

The selection problem for bases with brackets and for strong M-bases

V.M.Kadets*and R.V.Vershynin

Abstract

We show that every Banach space with a finite-dimensional decomposition has a basis with brackets which is uniformly minimal and such that some its block sequences fail to be strong M-bases. In particular, this shows for every Banach space that the property of a sequence to be strong M-basic is not stable under passing to block-sequences.

1 Main definitions

We recall some standard notions which can be found in [3].

Given sets K in X and V in X^* , we shall use the following notation: $K^\perp = \{x^* \in X^* : x^*(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x \in K\}$ and $V^\top = \{x \in X : x^*(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x^* \in V\}$. A set V in X^* is called *total* if $V^\top = \{0\}$.

The closed linear span of a sequence (or a "system") $\{x_n\}_1^\infty$ of vectors in X is denoted by $[x_n]_1^\infty$. A sequence $\{x_n\}_1^\infty$ is called *minimal* if $x_m \notin [x_n]_{n \neq m}$ for all $m = 1, 2, \dots$. One can check easily that $\{x_n\}_1^\infty$ is minimal iff there exists a sequence of *biorthogonal functionals* $\{x_n^*\}_1^\infty \subset X^*$, i.e. so that $x_n^*(x_m) = \delta_{n,m}$, $n, m = 1, 2, \dots$ (Kronecker's delta). Note that the sequence $\{x_n^*\}$ is uniquely determined iff $\{x_n\}_1^\infty$ is complete in X , i.e. $[x_n]_1^\infty = X$. Next, we say that $\{x_n\}_1^\infty$ is *C-bounded* if $\sup_n \|x_n\| \|x_n^*\| \leq C$ for some sequence $\{x_n^*\}_1^\infty$ of biorthogonal functionals. This is clearly equivalent to the following condition: $\inf_m \text{dist} (x_m / \|x_m\|, [x_n]_{n \neq m}) > C^{-1}$. A sequence $\{x_n\}_1^\infty$ is called *uniformly minimal* if it is *C-bounded* for some C .

A complete minimal sequence $\{x_n\}_1^\infty$ in X is called *M-basis* if the set $\{x_n^*\}_1^\infty$ is total in X^* . Now, $\{x_n\}_1^\infty$ is said to be *M-basic sequence* if it is M-basis in the space $[x_n]_1^\infty$. An M-basic sequence $\{x_n\}_1^\infty$ is called *strong* if $([x_n^*]_{n \in A})^\top \cap [x_n] = [x_n]_{n \notin A}$ for every subset A of \mathbf{N} ; we also mention a nice equivalent condition [2]: $[x_n]_{n \in A} \cap [x_n]_{n \in B} = [x_n]_{n \in A \cap B}$ for any subsets A and B of \mathbf{N} . It was recently shown that every separable Banach space has a uniformly minimal strong M-basis [5].

A sequence $\{x_n\}_1^\infty$ in X is called *basis with brackets* if there exists an increasing sequence $\{r_m\}_1^\infty$ of positive integers such that, setting $r_0 = 0$, we have

$$x = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=r_m+1}^{r_{m+1}} x_n^*(x) x_n \quad \text{for each } x \in X.$$

Next, $\{x_n\}_1^\infty$ is said to be *basic with brackets sequence* if it is a basis with brackets of the space $[x_n]_1^\infty$. Evidently, every basic with brackets sequence is strong.

*The work of the first author was partially supported by Grant INTAS 93-1376 and by ISSEP Grant APU061040 "Soros Docent".

The concept of basis with brackets in a space X is closely connected with the concept of finite-dimensional decomposition (F.D.D.) of X . The sequence $\{X_n\}_1^\infty$ of finite-dimensional subspaces of X is called a *F.D.D.* of X (we write $X = X_1 \oplus X_2 \oplus \dots$) if, for each $x \in X$, there exist a unique sequence $\{x_n \in X_n\}_1^\infty$ so that $x = \sum_{n=1}^\infty x_n$. In this case linear projections P_m on X defined by the rule $P_m(\sum_{n=1}^\infty x_n) = \sum_{n=1}^m x_n$ are bounded and, moreover, $\sup_m \|P_m\| < \infty$. Therefore every basis with brackets $\{x_n\}_1^\infty$ in X determines a F.D.D. $X = [x_n]_{n=r_0+1}^{r_1} \oplus [x_n]_{n=r_1+1}^{r_2} \oplus \dots$. Conversely, given a F.D.D. $X = X_1 \oplus X_2 \oplus \dots$ and bases $\{x_n\}_{n=r_m+1}^{r_{m+1}}$ of X_m for each m , the sequence $\{x_n\}_1^\infty$ is clearly a basis with brackets of X .

