

# Non-Asymptotic Theory of Random Matrices

## Lecture 10: Slepian's Inequality

### Sharpness Bounds for Gaussian Matrices

Date: February 6, 2007

Lecturer: Roman Vershynin

Scribe: Matthew Herman

## 1 Comparison Inequalities in Probability Theory

We will estimate the size of a random process  $(X_t)_{t \in T}$  by the size of another (simpler) random process  $(Y_t)_{t \in T}$ . Define a *Gaussian centered process* to be when  $X_t$  is a mean-zero random variable. For a random variable  $X$

$$\|X\|_2 = \left(\mathbb{E} |X|^2\right)^{1/2} = \left(\int_{\Omega} |X(w)|^2 d\mathbb{P}\right)^{1/2}$$

where  $(\Omega, \mathbb{P}, \Sigma)$  is the underlying probability space in  $L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{P}, \Sigma)$ .

**Theorem 1** (Slepian's Inequality). *Assume  $(X_t)_{t \in T}, (Y_t)_{t \in T}$  are Gaussian centered processes. If for all  $s, t \in T$*

$$\|X_t - X_s\|_2 \leq \|Y_t - Y_s\|_2,$$

then

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in T} X_t \leq \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in T} Y_t.$$

*Proof.* See Ledoux-Talagrand §3.1 [2]. □

**Observation 2.** *If additionally, the moments  $\|X_t\|_2 = \|Y_t\|_2$  for all  $t \in T$ , then*

$$\mathbb{P}(\sup_{t \in T} X_t > u) \leq \mathbb{P}(\sup_{t \in T} Y_t > u)$$

for all  $u \geq 0$ .

**Definition 3** (Canonical Gaussian Process). *Let  $T \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a subset. Then for all  $t \in T$*

$$X_t = \langle g, t \rangle = \sum_{k=1}^n g_k t_k$$

where  $g$  is the canonical Gaussian random vector in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  (i.e.,  $g_k \sim N(0, 1)$  i.i.d.).

**Example 4.** If  $T =$  the canonical vector basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , then

$$(X_t)_{t \in T} = \{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n\}$$

and the process is trivially equal to  $n$  i.i.d.  $N(0, 1)$  random variables.

**Definition 5** (“Mean width” of  $T$ ).

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in T} X_t = \ell(T).$$

This gives a sense of the “size” of  $T$ . Contrast this with the measure of volume. For instance, consider a set  $T$  which has a non-negligible width and an infinitesimal cross section. This might lead one to dismiss  $T$  as being “small” since it would have a negligible volume. However, examining  $\ell(T)$  would reveal that  $T$  has a non-negligible size, at least in some direction. Note that there are other definitions of the mean width as well.

**Fact 6.** The “size” of an increment equals the distance between indices. That is,

$$\|X_t - X_s\|_2 = \left( \mathbb{E} |\langle g, t - s \rangle|^2 \right)^{1/2} = \|t - s\|_2.$$

## 2 Application for Gaussian Random Processes

Recall that  $A$  is an  $m \times n$  Gaussian matrix (i.e.,  $A_{ij} = N(0, 1)$  i.i.d. random variables). We want to find an upper bound on the largest singular value of  $A$

$$s_1(A) = \|A\| \leq ?.$$

Our goal will be to put this problem in the form of a canonical Gaussian process.

**Side Remark**

$$\|A\| = \sup_{\substack{u \in S^{n-1} \\ v \in S^{m-1}}} \langle Au, v \rangle$$

For this inner product we want the matrix  $A$  to play the role of the Gaussian vector  $g$  in Definition 3. First we need the following definitions and lemma.

**Definition 7** (Inner Product of Two Matrices). For two matrices  $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ , their inner product is defined as

$$\langle A, B \rangle_{tr} = \sum_{i,j} A_{ij} B_{ij} = tr(AB^T).$$

It follows that this induces the Hilbert-Schmidt norm (also known as the Froebenius norm):

$$\|A\|_{HS} = \left( \sum_{i,j} A_{ij}^2 \right)^{1/2} = tr(AB^T).$$

**Definition 8** (Tensor Product). Let  $H, G$  be two Hilbert spaces. For any  $u \in H, v \in G$  we can make the rank-one linear operator  $u \otimes v : H \rightarrow G$  defined as

$$(u \otimes v)x = \langle u, x \rangle v$$

for all  $x \in H$ .

Note: In the case of finite dimensional space with  $u \in \mathbb{R}^n, v \in \mathbb{R}^m$  we have that  $(u \otimes v)$  is the  $m \times n$  matrix  $(v_i u_j)_{i=1, j=1}^m, n$ .

