

M281 SPRING 2020 L11

11.25. Proposition Assume $M \subseteq M'$ are transitive models of ZF s.t. $O_M \cap M = O_{M'} \cap M'$. Let κ be regular in M and

$$\kappa^M = \kappa^{M' \cap M}$$

Then M, M' agree on cardinals and cofinalities $\leq \kappa$.

Proof Easy \square

11.26. Proposition Assume M is a transitive model of ZFC, κ is regular in M and $P \in M$ is a κ -closed poset in the sense of M . If G is (P, M) -generic then $M, M[G]$ agree on cardinals and cofinalities $\leq \kappa$.

Proof Immediate from P. 11.23 and P. 11.25. \square P. 11.26.

11.27. Proposition If G is $(\text{Add}(\kappa, \lambda), M)$ -generic where κ, λ are cardinals in M and κ is regular in M then $M, M[G]$ agree on all cardinals and cofinalities

- $\leq \kappa$
- $\geq (\kappa^{\kappa})^+$

In particular, if $M \models \text{GCH}$ then $(\kappa^{\kappa})^+ = \kappa^+$ hence $M, M[G]$ agree on all cardinals and cofinalities.

Moreover, $M[G] \models 2^{\kappa} \geq \lambda$. So if λ_2 is regular and $\beta > \alpha$ in $M[G]$ we have $2^{\lambda_2} \geq \lambda_1^{\beta}$.

Proof From P. 11.26 and the calculation of saturation of $\text{Add}(\kappa, \lambda)$ at the end of Fall quarter. $\text{Add}(\kappa, \lambda)$ is $(\kappa^{\kappa})^+$ -c.c. \square P. 11.27.

11.28. Proposition Assume \mathbb{P} is a poset and \dot{a} is a \mathbb{P} -term. Assume further \dot{x} is a \mathbb{P} -term and $p \in \mathbb{P}$ such that

$$p \Vdash \dot{x} \in \dot{a}$$

Then there is

(a) a \mathbb{P} -term y s.t.

$$y \subseteq \mathbb{P} \times \text{rng}(a)$$

and

$$p \Vdash y = \dot{x}$$

(b) if $M \models ZFC$, a \mathbb{P} -term y' of the form

$$y' = \bigcup_{z \in \text{rng}(a)} (A_z \times \{z\})$$

where each A_z is an antichain in \mathbb{P} s.t.

$$p \Vdash y' = \dot{x}$$

Terms as in (a), (b) are called canonical or nice terms for subsets of \dot{a} .

Proof (a) Assume \dot{x}, \dot{a} are \mathbb{P} -terms and $p \in \mathbb{P}$ s.t.

$$p \Vdash \dot{x} \subseteq \dot{a}$$

Assume $z \in \dot{x}^G$ when $p \in G$ and G is (\mathbb{P}, M) -generic.

Because $\dot{x}^G \subseteq \dot{a}^G : z \in \dot{a}^G$ so we have some $(q, \dot{z}) \in \dot{a}$ s.t. $q \in G$ and $\dot{z}^G = z$. Since $z \in \dot{x}^G$ we have $\dot{z}^G \in \dot{x}^G$. By (B) of the Forcing Thm there is some $r \in G$ s.t. $r \Vdash \dot{z} \in \dot{x}$.

Now let

$$y = \{ (r, \dot{z}) \in \mathbb{P} \times \text{rng}(a) \mid r \Vdash \dot{z} \in \dot{x} \}$$

\rightarrow We have $(r, \dot{z}) \in y$. Because $r \in G$ also $\dot{z}^G \in \dot{x}^G$.

So we proved:

$$z \in \dot{x}^G \Rightarrow z \in y^G$$

The converse

$$z \in y^G \Rightarrow z \in \dot{x}^G$$

is kind of trivial, using (A) of Forcing Thm.

So we have:

$$z \in \dot{x}^G \Leftrightarrow z \in \dot{y}^G$$

for all $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{M})$ -generics G s.t. $p \in G$. This tells us $p \Vdash \dot{x} = \dot{y}$ \square (a)

(b) Let \dot{y} be as in (a). The set

$$D_{\dot{z}} = \{ r \in \mathbb{P} \mid r \Vdash \dot{z} \in \dot{x} \}$$

is open for every $\dot{z} \in \text{rng}(\dot{\alpha})$, by basic properties of \Vdash . For each $\dot{z} \in \text{rng}(\dot{\alpha})$ let $A_{\dot{z}}$ be a maximal antichain in $D_{\dot{z}}$. Let \dot{y}' be as in the statement of (b). Then $\dot{y}'^G = \dot{y}^G$ whenever $p \in G$, because if $G \cap D_{\dot{z}} \neq \emptyset$ then $G \cap A_{\dot{z}} \neq \emptyset$ (it w extend). So $\dot{y}'^G = \dot{x}^G$ for all $(\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{M})$ -generics G s.t. $p \in G$. i.e. $p \Vdash \dot{y}' = \dot{x}$. \square (P 11.29.)