2 Block sequences of bases with brackets

Given a sequence $\{x_n\}_1^\infty$ in a Banach space X and an increasing sequence $\{q_j\}_1^\infty$ of positive integers, we call a *block sequence* of $\{x_n\}_1^\infty$ any sequence $\{y_j\}_1^\infty$ of non-zero vectors with $y_j \in [x_n]_{n=q_{j-1}+1}^{q_j}$, $j = 1, 2, \dots$. It is easy to show that every block sequence of a basic sequence, of an M-basic sequence, of a minimal sequence is a basic sequence, an M-basic sequence, a minimal sequence respectively again. Well, what about basic with brackets sequences and strong M-basic sequences?

Definition 2.1 *A strong M-basic sequence is called block strong if every block sequence of it is strong.*

The selection problem is the following question due to A.Plans and A.Reyes: is every strong M-basic sequence block strong? P.Terenzi [4] has constructed a Banach space where the problem has negative answer. The strong M-basic sequence of his example is, moreover, uniformly minimal. Recently, the selection problem has been solved in negative in Hilbert space [1]. We solve the problem for all Banach spaces. Moreover, our strong M-basic sequence is, in fact, a basic with brackets sequence and it can be made uniformly minimal. The example seems to be more simple than the methods of [4] and [1].

Theorem 2.2 *Let $\{e_n\}_1^\infty$ be a basis of a Banach space X such that $\|e_n\| = 1$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$ and let $\{\alpha_n\}_1^\infty$, $\{\beta_n\}_1^\infty$ and $\{\gamma_n\}_1^\infty$ be sequences of positive real numbers. By definition, put for each $n = 1, 2, \dots$*

$$\begin{aligned} x_{3n-2} &= \alpha_n e_{3n-2} - \gamma_n e_{3n-1}, \\ x_{3n-1} &= \gamma_n e_{3n-1}, \\ x_{3n} &= \gamma_n e_{3n-1} + \beta_n e_{3n}. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\{x_n\}_1^\infty$ is a basis with brackets in X .

Suppose the series $\sum |\alpha_n|$, $\sum |\beta_n|$ and $\sum |\gamma_n|^{-1}$ converge; then the sequence $\{y_n\}_1^\infty$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} y_{2j-1} &= x_{3j-3} + x_{3j-2}, \\ y_{2j} &= x_{3j-1} \end{aligned}$$

(we assume $x_0 = 0$), is not strong.

Proof. Since $[x_{3n-2}, x_{3n-1}, x_{3n}] = [e_{3n-2}, e_{3n-1}, e_{3n}]$ for each n , we see that $\{x_n\}_1^\infty$ is a basis with brackets of X .

Now let us prove that the sequence $\{y_n\}_1^\infty$ is not strong. We define

$$y = \alpha_1 e_1 + \sum_{n=2}^\infty (\beta_{n-1} e_{3n-3} + \alpha_n e_{3n-2}) \quad .$$

It is sufficient to check that the following conditions are satisfied:

- 1) $y \in [y_j]_1^\infty$;
- 2) $y \in ([y_{2j}^*]_1^\infty)^\top$, where $y_j^* \in X^*$, $j = 1, 2, \dots$ are some biorthogonal functionals for $\{y_j\}$;
- 3) $y \notin [y_{2j-1}]_1^\infty$.

First, we shall check 1). Pick any functional $x^* \in X^*$ such that $x^*(y_n) = 0$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$; it is sufficient to prove that $x^*(y) = 0$. Since $y_{2j} = \gamma_j e_{3j-1}$, we have

$$x^*(e_{3j-1}) = 0, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots \quad (1)$$

Then it follows from the representation

$$y_1 = \alpha_1 e_1 - \gamma_1 e_2 \quad (2)$$

that

$$x^*(\alpha_1 e_1) = 0. \quad (3)$$

Further, we can write

$$y_{2j-1} = \gamma_{j-1} e_{3j-4} + \beta_{j-1} e_{3j-3} + \alpha_j e_{3j-2} - \gamma_j e_{3j-1}, \quad j = 2, 3, \dots \quad (4)$$

Then, by our assumptions and by (1), we have

$$0 = x^*(y_{2j-1}) = x^*(\beta_{j-1} e_{3j-3} + \alpha_j e_{3j-2}).$$

It now follows from the definition of y and from (3) that $x^*(y) = 0$.

Now, we check 2). Clearly we can choose biorthogonal functionals y_n^* so that $y_{2j}^* = x_{3j-1}^*$, $j = 1, 2, \dots$. Since $y = \sum_{n=1}^\infty (\alpha_n e_{3n-2} + \beta_n e_{3n}) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty (x_{3n-2} + x_{3n})$, we obtain for each $j = 1, 2, \dots$

$$y_{2j}^*(y) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty x_{3j-1}^*(x_{3n-2} + x_{3n}) = 0, \quad ,$$

so 2) is proved.

