

(1)

Let $X_n \rightarrow X$ in distribution.

Let $\epsilon > 0$. Choose $M > 0$ s.t. $P(|X| > M) < \epsilon$.

By the convergence in distribution,

$$P(|X_n| > M) \rightarrow P(|X| > M) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence $\exists n_0$ s.t.

$$P(|X_n| > M) < \epsilon \quad \text{for } n \geq n_0.$$

Then $(X_n)_{n \geq n_0}$ is tight, and consequently $(X_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is tight
 (Exercise).

(2)

$$\varphi_{X_\lambda}(t) = \exp(\lambda(e^{it} - 1)).$$

Hence for $S_\lambda := \frac{X_\lambda - \lambda}{\sqrt{\lambda}}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{S_\lambda}(t) &= \varphi_{X_\lambda/\sqrt{\lambda}}(t) e^{-it\sqrt{\lambda}} = \varphi_{X_\lambda}(t/\sqrt{\lambda}) e^{-it\sqrt{\lambda}} \\ &= \exp\left[\lambda(e^{it/\sqrt{\lambda}} - 1) - it\sqrt{\lambda}\right]. \end{aligned}$$

We use $e^{it/\sqrt{\lambda}} = 1 - \frac{it}{\sqrt{\lambda}} - \frac{t^2}{2\lambda} + O(1/\lambda^{3/2})$ as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$.

Thus $\lambda(e^{it/\sqrt{\lambda}} - 1) - it\sqrt{\lambda} = -\frac{t^2}{2} + O(1/\lambda^{1/2})$ as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$

Hence $\varphi_{S_\lambda}(t) \rightarrow e^{-t^2/2}$ as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$.

By the Continuity Theorem, $S_\lambda \rightarrow N$.

(3)

Let F_n and G denote the distribution functions of X_n and X , respectively, let ~~Z_n~~ $Z_n = X_n - Y_n$, and let x be the continuity point of G . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
F_n(x) &= \mathbb{P}(Y_n \leq x) = \mathbb{P}(X_n \leq x + Z_n) \\
&= \mathbb{P}(X_n \leq x + Z_n, Z_n < \varepsilon) + \mathbb{P}(X_n \leq x + Z_n, Z_n \geq \varepsilon) \\
&\leq \mathbb{P}(X_n \leq x + \varepsilon) + \mathbb{P}(Z_n \geq \varepsilon).
\end{aligned}$$

This yields

$$\limsup_n F_n(x) \leq G(x + \varepsilon).$$

A similar argument shows that

$$\limsup_n F_n(x) \geq G(x - \varepsilon).$$

Since ε is arbitrary and x is a point of continuity of G , we have shown that

$$\lim F_n(x) = G(x),$$

i.e. $Y_n \rightarrow X$ in distribution.

(4)

Let $X_k = f(x_k)$, $Y_k = g(x_k)$, regarded as random variables on $[0, 1]^{\mathbb{N}}$. Then (X_k) are i.i.d. r.v.'s, (Y_k) are i.i.d. r.v.'s.

The problem reduces to proving that

$$\left(\frac{X_1 + \dots + X_n}{Y_1 + \dots + Y_n} \right) \rightarrow \frac{\mathbb{E}X_1}{\mathbb{E}Y_1}, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (**)$$

By Strong i.L.N., $\frac{1}{n}(X_1 + \dots + X_n) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}X_1$ a.s.,

$\frac{1}{n}(Y_1 + \dots + Y_n) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}Y_1$ a.s.

Hence
$$\frac{X_1 + \dots + X_n}{Y_1 + \dots + Y_n} \rightarrow \frac{EX_1}{EY_1} \quad \text{a.s.} \quad (*)$$

The assumption that $0 \leq X_i \leq CY_i$ implies that LHS in (*) is bounded by C . Hence the Dominated Convergence Theorem implies ~~the~~ (**), and completes the proof.

(5)

By CLT,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{S_n}{\sigma\sqrt{n}} \leq x\right) \rightarrow \Phi(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^x e^{-t^2/2} dt \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{S_n}{\sqrt{n}} \leq 1\right) = \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{S_n}{\sigma\sqrt{n}} \leq \frac{1}{\sigma}\right) \rightarrow \Phi\left(\frac{1}{\sigma}\right).$$

So, by the assumption,

$$\Phi\left(\frac{1}{\sigma}\right) = \frac{2}{3}.$$

From a table of standard normal distribution, we find $\frac{1}{\sigma} \approx 0.43$, so $\sigma^2 \approx 5.41$

(6)

The formula for the total probability yields

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{S_{V_n}}{\sqrt{V_n}} \leq x\right) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(V_n = k) \cdot \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{S_k}{\sqrt{k}} \leq x\right).$$

By CLT, $\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{S_k}{\sqrt{k}} \leq x\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(N \leq x)$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

This implies that for arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists k_ε such that

$$\left| \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{S_k}{\sqrt{k}} \leq x\right) - \mathbb{P}(N \leq x) \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \quad \text{for all } k \geq k_\varepsilon.$$

Furthermore, $v_n \rightarrow \infty$ in probability, which means that

$$\forall M, \quad \mathbb{P}(v_n < M) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

So there exists n_ϵ such that

$$\mathbb{P}(v_n < k_\epsilon) < \frac{\epsilon}{2} \text{ for all } n \geq n_\epsilon.$$

Therefore, for $n \geq n_\epsilon$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{S_{v_n}}{\sqrt{v_n}} \leq x\right) - \mathbb{P}(N \leq x) \right| &= \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(v_n = k) \cdot \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{S_k}{\sqrt{k}} \leq x\right) - \mathbb{P}(N \leq x) \right| \\ &\leq \underbrace{\mathbb{P}(v_n < k_\epsilon)}_{\wedge \frac{\epsilon}{2}} + \sum_{k=k_\epsilon}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(v_n = k) \underbrace{\left| \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{S_k}{\sqrt{k}} \leq x\right) - \mathbb{P}(N \leq x) \right|}_{\wedge \frac{\epsilon}{2}} \\ &< \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \sum_{k=k_\epsilon}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(v_n = k) \leq \epsilon. \quad \text{Q.E.D.} \end{aligned}$$