

(1)

We use the convexity of $x \mapsto e^{x\lambda}$ and the fact that $|x| \leq 1$.

For $|x| \leq 1$, $\lambda x \in [-\lambda, \lambda]$. Write λx as the convex combination of $-\lambda$ and λ :

$$\lambda x = p\lambda + (1-p)(-\lambda)$$

Solving for p gives

$$p = \frac{1+x}{2}, \quad 1-p = \frac{1-x}{2}.$$

By convexity,

$$e^{\lambda x} \leq pe^\lambda + (1-p)e^{-\lambda} = \left(\frac{1+x}{2}\right)e^\lambda + \left(\frac{1-x}{2}\right)e^{-\lambda} = ch\lambda + xsh\lambda.$$

Since $E X = 0$, we obtain

$$E e^{\lambda X} \leq ch\lambda.$$

It remains to note that $ch\lambda \leq e^{\lambda^2/2}$ to finish the proof.

(2)

Let $M > 0$. The event $\xi_M = \{\limsup S_n/v_n > M\}$ is clearly in the tail σ -algebra generated by the r.v's (X_k) , because the values of any finite number of these r.v's do not change whether ξ holds or not.

By Kolmogorov's 0-1 law, $P(\xi_M) = 0$ or 1.

Let us show that $P(\xi_M) > 0$.

By CLT, $P(S_n/v_n > M) \rightarrow P(N > M) =: p(M) > 0$.

where N is a normal random variable with the same variance as X_k .



Using the continuity property of probability measures (Cor. 1.4), we have

$$P(\mathcal{E}_M) \geq \limsup_M P(S_n/\sqrt{n} > M) = P(M) > 0.$$

Therefore, $P(\mathcal{E}_M) = 1$.

Since M is arbitrary,

$$P\left(\limsup \frac{S_n}{\sqrt{n}} = \infty\right) = P\left(\bigcap_{M=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{E}_M\right) = 1. \quad \text{QED.}$$



$$X_n = g_n + \varepsilon_n h_n$$

where g_n are "good" random variables, like i.i.d. $N(0, 1)$

h_n are "bad" random variables, like i.i.d. Cauchy

i.e. without 2-nd moment, (no 2-nd moment)

$$\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0 \text{ very fast.}$$

(3)

We have: $\forall \varepsilon > 0$,

$$(*) \quad P(|Y_n - \alpha| > \varepsilon) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Also, since $X_n \rightarrow X$ in distribution, (X_n) is tight, hence,

$$(**) \quad \sup_n P(|X_n| > M) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } M \rightarrow \infty.$$

Writing $\alpha X_n = Y_n X_n + (\alpha - Y_n) X_n$, and estimating

$$\alpha X_n \leq Y_n X_n + |\alpha - Y_n| \cdot |X_n|,$$

we have: $\forall x$ point of continuity of the d.f. of X :

$$\begin{aligned} P(Y_n X_n \leq x) &= P(Y_n X_n \leq x, |\alpha - Y_n| \leq \varepsilon, |X_n| \leq M) + P(|\alpha - Y_n| > \varepsilon) + P(|X_n| > M) \\ &\leq P(\alpha X_n \leq x + \varepsilon M) + P(|\alpha - Y_n| > \varepsilon) + P(|X_n| > M). \end{aligned}$$

Using the Skorokhod's Representation Theorem, ~~one~~ easily shows that $X_n \rightarrow X$ implies $\alpha X_n \rightarrow \alpha X$ (in distribution). Hence, $P(\alpha X_n \leq x + \varepsilon M) \rightarrow P(\alpha X \leq x + \varepsilon M)$.

We have proved that

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_n P(Y_n X_n \leq x) &\leq P(\alpha X \leq x + \varepsilon M) + \limsup_n P(|\alpha - Y_n| > \varepsilon) + \\ &\quad + \limsup_n P(|X_n| > M). \end{aligned}$$

The second term in RHS equals 0 by ~~(*)~~ for every $\varepsilon > 0$.

Now choose $M = 1/\sqrt{\varepsilon}$ and let $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. By ~~(**)~~, the third term in RHS $\rightarrow 0$, and, ~~by continuity~~, $\varepsilon M \rightarrow 0$, so by continuity

$$\limsup_n P(Y_n X_n \leq x) \leq P(\alpha X \leq x).$$

The converse inequality is similarly proved (for \liminf). QED

(4)

Write the ratio as

$$R_n := \frac{\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_1^n X_k}{\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_1^n X_k^2 \right)^{1/2}}$$

With $\sigma^2 = \mathbb{E} X_k^2$, the Strong LLN gives

$$(*) \quad \frac{1}{n} \sum_1^n X_k^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{E} X_k^2 = \sigma^2, \quad \text{a.s.}$$

while CLT gives

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_1^n X_k \rightarrow N \quad \text{in distribution.}$$

where N is $N(0, \sigma^2)$.

Now, (*) clearly yields

$$\frac{1}{\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_1^n X_k^2 \right)^{1/2}} \rightarrow \frac{1}{\sigma} \quad \text{a.s.}$$

By the result in Problem 3, we get

$$R_n \rightarrow \frac{N}{\sigma} \quad \text{in distribution.}$$

Note that $\frac{N}{\sigma}$ is $N(0, 1)$. QED.