11.29. Proposition ^(ZF in the ground model) Assume κ is regular, $\lambda > \kappa$ is a cardinal.

Then the generic extension by $\text{Add}(\kappa, \lambda)$ there is $\leq ([\lambda^\kappa]^{<\kappa})^\kappa$

many subsets of κ .

Proof Each subset of κ is an evaluation of a name of the form $\bigcup_{\dot{z} \in \kappa} (A_{\dot{z}} \times \{\dot{z}\})$ where $A_{\dot{z}}$ is an antichain in $\text{Add}(\kappa, \lambda)$. So essentially such a name is a

function $f: \kappa \rightarrow \text{Antichains in } \text{Add}(\kappa, \lambda)$.

Each $A_{\dot{z}}$ is of size $\leq \kappa^{<\kappa}$ and $\text{Add}(\kappa, \lambda) \leq \lambda^{<\kappa}$.

So there are $\leq ([\lambda^{<\kappa}]^{\kappa^{<\kappa}})^\kappa$ \square P 11.29.

In particular, under GCH: $\kappa^{<\kappa} = \kappa$, so this number is $(\lambda^{<\kappa})^\kappa$.

If $cf(\lambda) > \kappa$ then $(\lambda^{<\kappa})^\kappa = \lambda$

If $cf(\lambda) \leq \kappa$ then $(\lambda^{<\kappa})^\kappa \leq (\lambda^\lambda)^\kappa = \lambda^\lambda = \lambda^+$

In the generic extension by $\text{Add}(\kappa, \lambda)$ we have $\geq \lambda$ may subsets of κ . So by the above:

If $M \models cf(\lambda) > \kappa$ then $M[G] \models 2^\kappa = \lambda$

If $M \models cf(\lambda) \leq \kappa$ then $M[G] \models \lambda \leq 2^\kappa \leq \lambda^+$
i.e. $2^\kappa \in \{\lambda, \lambda^+\}$

Recall: Since $M \models GCH$, the Models $M, M[G]$ agree on all cardinals and cofinalities. In particular: $M[G] \models cf(\lambda) \leq \kappa$. By König's lemma: Necessary $2^\kappa = \lambda^+$.

Summary: If κ is regular then 2^κ can be made anything (using $\text{Add}(\kappa, \lambda)$ which does not violate König's lemma.)

Now look at the poset $\text{coll}(\kappa, \lambda)$ when κ is regular and $\lambda \geq \kappa$. This poset is

$$(\lambda^{<\kappa})^+ \text{ - c.c.}$$

and κ -closed. So $M[G]$ agrees with M on all cardinals and cofinalities $\leq \kappa$ and $\geq (\lambda^{<\kappa})^+$.

It collapses everything in between.

Special case: $\kappa = \omega$. Then $(\lambda^{<\omega})^+ = \lambda^+$. $\text{coll}(\omega, \lambda)$ collapses all cardinals in the interval $(\omega, \lambda]$ and no others.

Finally look at $\text{Coll}(\kappa, <\lambda)$ when κ regular and $\lambda \geq \kappa$.

This poset is in general

$$(\lambda^{<\kappa})^+ \text{ - c.c.}$$

and κ -closed, but in some special cases is better:

- If $\kappa = \omega$ and λ is regular then $\text{Coll}(\omega, \lambda)$ is λ -c.c. So it collapses all cardinals $< \lambda$ and no others, so

$$M[G] \models \lambda = \omega_1$$

- If κ is regular and $\lambda > \kappa$ is inaccessible then $\text{Coll}(\kappa, \lambda)$ is λ -c.c., so again

$$M[G] \models \lambda = \omega_1.$$

11.30. Remark When we considered $\text{Add}(\kappa, \lambda)$ we always took κ regular. What if κ is singular?

To think about: What happens if we force with

$$\text{Add}(\aleph_\omega, 1)$$

Proof of Th. 11.4, the Generic Extension Thm.

We have $M \models ZF$, $P \in M$ a poset and $G \subseteq P$ a (P, M) -generic filter. WTS: $M[G] \models ZF$.

- ① We proved that $M[G]$ is transitive. This gives:
 $M[G] \models \text{Extensionality} + \text{Foundation}.$

$$M[G] \models \exists x \text{ since } M \subseteq M[G]$$

- ② HW Exercise If x, y are P -terms then there are P -terms a, b s.t.

$$a^G = \{x^G, y^G\}$$

$$b^G = \bigcup x^G$$

whenever G is (P, M) -generic. This gives the Pairing + Union axioms

③ We proved $M \subseteq M(G)$, so in particular $\omega \in M(G)$. This gives Axiom of Infinity.

It remains to prove: $M(G)$ is a model of Separation, Collection and Power Set.