

## MATH 150 HOMEWORK 6 SOLUTION FOR PROBLEM 2

### Problem 1.

- (b) “G consists of disjoint cycles.”

**Answer.** We start the same way Alberto’s solution starts. We have the formula  $\phi_n(x) := “x$  is in a cycle of length  $n$  and the cycle is disjoint from the rest of the nodes” for  $n \geq 3$  (this simply is because every cycle, by definition, has at least 3 elements).

Now, the property “ $G$  consists of disjoint cycles” should be interpreted more specifically; as it is, we cannot come up with a  $\Sigma$  such that for every graph  $G$ ,  $G \models \Sigma$  iff  $G$  consists of disjoint cycles. (The  $\Sigma$  in Alberto’s solution doesn’t work).

We interpret as question as follows: for each  $N \geq 3$ , we have the property “ $G$  consists of disjoint cycles of length at most  $N$ ”. For each such  $N$ , we simply let  $\Sigma_N = \{\Phi_N\}$ , where  $\Phi_N$  is as in Alberto’s solution:  $\Phi_N := \forall x \bigvee_{i=3}^N \phi_n(x)$  (this just says “the graph consists of disjoint cycles of length at most  $N$ ”).

- (c) “Any two nodes in G have the same degree.”

**Answer.** Again, we have to interpret the property in question as: for each  $N \geq 1$ , “any two nodes in  $G$  have the same degree  $N$ ”. So for each value of  $N$  we have a  $\Sigma_N$  such that for all  $G$ ,  $G \models \Sigma_N$  iff “any two nodes in  $G$  have the same degree  $N$ ”.

Let, for  $n \geq 1$ ,  $\varphi_n(x, y)$  be as in Alberto’s notes.  $\varphi_n(x, y)$  just says “ $x, y$  both have degree  $n$ ”.

Now fix an  $N \geq 1$ , and let  $\Phi_N := \forall x \forall y \varphi_N(x, y)$ .  $\Phi_N$  just says “every element in the graph  $G$  has degree  $N$ ”. So our  $\Sigma_N = \{\Phi_N\}$ .

### Problem 2. (6pts) Let $\mathcal{L} = \{\dot{E}\}$ be the language of equivalence relations.

(4pts) Express each of the following statements as a formula/sentence in  $\mathcal{L}$ .

- (a) (1pt) “There are infinitely many equivalence classes of size 2”

**Solution:** For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have a sentence  $\phi_n$  in  $\mathcal{L}$  that expresses “there are at least  $n$  equivalence classes of size 2”.  $\phi_n$  is

$$\begin{aligned} \exists x_1 \exists x_2 \dots \exists x_{2n-1} \exists x_{2n} (x_1 \dot{E} x_2 \wedge x_3 \dot{E} x_4 \cdots \wedge x_{2n-1} \dot{E} x_{2n} \wedge (\neg x_1 \dot{E} x_3) \wedge \cdots \wedge \\ \neg(x_1 \dot{E} x_{2n-1}) \neg(x_3 \dot{E} x_5) \wedge \cdots \wedge \neg(x_3 \dot{E} x_{2n-1}) \wedge \cdots \wedge \neg(x_{2n-3} \dot{E} x_{2n-1})). \end{aligned}$$

Now let  $\Sigma = \{\phi_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Then  $\Sigma$  expresses the statement “there are infinitely many equivalence classes of size 2”. This is because any model  $\mathcal{M} \models \Sigma$  has infinitely many equivalence classes of size 2.

- (b) (1pt) “There are arbitrarily large finite equivalence classes”

**Solution:** We do the same thing as before. Let  $\Sigma = \{\phi_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ ; but now for each  $n$ , there is a  $k \geq n$  such that the formula  $\phi_n$  says “there is an equivalence class of size  $k$ ”. So  $\phi_n$  is

$$\exists x_1 \exists x_2 \dots \exists x_k (x_1 \dot{E} x_2 \wedge x_2 \dot{E} x_3 \dots x_{k-1} \dot{E} x_k \wedge \forall y (\neg(x_1 \dot{E} y)))$$

(c) **(1pt)** “All finite equivalence classes are of even size”

**Solution:** There are a couple of ways to interpret this question. I will deal with each case. (In general, if you have a problem that is a bit open to interpretation; you should state your interpretation and solve the problem according to that interpretation.)

