic / $M_{m-1}(x) \upharpoonright \delta_x$. Then

$$M_{m-1}(x)[g] \upharpoonright \delta_{x \oplus y} = M_{m-1}(x \oplus y) \upharpoonright \delta_{x \oplus y}$$

and in this model $w_1 = \alpha + \aleph = \alpha + \text{HOD}$, which contradicts the measurability of w_1 in HOD .

Case 2 $(\mathbb{R}^c)^{M_{m-1}(x)} \upharpoonright \delta_x$ has a Woodin cardinal, say δ . WLOG let δ be the largest one (otherwise we are done).

~~Let \mathbb{R}~~ Consider $L[\mathbb{E}]((\mathbb{R}^c)^{M_{m-1}} \upharpoonright \delta_x \upharpoonright \delta)$ in the model $M_{m-1}(x)$.

Case 3 $(\mathbb{R}^c)^{M_{m-1}(x)} \upharpoonright \delta_x$ has no Woodins and is not iterable.

Say this is witnessed by a tree \mathcal{T} . Then \mathcal{T} is maximal. Consider $(L[E](M(\mathcal{T}))^{M_{n-1}(x)})$ \square

NOW FOCUS ON EVEN n

Def Let $N_0 = A$ where A is a countable set.

$N_{\alpha+1}$ = the union of all countable A -predecessors $M \supseteq N_\alpha$ s.t.

- (1) M is $(2n-1)$ -small
- (2) $S_w(M) \leq N_\alpha \cap O_n$ and M is sound above $N_\alpha \cap O_n$
- (3) $N_\alpha \cap O_n$ is a cutpoint on M
- (4) M is ω_1 -iterable.

$$N_\lambda = \bigcup_{\alpha < \lambda} N_\alpha \text{ if } \lambda \text{ is limit}$$

$$L_P^{2n-1}(A) = N_{\omega_1}^V.$$

Note: This is well-defined ~~and \square~~ under

$$\text{Det}(\prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Sigma_{2n}^1)$$

Assume

- Every Σ_{2m+2}^1 sequence of pairwise distinct reals is countable
- $\text{Det}(\Sigma_{2n+1}^1)$

WTS: $M_{2n}^{\#}$ exists.

Step 1 Construct a model M_x for $x \in \mathbb{R}$ with the following property:

(1) $M_x \cap \mathcal{O}_n = \omega_1^V$

(2) $x \in M_x$

(3) $M_x \prec_{\Sigma_1^{2n+2}} V$ (This uses Π_{2n+1}^1 -uniformization)

(4) M_x is closed under $\alpha \mapsto M_{2n-1}^{\#}(\alpha)$

(5) $\forall \eta < \omega_1^V \forall g$ generic for $\text{coll}(\omega, \eta)$ over V :
 $M[g] \prec_{\Sigma_1^{2n+2}} V[g]$ (uses generic absoluteness.)

(6) $M_x \models \text{ZFC}$

Construction of M_x : Using Π_{2n+1}^1 -uniformization let F be Π_{2n+1}^1 -definable function uniformly a universal Π_{2n+1}^1 -set U . Wlog.

$F(\uparrow \varphi \uparrow a)$ is witnessing $\exists x \varphi(x, a)$
 \uparrow
 Π_{2n+1}^1 -formula

- Start with $W_0 = \{x\}$
- Odd successors: $W_{\alpha+1} = \text{rud}(W_\alpha \cup \{F(z) \mid z \in \text{dom}(F) \cap W_\alpha\})$

Even Successors: Let $\eta < \omega_1^V$. Define a $\text{coll}(\omega_1, \eta)$ -name as follows:

$$\sigma^q(\tau) = \{ (p, \check{s}) \mid p \in \text{coll}(\omega_1, \eta), s \in \omega^{<\omega} \text{ and} \\ \uparrow \\ \text{Coll}(\omega_1, \eta)\text{-name for a real} \quad \text{P11-} \check{\exists} \sigma \varphi(\tau, \sigma) \wedge \sigma \text{ extends } s \text{''} \\ \text{coll}(\omega_1, \eta) \}$$

where φ_F is a Π^1_{2n+1} -formula s.t.