**Lemma 9** (Canonical Form).  $\langle Au, v \rangle = \langle A, u \otimes v \rangle_{tr}$

*Proof.* Examining the RHS we have from Definitions 7 and 8

$$\begin{aligned} tr(A(u \otimes v)^T) &= tr(A(v \otimes u)) \\ &= \sum_i \langle A(v \otimes u) e_i, e_i \rangle \\ &= \sum_i \langle v_i Au, e_i \rangle \\ &= \sum_i v_i (Au)_i \\ &= \langle v, Au \rangle \end{aligned}$$

which, for real-valued vectors, equals the LHS. □

With Lemma 9 we can now write the norm of matrix  $A$  as

$$\|A\| = \sup_{\substack{u \in S^{n-1} \\ v \in S^{m-1}}} \langle Au, v \rangle = \sup_{\substack{u \in S^{n-1} \\ v \in S^{m-1}}} \langle A, v \otimes u \rangle_{tr} =: \sup_{\substack{u \in S^{n-1} \\ v \in S^{m-1}}} X_{(u,v)}$$

where  $(X_{(u,v)})_{(u,v) \in T}$  is a canonical Gaussian process on  $T = S^{n-1} \times S^{m-1}$  because  $A$  is a canonical Gaussian “vector” as viewed in  $\mathbb{R}^{mn}$ .

Now we compare  $(X_{(u,v)})_{(u,v) \in T}$  to  $(Y_{(u,v)})_{(u,v) \in T}$ . Let  $g$  and  $h$  be canonical Gaussian vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\mathbb{R}^m$ , respectively. Viewing the ordered pair  $(g, h)$  as a concatenation of vectors, we have that  $(g, h) \in \mathbb{R}^{m+n}$ . Similarly,  $(u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^{m+n}$ . Then by Definition 3

$$Y_{(u,v)} = \langle (g, h), (u, v) \rangle = \langle g, u \rangle + \langle h, v \rangle.$$

Applying Slepian’s Increment Inequality of Theorem 1 and Fact 6 we have that

$$\|X_{(u,v)} - X_{(u',v')}\|_2 \leq \|Y_{(u,v)} - Y_{(u',v')}\|_2$$

is equivalent to

$$\|u \otimes v - u' \otimes v'\|_{HS} \leq \|(u, v) - (u', v')\|_2$$

for all  $u, u' \in S^{n-1}$  and  $v, v' \in S^{m-1}$ .

**Example 10.** *Applying Minkowski’s inequality we obtain*

$$\sum_{i,j} (u_j v_i - u'_j v'_i)^2 \leq \sum_j (u_j - u'_j)^2 + \sum_i (v_i - v'_i)^2.$$

Before continuing, note that  $\mathbb{E} \|g\|_2 < (\mathbb{E} \|g\|_2^2)^{1/2} = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E} g_i^2 = \sqrt{n}$ , and similarly  $\mathbb{E} \|h\|_2 < \sqrt{m}$ . We can claim strict inequality here since the first and second moments of a Gaussian are not equal. By Slepian’s Lemma we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E} \|A\| &= \mathbb{E} \sup_{\substack{u \in S^{n-1} \\ v \in S^{m-1}}} X_{(u,v)} \\
&\leq \mathbb{E} \sup_{\substack{u \in S^{n-1} \\ v \in S^{m-1}}} Y_{(u,v)} \\
&\leq \mathbb{E} \sup_{u \in S^{n-1}} \langle g, u \rangle + \mathbb{E} \sup_{v \in S^{m-1}} \langle h, v \rangle \\
&\leq \mathbb{E} \sup_{u \in S^{n-1}} \langle g, u \rangle + \mathbb{E} \sup_{v \in S^{m-1}} \langle h, v \rangle \\
&= \mathbb{E} \|g\|_2 + \mathbb{E} \|h\|_2 \\
&\leq \sqrt{n} + \sqrt{m}.
\end{aligned}$$

This yields the following theorem.

**Theorem 11.** *Let  $A$  be a real  $m \times n$  random Gaussian matrix. Then  $\mathbb{E} \|A\| < \sqrt{m} + \sqrt{n}$ .*

Asymptotic theory gives us:  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \|A\| \rightarrow 1 + \sqrt{\frac{n}{m}}$  almost surely as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , where  $\frac{n}{m} \rightarrow \text{constant}$ .

Note that the argument in this lecture is due to Gordon and can be found in [1].

In the next lecture we will see that we can find a lower bound for the expectation of the smallest singular value

$$\mathbb{E} s_1(A) > \sqrt{m} - \sqrt{n}.$$

## References

- [1] K. Davidson and S. Szarek. Local operator theory, random matrices and banach spaces. *Handbook of the Geometry of Banach Spaces*, 1:317, 2001.
- [2] Michel Ledoux and Michel Talagrand. *Probability in Banach spaces*, volume 23 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3) [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (3)]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1991.