It remains to prove 3). Put

$$x^* = \frac{1}{\alpha_1} e_1^* + \sum_{n=2}^\infty \left(\frac{1}{\gamma_{n-1}} e_{3n-4}^* + \alpha_n e_{3n-3}^* - \beta_{n-1} e_{3n-2}^* \right),$$

where e_n^* are the biorthogonal functionals for the basis $\{e_n\}$ (the vector x^* is well defined because $\sup_n \|e_n^*\| < \infty$ and because of the definition of α_n , β_n and γ_n). Obviously, $x^*(y) = 1$. But it follows from (2) and (4) that $x^*(y_{2j-1}) = 0$, $j = 1, 2, \dots$. This shows that $y \notin [y_{2j-1}]_1^\infty$. The proof is complete. End Proof ■

Since every Banach space contains a basic sequence, Theorem 2.2 shows that every Banach space has a basic with brackets sequence which is not block strong. Now this will be improved in the following way. We shall see that if a Banach space has a basis with brackets then it has a basis with brackets which is uniformly minimal but not block strong.

Theorem 2.3 *Every Banach space with a F.D.D. has a basis with brackets which is uniformly minimal but not block strong.*

To prove this, two lemmas are required.

Lemma 2.4 *Let X be a finite-dimensional Banach space; let Z be a subspace in X of codimension N and let $\{z_n\}$ be a complete C -bounded minimal system in Z . Then $\{z_n\}$ can be extended to a complete $(\sqrt{N} + 1)C$ -bounded minimal system in X .*

Proof. By the M. Kadets-Snobar theorem, there exists in X^* a linear projection P onto Z^\perp so that $\|P\| \leq \sqrt{N}$. Then $(I - P)^*$ is a projection in X onto Z . Let $\{y_n, y_n^*\} \subset X \times X^*$ be an Auerbach basis (i.e. complete 1-bounded minimal system) of the space $\ker(I - P)^*$. It now easily follows that the minimal system $\{z_n\} \cup \{y_n\}$ with biorthogonal functionals $\{(I - P)z_n^*\} \cup \{Py_n^*\}$ satisfies the conditions of the lemma. End Proof \blacksquare

Lemma 2.5 *Let $\{g_n\}_1^\infty$ be a C -bounded minimal sequence and let $\{x_n\}_1^N$ be a C' -bounded minimal system such that $[x_n]_1^N = [g_n]_1^N$ and $x_n^*[g_n]_{N+1}^\infty = 0$, $n = 1, \dots, N$. Then for each integer $K \geq N(C' + 1)$, there exists a system $\{\tilde{x}_n\}_1^K$ which satisfies the following conditions:*

- 1) $[\tilde{x}_n]_1^K = [g_n]_1^K$;
- 2) $\{x_n\}_1^N$ is a block sequence of $\{\tilde{x}_n\}_1^K$;
- 3) $\{\tilde{x}_n\}_1^K$ is a $(\sqrt{N} + 1)6C$ -bounded minimal system.

Proof. We can (and do) assume that $\|g_n\| = \|x_n\| = 1$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Pick an integer $M \geq 1$ such that $K = NM + N'$ with $0 \leq N' < N$. Then

$$M = \frac{K - N'}{N} > \frac{K}{N} - 1 \geq C' = \sup_n \|x_n^*\|, \quad (5)$$

where x_n^* are biorthogonal functionals for x_n .

We are ready to define first NM vectors of a required system. They will be double-indexed, $n = 1, \dots, N$ and $j = 1, \dots, M$:

$$\tilde{x}_{nj} = x_n - \frac{1}{M} \left(\sum_{i=N+(n-1)M+1}^{N+nM} g_i \right) + g_{N+(n-1)M+j},$$

$$\tilde{x}_{nj}^* = \frac{1}{M} x_n^* + g_{N+(n-1)M+j}^*.$$

So, the system $\{\tilde{x}_n, \tilde{x}_n^*\}_{n=1}^{NM} := \{\{\tilde{x}_{nj}, \tilde{x}_{nj}^*\}_j\}_n$ is defined. It is clear that:

- 1') $[\tilde{x}_n]_1^{NM}$ is a subspace in $[g_n]_1^K$ of codimension N' ;
- 2') $\{x_n\}_1^N$ is a block sequence of $\{\tilde{x}_n\}_{n=1}^{NM}$: indeed, $x_n = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{j=1}^M \tilde{x}_{nj}$;
- 3') $\{\tilde{x}_n\}_{n=1}^{NM}$ is $6C$ -bounded: it follows from the definition of $\{x_n, x_n^*\}$ and from (5) that $\|\tilde{x}_{nj}\| \leq 3$ and $\|\tilde{x}_{nj}^*\| \leq 1 + C \leq 2C$.