$$\varphi_F(x, y) \Leftrightarrow F(x) = y \quad \}$$

Note: Det(Π^1_{2n+2}) yields that

$$(*) \quad V \prec_{\Sigma^1_{2n+2}} V[G]$$

Here g is generic for $\text{coll}(\omega_1, \eta)$ over V where $\eta < \omega_1^V$, so $\text{coll}(\omega_1, \eta)$ is Cohen forcing from the point of view of V . So the proof of (*) one can follow the argument from Woodin's paper "On the consistency strength of projective uniformisation/well-orderings".

$$W_{2n+2} = \text{rud}(\omega_{2n+1} \cup \{ \sigma^q(\tau) \mid \tau \in \omega_{2n+1} \wedge \eta < \omega_1^V \})$$

$$M_x = \bigcup_{d < \omega_1^V} W_d$$

Step 2 Claim $M_x \vDash M_{2n}^{\#}$ exists

Proof Assume not. Then κ^{M_x} exists ($2n$ -small)

Using weak covering: let $\delta \in M_x$ be singular.

$$\delta + \kappa^{M_x} = \delta + M_x$$

Subclaim $\exists z \geq_T x$ s.t.

(a) $\delta + M_x = w_2^{L_P^{2n-1}(z)}$

(b) $\kappa^{M_x} \upharpoonright \delta + M_x \in L_P^{2n-1}(z)$

(c) $\kappa^{M_x} \upharpoonright \delta + M_x$ is fully ituable
in $L_P^{2n-1}(z)$

See: Schindler: Coding into κ by reasonable forcing

Consider $(\kappa^c)_{L_P^{2n-1}(z)}$ in the sense of Mitchell-Schindler 2004, constructed relative to A s.t. $V = L[A]$. Make sure that $(\kappa^c)_{L_P^{2n-1}(z)} \subseteq \text{HOD}^{L_P^{2n-1}(z)}$.

Case A $(\kappa^c)_{L_P^{2n-1}(z)}$ does not have a

Woodin cardinal below $w_2^{L_P^{2n-1}(z)}$.

Consider $\kappa^* = (\kappa^c \upharpoonright w_2)_{L_P^{2n-1}(z)}$. Then κ^* is \mathcal{Q} -structure ituable.

Subclaim $\omega_2^{L_P^{2n-1}(z)}$ is a successor cardinal in $(\kappa^c)^{L_P^{2n-1}(z)}$.

Pf: Work in $L_P^{2n-1}(z)$: Compare κ^+ and $\kappa^{Mx} / \gamma^{Mx}$. If $\omega_2^{L_P^{2n-1}(z)}$ is limit, then ~~use~~ use universality and derive a contradiction. \downarrow of $(\kappa^c)^{L_P^{2n-1}(z)}$

Lemma let $n \geq 1$ and assume $\text{Det}(\Pi_{2n}^1) \wedge \wedge \text{Det}(\Pi_{2n+1}^1)$. Then for a Turing cone of x , $L_P^{2n-1}(x) \models \text{OD-det}$

Moschovakis' Coding Lemma gives

$$\text{HOD}^{L_P^{2n-1}(x)} \models \text{" } \omega_2^{L_P^{2n-1}(x)} \text{ is inaccessible "}$$

in fact, " $\omega_2^{L_P^{2n-1}(x)}$ is Woodin "

(This is due to Woodin)

$$\text{As } (\kappa^c)^{L_P^{2n-1}(z)} \subseteq \text{HOD}^{L_P^{2n-1}(z)},$$

this is a contradiction.

Case B Consider $(\kappa^c)^{L_P^{2n-1}(z)} \models \delta$