**Interpretation 1:** There is a fixed even number  $N$  such that every equivalence relation has size  $N$ .

For each  $n \geq 1$  you just let  $\phi_n$  say “there is an equivalence class of size exactly  $n$ ”. This is similar to part (b) (where we replace the  $k$  in the formula  $\phi_n$  by  $n$ ). Let  $\Sigma = \{\phi_N\} \cup \{\neg\phi_n : n \neq N\}$ . Then  $\Sigma$  expresses the idea that “each equivalence class has even size  $N$ ”. This is because if  $\mathfrak{M} \models \Sigma$ , then  $\mathfrak{M} \models \phi_N$  so there is an equivalence class in  $\mathfrak{M}$  that has size  $N$ . On the other hand, if  $n \neq N$ , then  $\mathfrak{M} \models \neg\phi_n$ ; so there is no equivalence class in  $\mathfrak{M}$  of size  $n$ . In other words, all equivalence classes have size  $N$ .

**Interpretation 2:** There is a subset  $A \subseteq \{2n : n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 1\}$  such that every equivalence relation has size  $m$  for some  $m \in A$  ( $m$  is even because  $A \subseteq \{2n : n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 1\}$ ).

For this interpretation, for each  $n \geq 1$  you just let  $\phi_n$  say “there is an equivalence class of size exactly  $n$ ”. This is similar to part (b) (where we replace the  $k$  in the formula  $\phi_n$  by  $n$ ). Now let  $\Sigma = \{\phi_n : n \in A\} \cup \{\neg\phi_n : n \notin A\}$ . Then  $\Sigma$  expresses the idea that “each equivalence class has even size  $n$  and  $n \in A$ ”. This is because if  $\mathfrak{M} \models \Sigma$ , then for each  $n \in A$ ,  $\mathfrak{M} \models \phi_n$  so there is an equivalence class in  $\mathfrak{M}$  that has size  $n$ . On the other hand, if  $n \notin A$ , then  $\mathfrak{M} \models \neg\phi_n$ ; so there is no equivalence class in  $\mathfrak{M}$  of size  $n$ .

**Remark 0.1.** *Technically, interpretation 1 is a special case of interpretation 2, where you just let  $A = \{N\}$ .*

(d) **(1pt)** “All equivalence classes are infinite”.

**Solution:** First, let  $\phi_n$  say “there is an equivalence class of size  $n$ ”. This is similar to part (b) (where we replace the  $k$  in the formula  $\phi_n$  by  $n$ ). Now let  $\Sigma = \{\neg\phi_n : n \geq 1\}$ . Then  $\Sigma$  expresses the idea that “all equivalence classes are infinite” because for any model  $\mathcal{M} \models \Sigma$ , for each  $n$ ,  $\mathcal{M} \models \neg\phi_n$  means that  $\mathcal{M}$  has no equivalence class of size  $n$ .

**(2pts)** Prove that there is no set  $\Sigma$  (possibly infinite) of  $\mathcal{L}$ -sentences such that for every equivalence relation structure  $\mathcal{A} = (A, E)$  the following holds:

$$\mathcal{A} \models \Sigma \text{ if and only if } \mathcal{A} \text{ has finitely many equivalence classes of size 1.}$$

**Solution:** Suppose such a  $\Sigma$  exists. For each  $n$ , let  $\phi_n$  say “there are at least  $n$  equivalence classes of size 1”. The formula  $\phi_n$  is similar to part (a). Now we use compactness to check that  $\Delta = \Sigma \cup \{\phi_n : n \geq 1\}$  is satisfiable. So let  $\Delta_0 \subset \Delta$  be finite. Let  $N$  be the largest such that  $\phi_N \in \Delta_0$  (note that there may not be such an  $N$ , in which case  $\Delta_0 \subseteq \Sigma$  and it is satisfiable by our assumption; so we may as well assume  $N$  exists). Then there is a model  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $\mathcal{A}$  has at least  $N$  equivalence classes of size 1 and  $\mathcal{A}$  only has finitely equivalence classes of size 1 (this follows from our assumption on  $\Sigma$ ). So  $\mathcal{A} \models \Delta_0$ .