

(10/10/2011)

## Cauchy Sequences (§10)

- Recall Bolzano-Weierstrass: every bounded sequence has a convergent subsequence.  
May have many convergent subsequences, e.g.  $(-1)^n$  has two. Some have as many!
- Inconvenient. Caused by oscillations.
- Need a condition that prevents oscillations.

Cauchy: terms are close to each other.

Def 10.8.  $(s_n)$  is a Cauchy sequence if:

For every  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $N$  such that

$$|s_n - s_m| < \epsilon \quad \text{for } n, m > N.$$

- Compare to def of limit: no reference to the limit point  $s$ ! No need to know  $s$ .

Thm 10.11 A sequence  $(s_n)$  converges if and only if  $(s_n)$  is Cauchy.

Proof of ( $\Rightarrow$ ). Assume  $\lim s_n = s$ , let's verify the def. of Cauchy.

Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Choose  $N$  by def. of limit so that

$$|s_n - s| < \frac{\epsilon}{2} \quad \text{for } n > N.$$

Then, for every  $n, m > N$ ,

$$|s_n - s_m| \leq |s_n - s| + |s - s_m| < \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon \quad \text{QED}$$

The proof ( $\Leftarrow$ ) will be based on Bolzano-Weierstrass theorem.

We need two lemmas:

(Lemma 10.10) Cauchy sequences are bounded

Proof Use def. of Cauchy with  $\varepsilon=1$ : There exists  $N$  such that

$$|S_n - S_m| < 1 \text{ for } n, m > N.$$

Thus  $|S_n - S_{N+1}| < 1$  for  $n > N$

$$\text{so } |S_n| < |S_{N+1}| + 1 \text{ for } n > N.$$

Hence  $\{S_n : n > N\}$  is bounded. Since there are finitely many terms in  $\{S_n : n < N\}$ , the whole sequence  $(S_n)$  is bounded.

Proof of (Thm 10.11  $\Leftarrow$ ): Assume  $(S_n)$  is Cauchy.

By Lemma 10.10,  $(S_n)$  is bounded.

• By Bolzano-Weierstrass theorem,  $(S_n)$  has a convergent subsequence  $(S_{n_k})$ ; say  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} S_{n_k} = s$ . (\*)

- It remains to show that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n = s$ .

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be arbitrary.

• By (\*), there exists  $K$  such that

$$|S_{n_k} - s| < \varepsilon/2 \text{ for } k > K. \quad (**)$$

• Since  $(S_n)$  is Cauchy, there exists  $N$  such that

$$|S_n - S_m| < \varepsilon/2 \text{ for } n, m > N.$$

Then,

$$|S_n - s| \leq |S_n - S_{n_k}| + |S_{n_k} - s| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon$$

$\uparrow \quad \uparrow$   
for  $n > N$ .

(Here we chose  $k$  s.t.  $k > K$ ,  $n_k > N$ .)

QED.

Exercise Let  $(s_n)$  be a sequence such that,  
for some ~~constant~~, one has  
 $|s_n - s_{n+1}| \leq \frac{c}{n} a^n$  for all  $n$ .

Prove that  $\mathbb{B} (s_n)$  converges.

Hint: Write  $s_n - s_m$ . Show that  $(s_n)$

It suffices to show that  $(s_n)$  is Cauchy.

To show this, write

$$|s_n - s_m| = |(s_n - s_{n+1}) + (s_{n+1} - s_{n+2}) + \dots + (s_{m-1} - s_m)|$$

and use triangle inequality and summation of geometric progression.

Remark A sequence that satisfies

$$\lim (s_n - s_{n+1}) = 0$$

is not necessarily Cauchy

(Example:  $s_n = \log n$ ; then  $s_n - s_{n+1} = \log \frac{n+1}{n} = \log \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right) = 0$ )  
but  $(s_n)$  is unbounded

Application: Fixed point Theorem

The following is an application of the theory of limit to analytic problems.  
 The result below is due to Stephan Banach and it holds for  $\mathbb{R}^n$   
 and more general spaces ("Banach spaces"). We state its particular case for  $\mathbb{R}^1$ .

Theorem (Fixed point theorem) Suppose that  $f$  is a function on  $\mathbb{R}$

such that

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq \alpha |x - y| \quad \text{for all } x, y. \quad (\text{"Contraction"})$$

Then  $f$  has a fixed point, i.e. there exists  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$f(x) = x.$$

Proof • Choose  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  arbitrary, and let

$$x_{n+1} = f(x_n), \quad n=0, 1, 2, \dots$$

"Orbit of  $x_0$ "

•  $|x_2 - x_1| = |f(x_1) - f(x_0)| \leq \alpha |x_1 - x_0|$ .

$$|x_3 - x_2| = |f(x_2) - f(x_1)| \leq \alpha |x_2 - x_1| \leq \alpha^2 |x_1 - x_0|$$

.....

$$|x_{n+1} - x_n| \leq \alpha^n |x_1 - x_0| \quad \text{for all } n. \quad (\text{Exercise: prove this rigorously by induction.})$$

Hence  $(x_n)$  is Cauchy, so  $(x_n)$  converges.

• Let  $\lim x_n = x$

Since  $(f(x_n))_{n=1}^\infty = (x_{n+1})_{n=1}^\infty$ , we also have

$$\lim f(x_n) = x. \quad (*)$$

•  $|f(x_n) - f(x)| \leq \alpha |x_n - x| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$

So by Squeeze Theorem,  $\lim f(x_n) = f(x)$ . By (\*) and the uniqueness of limit,  $f(x) = x$ .

Q. E. D.