To conclude the proof, it remains to apply Lemma 2.4 to the system $\{z_n\} := \{\tilde{x}_n\}_{n=1}^{NM}$ in the space $[g_n]_1^K$: we obtain its extension to a $(\sqrt{N'} + 1)6C$ -bounded system $\{\tilde{x}_n\}_{n=1}^K$ satisfying 1). Finally, it follows from 2') that 2) is also true. End Proof \blacksquare

Proof of Theorem 2.3. First note that the sequence $\{x_n\}$ in Theorem 2.2 satisfies the following condition. Suppose that $\{\alpha_n\}$, $\{\beta_n\}$ and $\{\gamma_n\}$ are fixed. Then there exists a function $F(j, D)$ (where $D = \sup_n \|e_n^*\|$) increasing on D and such that

$$\sup \{\|x_n\| \|x_n^*\| : n = 3j - 2, 3j - 1, 3j\} \leq F(j, D), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots$$

Now let X be a space with a F.D.D.: $X = X_1 \oplus X_2 \oplus \dots$. It can be assumed that $\dim X_j \geq 3(F(j, D) + 1)$, where D is the decomposition constant of X . Put $q_1 = 0$, $q_{j+1} = q_j + \dim X_j$, $j = 1, 2, \dots$. Then

$$q_{j+1} - q_j \geq 3(F(j, D) + 1) \quad (6)$$

Let $\{g_n\}_{q_j+1}^{q_{j+1}}$ be an Auerbach basis of X_j . Let us define a sequence $\{e_n\}_1^\infty$ with biorthogonal functionals $\{e_n^*\}_1^\infty$: $e_{3j-k} = g_{q_j+3-k}$, $e_{3j-k}^* = g_{q_j+3-k}^*$, $k = 0, 1, 2$, $j = 1, 2, \dots$. Notice that $\{e_n\}_1^\infty$ is basic sequence in X with $\sup \|e_n^*\| \leq D$; so the system $\{x_n\}_1^\infty$ of the Theorem 2.2 is well defined. The following is true for it:

$$[x_n]_{3j-2}^{3j} = [g_n]_{q_j+1}^{q_j+3} \quad (7)$$

Apply Lemma 2.5 to the D -bounded system $\{g_n\}_{q_j+1}^{q_{j+1}}$ and to $F(j, D)$ -bounded system $\{x_n\}_{3j-2}^{3j}$ with $N = 3$ and with $K = q_{j+1} - q_j$ (the conditions in Lemma are satisfied by (6) and (7)). We obtain a system $\{\tilde{x}_n\}_{q_j+1}^{q_{j+1}}$ such that:

- 1) $[\tilde{x}_n]_{q_j+1}^{q_{j+1}} = [g_n]_{q_j+1}^{q_{j+1}} = X_j$;
- 2) $\{x_n\}_{3j-2}^{3j}$ is a block sequence of $\{\tilde{x}_n\}_{q_j+1}^{q_{j+1}}$;
- 3) $\{\tilde{x}_n\}_{q_j+1}^{q_{j+1}}$ is $(\sqrt{3} + 1)6D$ -bounded.

Thus, $\{\tilde{x}_n\}_1^\infty$ is a basis with brackets which is uniformly bounded and $\{x_n\}_1^\infty$ is its block sequence. By definition, $\{x_n\}_1^\infty$ is not block strong; so $\{\tilde{x}_n\}_1^\infty$ is not, too. End Proof \blacksquare

References

- [1] J.A.ERDOS, M.S.LAMBROU AND N.K.SPANOUDAKIS, Block strong M-bases and spectral synthesis, J. London Math. Soc. (to appear).
- [2] A.PLANES AND A.REYES, On the geometry of sequences in Banach spaces, Arch. Math. (Basel) 40 (1983), 452-458.
- [3] I. SINGER, Bases in Banach spaces II, Springer, 1981.
- [4] P.TERENZI, Block sequences of strong M-bases in Banach spaces, Collectanea Math. (Barcelona) 35 (1984), 93-114.
- [5] P.TERENZI, Every separable Banach space has a bounded strong norming biorthogonal sequence which is also a Steinitz basis, Studia Math. 111 (1994), 207-222.

Dept. of Mechanics & Mathematics,
Kharkov State University,
4 Svobody Square, Kharkov, 310077,
Ukraine.